

Note: This report was presented prior to Board action and does not reflect Board approval of the final budget and charges that support the action to reduce the water average system charge to 5.9%.

Proposed FY 2026 Schedule of Charges Public Hearing February 26, 2025



Overview: In February 2025 the Great Lakes Water Authority (GLWA) presented a revised proposed budget and charges for the upcoming fiscal year 2026. The purpose of this one-pager is to give context and background as it relates to this proposal.

FY 2026 Proposed Charges Increases:

Proposed average water system charge of 6.5% and sewer system charge of 4.5% to overcome several years of cost increases. Despite this increase, GLWA's average cumulative charge increase continues to be well below 4% with 2.8% for water and 1.6% for sewer.

Methodology: No methodology changes or shares updates this year. Changes in charges are driven by economic reality.

Across-the-Board Adjustments: Since there was no methodology change this year, nearly every Member Partner would receive the same charge adjustment of 6.24% for the water system and 4.3% for the sewer system.

Proposed Budget Supports New Initiatives of Wide Scale Benefit to the Region

Corrosion Control: While there is no lead in GLWA's water and no lead pipes in GLWA's transmission system, GLWA recently launched a corrosion control strategy to provide added protection to Member Partners' local system (estimated cost \$6 million).

Resiliency: The newly formed GLWA Resiliency Group successfully launched a multi-year flood mitigation study in partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Southeast Michigan has experienced repeated widespread flooding, and five flooding-related FEMA Federal Disaster Declarations since 2000. This has resulted in economic burden and social hardship across our region. The project is funded 50% U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)/50% GLWA.

Legal Constraints Deferred Needed Charge Increases: Well- intended 4% Promise budget limitation could not have foreseen the depth of the economic impact of a pandemic on GLWA.

Looking for more information? Visit us online at www.glwater.org

High Double Digit Cost Increases Since 2020: Non-discretionary chemicals, utilities, and services increased nearly 55% since 2020.

Infrastructure Improvements are Largely Funded by Debt and Cash Reserves: This means that 42 cents of every dollar is required for mandatory debt payments.

Addressing Deferred Maintenance was a Key Objective in Establishing the Regional Authority: Unfortunately, budget constraints have slowed the pace of maintenance. The cost of that equipment and services becomes more costly each year.

Solid Financial Footing Translates to Lower Cost of Borrowing – and Lower Charge Increases in the Future: GLWA is now an AA rated utility (remember the bonds sunk to non-investment grade during the City's Chapter 9).

- Nearly \$900 million in cash flow savings has been achieved by restructuring debt.
- The proposed FY 2026 charge increase is an important signal to bond rating agencies and investors to maintain financial stability especially with potential refunding opportunities this year.

Leveraging Technology and Talent:

- The proposed budget supports the linear system integrity program, new asset management technology, and a smart ball technology to best manage limited resources.
- Expanding apprenticeship and intern programs address hard-to-fill positions.

Average System Charge Adjustment

Year	Water	Sewer
2018	1.8%	-0.7%
2019	1.8%	0.1%
2020	0.6%	0.8%
2021	3.2%	2.0%
2022	1.5%	-0.6%
2023	3.7%	2.4%
2024	2.75%	2.75%
2025	3.25%	3.0%
2026 Final	6.5%	4.5%
Proposed	0.3%	4.5%
9-Year Average	2.8%	1.6%

GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM

Service Charges and Allocated Revenue Requirements

FINAL PROPOSED - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025 FOR ALL BILLS ISSUED ON OR AFTER AUGUST 1, 2025 Updated 02.24.2026

