

Effective Date: Document #: Revision Date: Revision#: 7/1/2024 FSA\_PRO\_TPL\_0024 N/A 0

**Document Title:** 

**Procurement Board Report** 

**Document Owner/Department:** 

**Procurement Team** 

Date: July 29, 2025

To: Suzanne R. Coffey, P.E., Chief Executive Officer

From: Daniel Edwards, Procurement Manager

Re: Procurement Report

| General Information  |   |                |                                  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---|----------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Requisition Number:  | 007116B0006788  | Project Owner: | MiDeal Cooperative<br>Purchasing |  |  |  |
| CIP#:                | N/A   |                |                                  |  |  |  