FINAL I	PROPOSED - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025 FOR AI Proposed Janu		ON C	OR AFTER AUGUST 1,	2025	Proposed Feb	 dated 02.24.2026 y 26, 2025
Line	Mambar Darthar	Contract		ojected Revenue		ojected Revenue	TotalChange
No.	Member Partner	Contract	Fr	om Charges (g) \$	Г	rom Charges (g) \$	1.27-2.26 \$
1	Allen Park	Model	\$	2,878,300	\$	2,847,600	\$ (30,700)
2	Almont Village	Model	\$	289,000	\$	285,900	\$ (3,100)
3	Ash Township	Model	\$	1,019,300	\$	1,008,700	\$ (10,600)
4	Belleville	Model	\$	393,200	\$	389,000	\$ (4,200)
5	Berlin Township	Model	\$	863,000	\$	853,800	\$ (9,200)
6	Brownstown Township	Model	\$	4,633,200	\$	4,583,700	\$ (49,500)
7	Bruce Township	Model	\$	377,600	\$	373,600	\$ (4,000)
8	Burtchville Township	Model	\$	496,900	\$	491,600	\$ (5,300)
9	Canton Township	Model	\$	12,888,500	\$	12,749,600	\$ (138,900)
10	Center Line	Model	\$	594,900	\$	588,900	\$ (6,000)
11	Chesterfield Township	Model	\$	5,390,700	\$	5,334,000	\$ (56,700)
12	Clinton Township	Model	\$	9,323,900	\$	9,222,400	\$ (101,500)
13	Commerce Township	Model	\$	4,280,200	\$	4,233,600	\$ (46,600)
14	Dearborn	No Contract	\$	11,871,300	\$	11,743,200	\$ (128,100)
15	Dearborn Heights	Model	\$	4,654,700	\$	4,605,200	\$ (49,500)
16	Eastpointe	Model	\$	1,988,700	\$	1,967,600	\$ (21,100)
17	Ecorse	Model	\$	1,371,400	\$	1,356,500	\$ (14,900)
18	Farmington	Model	\$	1,217,700	\$	1,204,600	\$ (13,100)
19	Farmington Hills	Model	\$	11,021,700	\$	10,905,700	\$ (116,000)
20	Ferndale	Model	\$	1,319,000	\$	1,304,900	\$ (14,100)
21	Flat Rock	Model	\$	1,775,500	\$	1,756,600	\$ (18,900)
22	Flint	Model	\$	12,055,000	\$	11,925,700	\$ (129,300)
	less: Adjustment for KWA Debt Service ((b)	\$	(6,447,300)	\$	(6,447,300)	\$ -
	Net projected revenue - Flint		\$	5,607,700	\$	5,478,400	\$ (129,300)
23	Fraser	Model	\$	1,556,300	\$	1,539,400	\$ (16,900)
24	Garden City	Model	\$	2,107,300	\$	2,085,400	\$ (21,900)
25	Gibraltar	Model	\$	432,100	\$	427,500	\$ (4,600)
26	Greenwood Township (DTE)	Model	\$	1,659,100	\$	1,641,400	\$ (17,700)
27	Grosse lle Township	Model	\$	1,468,400	\$	1,452,900	\$ (15,500)
28	Grosse Pt. Park	Model	\$	1,634,400	\$	1,617,200	\$ (17,200)
29	Grosse Pt. Shores	Model	\$	739,500	\$	731,700	\$ (7,800)
30	Grosse Pt. Woods	Model	\$	1,598,500	\$	1,581,600	(16,900)
31	Hamtramck	Model	\$	1,030,300	\$	1,018,700	(11,600)
32	Harper Woods	Model	\$	1,114,500	\$	1,102,300	(12,200)
33	Harrison Township	Model	\$	2,068,300	\$	2,046,600	(21,700)
34	Hazel Park	Model	\$	935,500	\$	925,300	(10,200)
35	Highland Park	Model	\$	1,054,700	\$	1,043,300	\$ (11,400)

GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM

Service Charges and Allocated Revenue Requirements

FINAL PROPOSED - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025 FOR ALL BILLS ISSUED ON OR AFTER AUGUST 1, 2025 Updated 02.24.2026

Member Partner	FINAL I	PROPOSED - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025 F Proposed	OR ALL BILLS ISSUED (January 27, 2025) NC	OR AFTER AUGUST 1,	202	25 Proposed Feb	 dated 02.24.2026 y 26, 2025
S		Mambar Partner	Contract					
Huron Township	NO.	Member Parmer	Contract	Г				
Model S	36	Huron Township	Model	\$	·	\$		\$
Inister	37		Model		1,869,900		1,849,900	\$ (20,000)
August A	38	Imlay Township (Single User)	No Contract	\$	12,600	\$	12,400	\$ (200)
Lopeer	39	Inkster	Model	\$	1,630,100	\$	1,612,400	\$ (17,700)
Lenox Township Model \$ 402,500 \$ 398,200 \$ (4,300)	40	Keego Harbor	Model	\$	374,700	\$	370,700	\$ (4,000)
1	41	Lapeer	Model	\$	1,944,700	\$	1,924,000	\$ (20,700)
44 Livonic Model \$ 14,429,600 \$ 14,275,500 \$ (154,100) 45 Maccomb Township Model \$ 15,511,400 \$ 15,344,200 \$ (167,200) 46 Madison Heights Model \$ 2,600,500 \$ 2,572,700 \$ (27,800) 47 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) Model \$ 63,400 \$ 62,700 \$ (8,500) 48 Melvindale Model \$ 826,200 \$ 817,700 \$ (8,500) 49 New Haven, Village of Model \$ 27,610,300 \$ 27,311,700 \$ (298,400) 50 NOCWA Model \$ 964,700 \$ 954,600 \$ (10,100) 51 Norithville Model \$ 974,700 \$ 5,709,200 \$ (10,100) 52 Novi Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 111,372,800 \$ (122,800) 53 Novi Model \$ 17,60,000 \$ 1,741,000 \$ (122,800) 54 Oak Park Model \$ 11,200 \$ 110,300 \$ (14,800) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 3,698,300	42	Lenox Township	Model	\$	402,500	\$	398,200	\$ (4,300)
45 Macomb Township Model \$ 15,511,400 \$ 15,344,200 \$ (167,200) 46 Madison Heights Model \$ 2,600,500 \$ 2,572,700 \$ (27,800) 47 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) Model \$ 63,400 \$ 62,700 \$ (700) 48 Melvindale Model \$ 826,200 \$ 817,700 \$ (8,500) 49 New Haven, Village of Model \$ 549,500 \$ 543,700 \$ (5,800) 50 NOCWA Model \$ 27,610,300 \$ 27,311,900 \$ (28,400) 51 Northville Model \$ 964,700 \$ 954,600 \$ (10,100) 51 Northville Township Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (12,200) 53 Novi Model \$ 1,760,000 \$ 1,741,000 \$ (12,200) 54 Oak Park Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (12,200) 55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (14,800) 56 Plymouth Model	43	Lincoln Park	Model	\$	2,869,000	\$	2,838,900	\$ (30,100)
46 Madison Heights Model \$ 2,600,500 \$ 2,572,700 \$ (27,800) 47 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) Model \$ 63,400 \$ 62,700 \$ (700) 48 Melvindale Model \$ 826,200 \$ 817,700 \$ (8,500) 49 New Haven, Village of Model \$ 549,500 \$ 543,700 \$ (298,400) 50 NOCWA Model \$ 27,610,300 \$ 27,311,900 \$ (298,400) 51 Northville Model \$ 964,700 \$ 954,600 \$ (10,100) 52 Northville Township Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (122,800) 53 Novi Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (12,800) 54 Ock Park Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (12,800) 55 Oskland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (12,800) 55 Oskland GWK Drain District Model \$ 13,69,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Model <td>44</td> <td>Livonia</td> <td>Model</td> <td>\$</td> <td>14,429,600</td> <td>\$</td> <td>14,275,500</td> <td>\$ (154,100)</td>	44	Livonia	Model	\$	14,429,600	\$	14,275,500	\$ (154,100)
47 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) Model \$ 63,400 \$ 62,700 \$ (700) 48 Melvindale Model \$ 826,200 \$ 817,700 \$ (8,500) 49 New Haven, Village of Model \$ 549,500 \$ 543,700 \$ (298,400) 50 NOCWA Model \$ 27,610,300 \$ 27,311,900 \$ (298,400) 51 Northville Model \$ 964,700 \$ 954,600 \$ (10,100) 52 Northville Township Model \$ 5,770,800 \$ 5,709,200 \$ (61,600) 53 Novi Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (122,800) 54 Oak Park Model \$ 112,000 \$ 11,741,000 \$ (19,000) 55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 11,800 \$ (122,800) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 13,669,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model <td>45</td> <td>Macomb Township</td> <td>Model</td> <td>\$</td> <td>15,511,400</td> <td>\$</td> <td>15,344,200</td> <td>\$ (167,200)</td>	45	Macomb Township	Model	\$	15,511,400	\$	15,344,200	\$ (167,200)
48 Melvindale Model \$ 826,200 \$ 817,700 \$ (8,500) 49 New Haven, Village of Model \$ 549,500 \$ 543,700 \$ (5,800) 50 NOCWA Model \$ 27,610,300 \$ 27,311,900 \$ (298,400) 51 Northville Model \$ 964,700 \$ 954,600 \$ (10,100) 52 Northville Township Model \$ 5,770,800 \$ 5,709,200 \$ (61,600) 53 Novi Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (122,800) 54 Cak Park Model \$ 11,200 \$ 110,800 \$ (122,800) 55 Cokland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (120,800) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (120,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 13,696,00 \$ 1,741,000 \$ (120,800) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model	46	Madison Heights	Model	\$	2,600,500	\$	2,572,700	\$ (27,800)
49 New Haven, Village of NoCWA Model Model \$ 549,500 \$ 543,700 \$ (5,800) 50 NOCWA Model \$ 27,610,300 \$ 27,311,900 \$ (298,400) 51 Northville Model \$ 964,700 \$ 954,600 \$ (10,100) 52 Northville Township Model \$ 5,770,800 \$ 5,709,200 \$ (61,600) 53 Novi Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (122,800) 54 Oak Park Model \$ 117,60,000 \$ 11,741,000 \$ (19,000) 55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (122,800) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 1,369,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,699,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood	47	Mayfield Township (KAMAX)	Model	\$	63,400	\$	62,700	\$ (700)
50 NOCWA Model \$ 27,610,300 \$ 27,311,900 \$ (298,400) 51 Northville Model \$ 964,700 \$ 954,600 \$ (10,100) 52 Northville Township Model \$ 5,770,800 \$ 5,709,200 \$ (61,600) 53 Novi Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (122,800) 54 Oak Park Model \$ 1,760,000 \$ 1,741,000 \$ (19,000) 55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (12,200) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 1,369,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (33,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 4,5	48	Melvindale	Model	\$	826,200	\$	817,700	\$ (8,500)
51 Northville Model \$ 964,700 \$ 954,600 \$ (10,100) 52 Northville Township Model \$ 5,770,800 \$ 5,709,200 \$ (61,600) 53 Novi Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (122,800) 54 Oak Park Model \$ 1,760,000 \$ 1,741,000 \$ (19,000) 55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (12,200) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 1,369,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 60 Riverview Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3,300) 61 Rockwood Model	49	New Haven, Village of	Model	\$	549,500	\$	543,700	\$ (5,800)
52 Northville Township Model \$ 5,770,800 \$ 5,709,200 \$ (61,600) 53 Novi Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (122,800) 54 Oak Park Model \$ 1,760,000 \$ 1,741,000 \$ (19,000) 55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (1,200) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 1,369,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,6559,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 658,700 \$ 651,900 \$ (6,800) 60 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (33,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 63 Romulus Model \$ 3,220,	50	NOCWA	Model	\$	27,610,300	\$	27,311,900	\$ (298,400)
53 Novi Model \$ 11,495,600 \$ 11,372,800 \$ (122,800) 54 Oak Park Model \$ 1,760,000 \$ 1,741,000 \$ (19,000) 55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (1,200) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 1,369,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 658,700 \$ 651,900 \$ (6,800) 60 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (33,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (23,00) 63 Romulus Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 64 Roseville Model \$ 261,000	51	Northville	Model	\$	964,700	\$	954,600	\$ (10,100)
54 Oak Park Model \$ 1,760,000 \$ 1,741,000 \$ (19,000) 55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (1,200) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 1,369,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 60 Riverview Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3300) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 212,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (2800) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,90	52	Northville Township	Model	\$	5,770,800	\$	5,709,200	\$ (61,600)
55 Oakland GWK Drain District Model \$ 112,000 \$ 110,800 \$ (1,200) 56 Plymouth Model \$ 1,369,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 658,700 \$ 651,900 \$ (6,800) 60 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 221,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2,300) 63 Romulus Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 29,425,	53	Novi	Model	\$	11,495,600	\$	11,372,800	\$ (122,800)
56 Plymouth Model \$ 1,369,600 \$ 1,354,800 \$ (14,800) 57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 658,700 \$ 651,900 \$ (6,800) 60 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 221,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2,300) 63 Romulus Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500	54	Oak Park	Model	\$	1,760,000	\$	1,741,000	\$ (19,000)
57 Plymouth Township Model \$ 5,563,800 \$ 5,504,700 \$ (59,100) 58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 658,700 \$ 651,900 \$ (6,800) 60 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 221,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2,300) 63 Romulus Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800	55	Oakland GWK Drain District	Model	\$	112,000	\$	110,800	\$ (1,200)
58 Redford Township Model \$ 3,698,300 \$ 3,659,000 \$ (39,300) 59 River Rouge Model \$ 658,700 \$ 651,900 \$ (6,800) 60 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 221,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2,300) 63 Romulus Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (27,400) 69 Southgate Model \$ 3,919,800 <td< td=""><td>56</td><td>Plymouth</td><td>Model</td><td>\$</td><td>1,369,600</td><td>\$</td><td>1,354,800</td><td>\$ (14,800)</td></td<>	56	Plymouth	Model	\$	1,369,600	\$	1,354,800	\$ (14,800)
59 River Rouge Model \$ 658,700 \$ 651,900 \$ (6,800) 60 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 221,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2,300) 63 Romulus Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 <td>57</td> <td>Plymouth Township</td> <td>Model</td> <td>\$</td> <td>5,563,800</td> <td>\$</td> <td>5,504,700</td> <td>\$ (59,100)</td>	57	Plymouth Township	Model	\$	5,563,800	\$	5,504,700	\$ (59,100)
60 Riverview Model \$ 1,084,000 \$ 1,072,300 \$ (11,700) 61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 221,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2,300) 63 Romulus Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700	58	Redford Township	Model	\$	3,698,300	\$	3,659,000	\$ (39,300)
61 Rockwood Model \$ 311,000 \$ 307,700 \$ (3,300) 62 Romeo Model \$ 221,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2,300) 63 Romulus Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	59	River Rouge	Model	\$	658,700	\$	651,900	\$ (6,800)
62 Romeo Model \$ 221,200 \$ 218,900 \$ (2,300) 63 Romulus Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	60	Riverview	Model	\$	1,084,000	\$	1,072,300	\$ (11,700)
63 Romulus Model \$ 4,596,800 \$ 4,546,100 \$ (50,700) 64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	61	Rockwood	Model	\$	311,000	\$	307,700	\$ (3,300)
64 Roseville Model \$ 3,220,000 \$ 3,186,000 \$ (34,000) 65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	62	Romeo	Model	\$	221,200	\$	218,900	\$ (2,300)
65 Royal Oak Township Model \$ 261,000 \$ 258,200 \$ (2,800) 66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	63	Romulus	Model	\$	4,596,800	\$	4,546,100	\$ (50,700)
66 Shelby Township Model \$ 13,871,900 \$ 13,722,300 \$ (149,600) 67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	64	Roseville	Model	\$	3,220,000	\$	3,186,000	\$ (34,000)
67 SOCWA Model \$ 29,425,500 \$ 29,116,300 \$ (309,200) 68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	65	Royal Oak Township	Model	\$	261,000	\$	258,200	\$ (2,800)
68 South Rockwood Model \$ 148,300 \$ 146,700 \$ (1,600) 69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	66	Shelby Township	Model	\$	13,871,900	\$	13,722,300	\$ (149,600)
69 Southgate Model \$ 2,619,800 \$ 2,592,400 \$ (27,400) 70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	67	SOCWA	Model	\$	29,425,500	\$	29,116,300	\$ (309,200)
70 St. Clair Shores Model \$ 3,919,800 \$ 3,876,700 \$ (43,100) 71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	68	South Rockwood	Model	\$	148,300	\$	146,700	\$ (1,600)
71 Sterling Heights Model \$ 18,292,700 \$ 18,101,300 \$ (191,400)	69	Southgate	Model	\$	2,619,800	\$	2,592,400	\$ (27,400)
	70	St. Clair Shores	Model	\$	3,919,800	\$	3,876,700	\$ (43,100)
72 Sumpter Township Model \$ 912,300 \$ 902,800 \$ (9,500)	71	Sterling Heights	Model	\$	18,292,700	\$	18,101,300	\$ (191,400)
	72	Sumpter Township	Model	\$	912,300	\$	902,800	\$ (9,500)

GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM

Service Charges and Allocated Revenue Requirements

FINAL PROPOSED - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025 FOR ALL BILLS ISSUED ON OR AFTER AUGUST 1, 2025

Updated 02.24.2026

	Proposed Jo	anuary 27, 2025		·		Proposed Feb	ruar	y 26, 2025
Line				ected Revenue		jected Revenue		TotalChange
No.	Member Partner	Contract	Fro	m Charges (g)	Fro	om Charges (g)		1.27-2.26
				\$		\$		\$
73	Sylvan Lake	Model	\$	291,500	\$	288,400	\$	(3,100)
74	Taylor	Model	\$	5,777,900	\$	5,716,600	\$	(61,300)
75	Trenton	Model	\$	2,204,900	\$	2,181,500	\$	(23,400)
76	Troy	Model	\$	16,925,400	\$	16,748,400	\$	(177,000)
77	Utica	Model	\$	740,600	\$	732,700	\$	(7,900)
78	Van Buren Township	Model	\$	4,219,600	\$	4,174,500	\$	(45,100)
79	Walled Lake	Model	\$	992,600	\$	982,000	\$	(10,600)
80	Warren	Model	\$	11,961,600	\$	11,835,600	\$	(126,000)
81	Washington Township	Model	\$	2,929,500	\$	2,898,300	\$	(31,200)
82	Wayne	Model	\$	2,243,100	\$	2,218,800	\$	(24,300)
83	West Bloomfield Township	Model	\$	13,297,900	\$	13,155,700	\$	(142,200)
84	Westland	Model	\$	7,592,300	\$	7,512,900	\$	(79,400)
85	Wixom	Model	\$	3,095,400	\$	3,062,000	\$	(33,400)
86	Woodhaven	Model	\$	1,825,000	\$	1,805,900	\$	(19,100)
87	Ypsilanti Comm Util Auth	Model	\$	13,008,100	\$	12,866,900	\$	(141,200)
88	Total Wholesale Contract Member Par	tners (c)	\$	371,631,300	\$	367,598,600	\$	(4,032,700)

Schedule updated by GLWA Staff Pending Review by Willdan Financial Services

Service Charges and Allocated Revenue Requirements

FINAL PROPOSED - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025 FOR ALL BILLS ISSUED ON OR AFTER AUGUST 1, 2025

Updated 02.24.2026

Member Partner Contract etroit Customer Class - \$ /holesale Revenue Requirement (d) less: Ownership Benefit per Lease et Local System Wholesale Revenue Requirement (c) evenue from Wholesale Water Charges (88) + (91) (c)	\$ \$ \$	ected Revenue om Charges (g) \$ 1.27.25 51,591,600 (20,700,000) 30,891,600	, ,	d Revenue narges (g) \$ 51,010,800 (20,700,000)		TotalChange 1.27-2.26 \$ Change (580,800)
etroit Customer Class - \$ /holesale Revenue Requirement (d) less: Ownership Benefit per Lease et Local System Wholesale Revenue Requirement (c)	\$ \$ \$	\$ 1.27.25 51,591,600 (20,700,000)	\$	51,010,800	\$	\$ <u>Change</u>
/holesale Revenue Requirement (d) less: Ownership Benefit per Lease et Local System Wholesale Revenue Requirement (c)	\$ \$	1.27.25 51,591,600 (20,700,000)	·	51,010,800	\$	Change
/holesale Revenue Requirement (d) less: Ownership Benefit per Lease et Local System Wholesale Revenue Requirement (c)	\$ \$	51,591,600 (20,700,000)	·		\$	
/holesale Revenue Requirement (d) less: Ownership Benefit per Lease et Local System Wholesale Revenue Requirement (c)	\$ \$	51,591,600 (20,700,000)	·		\$	
less: Ownership Benefit per Lease et Local System Wholesale Revenue Requirement (c)	\$ \$	(20,700,000)	·		\$	(580,800)
et Local System Wholesale Revenue Requirement (c)	\$, ,	\$	(20,700,000)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		30,891,600			\$	-
evenue from Wholesale Water Charges (88) + (91) (c)	_		\$	30,310,800	\$	(580,800)
	\$	402,522,900	\$	397,909,400	\$	(4,613,500)
	,					
etroit Customer Class -Other						
ndirect Retail Revenue Requirements (e)		TBD	Т	BD		
less: Use of Lease Payment for Debt Service		TBD	Т	BD		
et Indirect Retail Revenue Requirements (e)		TBD	Т	BD		
ubtotal Subject to GLWA Board Approval (91) + (95)		TBD	Т	BD		
irect Retail Revenue Requirements (f)		TBD	Т	BD		
otal Local Retail Revenue Requirement (95) + (97)		TBD	Т	BD		
otal Requirement Detroit Customer Class (91) + (98) (a	:	TBD	Т	BD		
ther Water System Charges						
mer traier eystem enarges	\$	65.00	\$	64.00	\$	(1.00)
ir oʻ	rect Retail Revenue Requirements (f) tal Local Retail Revenue Requirement (95) + (97) tal Requirement Detroit Customer Class (91) + (98) (ag	tal Local Retail Revenue Requirements (f) tal Local Retail Revenue Requirement (95) + (97) tal Requirement Detroit Customer Class (91) + (98) (ag	tal Local Retail Revenue Requirements (f) TBD TBD Tal Local Retail Revenue Requirement (95) + (97) TBD Tal Requirement Detroit Customer Class (91) + (98) (aç TBD	rect Retail Revenue Requirements (f) tal Local Retail Revenue Requirement (95) + (97) Tal Requirement Detroit Customer Class (91) + (98) (aç TBD T Ther Water System Charges	rect Retail Revenue Requirements (f) tal Local Retail Revenue Requirement (95) + (97) TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TB	rect Retail Revenue Requirements (f) tal Local Retail Revenue Requirement (95) + (97) TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TBD TB

- (a) Proposed effective date of July 1, 2025. Effective on all bills issued on or after August 1, 2025.
- (b) Flint receives a monthly credit related to KWA debt service in accordance with service agreements.
- (c) Agrees with GLWA Budget Schedule 1
- (d) Wholesale revenue requirements for the Detroit Customer Class.
- (e) Local System revenue requirements related to Master Bond Ordinance and related agreements
- (f) Local System operating expenses (net of shared services reimbursement) and I&E deposit. Not Subject to GLWA Board approval.
- (g) Amounts may vary from individual Member Partner charge calculation sheets due to rounding.

Schedule updated by GLWA Staff Pending Review by Willdan Financial Services

SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM

Service Charges and Allocated Revenues Requirements
FINAL PROPOSED - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025 FOR ALL BILLS ISSUED ON OR AFTER AUGUST 1, 2025

Updated 02.24.2026

	Proposed January 27, 20			70001 1, 2020		Proposed Feb	- 1	26, 2025
Line	Mambar Partner	Contract	Pr	ojected Revenue		ected Revenue		Change
No.	Member Partner	Confider		From Charges \$,	rom Charges \$		\$
1	OMID Common	Model	\$	79,089,600	\$	78,478,800	\$	(610,800)
2	Rouge Valley	Older	\$	60,873,600	\$	60,362,400	\$	(511,200)
3	Oakland GWK	Older	\$	50,835,600	\$	50,408,400	\$	(427,200)
4	Evergreen Farmington	Model	\$	39,895,200	\$	39,560,400	\$	(334,800)
5	SE Macomb San Dist	Model	\$	27,464,400	\$	27,234,000		(230,400)
6	Dearborn	Model	\$	22,620,000	\$	22,430,400	\$	(189,600)
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	Older	\$	2,935,200	\$	2,911,200	\$	(24,000)
8	Grosse Pointe Park	Model	\$	2,095,200	\$	2,077,200	\$	(18,000)
9	Melvindale	Model	\$	1,730,400	\$	1,716,000	\$	(14,400)
10	Farmington	Model	\$	1,320,000	\$	1,308,000	\$	(12,000)
11	Center Line	Model	\$	1,154,400	\$	1,144,800	\$	(9,600)
12	Allen Park	Model	\$	930,000	\$	922,800	\$	(7,200)
13	Grosse Pointe	Model	\$	1,290,000	\$	1,279,200	\$	(10,800)
14	Highland Park	Model	\$	5,239,200	\$	5,196,000	\$	(43,200)
15	Hamtramck	Model	\$	4,730,400	\$	4,690,800	\$	(39,600)
16	Harper Woods	Model	\$	178,800	\$	177,600	\$	(1,200)
17	Redford Township	Model	\$	370,800	\$	367,200	\$	(3,600)
18	Wayne County #3	Older	\$	51,600	\$	51,600	\$	-
19	Total Wholesale Contract Member Partners (C)		\$	302,804,400	\$	300,316,800	\$	(2,487,600)
	Other Sewer System Charges							
20	Industrial Waste Control (C)		\$	9,219,300	\$	9,150,300	\$	(69,000)
21	Pollutant Surcharges (c)		\$	5,161,300	\$	5,112,700	\$	(48,600)
22	Subtotal Regional Wholesale Revenues		\$	317,185,000	\$	314,579,800	\$	(2,605,200)
	Datrati Customas Class S							
22	Detroit Customer Class - \$		ď	222 752 000		220 840 400	ď	(1.011.(00)
23	Wholesale Revenue Requirement (d)		\$	222,752,000	\$	220,840,400		(1,911,600)
24	less: Ownership Benefit per Lease		\$	(5,516,000)	\$	(5,516,000)		(1.011.(00)
25 26	Net Local System Wholesale Revenue Requirement (c) Total Revenue from Wholesale Charges (22) + (25) (c)		\$ \$	217,236,000 534,421,000	\$	215,324,400 529,904,200	\$ \$	(1,911,600) (4,516,800)
20	Total Revenue nom Wholesdie Charges (22) 1 (23)		Ţ	334,421,000	7	327,704,200	ų	(4,318,600)
	Detroit Customer Class -Other							
27	Indirect Retail Revenue Requirements (e)			TBD				
28	less: Use of Lease Payment for Debt Service			TBD				
29	Net Indirect Retail Revenue Requirements (e)			TBD				
30	Subtotal Subject to GLWA Board Approval (25) + (29)			TBD				
31	Direct Retail Revenue Requirements (f)			TBD				
32	Total Local System Revenue Requirement (29) + (31)			TBD				
33	Total Requirement from Detroit Customer Class (25) + (3: with GLWA Budget Schedule 3)	2) (agrees		TBD				

- (a) Proposed effective date of July 1, 2025. Effective on all bills issued on or after August 1, 2025.
- (b) Reserved
- (c) Agrees with GLWA Budget Schedule
- (d) Wholesale revenue requirements for the Detroit Customer Class.
- (e) Local System revenue requirements related to Master Bond Ordinance and related agreements
- (f) Local System operating expenses (net of shared services reimbursement) and I&E deposit. Not Subject to GLWA Board approval.

02/26/2025 Charge Sheets-Sewer Summary

GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM

Schedule updated by GLWA Staff Pending Review by Willdan Financial Services

Industrial Specific Retail Charges

FINAL PROPOSED - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2025 FOR ALL BILLS ISSUED ON OR AFTER AUGUST 1, 2025

Updated 02.24.2026

		d January 27, 2025				Dro	posed Feb		10160 02.24.20)20	
		aste Control Char			l.		•		ntrol Charges		
Meter	maosmar w	Full	yes	Admin Only	Full	iuus	illul Wusie	_	dmin Only		
Size		Charge		Charge	Charge	(Change		Charge	CI	nange
inches		\$/mo		\$/mo	\$/mo				\$/mo		
5/8	\$	3.92	\$	0.98	\$ 3.89	\$	(0.03)	\$	0.97	\$	(0.01)
3/4	\$	5.88	\$	1.47	\$ 5.84	\$	(0.04)	\$	1.46	\$	(0.01)
1	\$	9.80	\$	2.45	\$ 9.73	\$	(0.07)	\$	2.43	\$	(0.02)
1-1/2	\$	21.56	\$	5.39	\$ 21.40	\$	(0.16)	\$	5.34	\$	(0.05)
2	\$	31.36	\$	7.84	\$ 31.12	\$	(0.24)	\$	7.76	\$	(0.08)
3	\$	56.84	\$	14.21	\$ 56.41	\$	(0.43)	\$	14.07	\$	(0.14)
4	\$	78.40	\$	19.60	\$ 77.80	\$	(0.60)	\$	19.40	\$	(0.20)
6	\$	117.60	\$	29.40	\$ 116.70	\$	(0.90)	\$	29.10	\$	(0.30)
8	\$	196.00	\$	49.00	\$ 194.50	\$	(1.50)	\$	48.50	\$	(0.50)
10	\$	274.40	\$	68.60	\$ 272.30	\$	(2.10)	\$	67.90	\$	(0.70)
12	\$	313.60	\$	78.40	\$ 311.20	\$	(2.40)	\$	77.60	\$	(0.80)
14	\$	392.00	\$	98.00	\$ 389.00	\$	(3.00)	\$	97.00	\$	(1.00)
16	\$	470.40	\$	117.60	\$ 466.80	\$	(3.60)	\$	116.40	\$	(1.20)
18	\$	548.80	\$	137.20	\$ 544.60	\$	(4.20)	\$	135.80	\$	(1.40)
20	\$	627.20	\$	156.80	\$ 622.40	\$	(4.80)	\$	155.20	\$	(1.60)
24	\$	705.60	\$	176.40	\$ 700.20	\$	(5.40)	\$	174.60	\$	(1.80)
30	\$	784.00	\$	196.00	\$ 778.00	\$	(6.00)	\$	194.00	\$	(2.00)
36	\$	862.40	\$	215.60	\$ 855.80	\$	(6.60)	\$	213.40	\$	(2.20)
48	\$	940.80	\$	235.20	\$ 933.60	\$	(7.20)		232.80	\$	(2.40)
							. ,				. ,

Pollutant Surchar	ges			Pollutant
Pollutant	(Charge	Charge	Change
		\$/lb		
BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (BOD)				
for concentrations > 275 mg/l	\$	0.413	0.409	\$ (0.004)
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (TSS)				
for concentrations > 350 mg/l	\$	0.553	0.548	\$ (0.005)
PHOSPHORUS (P)				
for concentrations > 12 mg/l	\$	7.975	7.907	\$ (0.068)
FATS OIL AND ODEASE (FOC)				
FATS, OIL AND GREASE (FOG)				
for concentrations > 100 mg/l	\$	0.132	0.131	\$ (0.001)
SEPTAGE DISPOSAL FEE				
	¢.	40.00		
Per 500 gallons of disposal	\$	40.00	40.00	\$ -

02/26/2025 Charge Sheet-Industrial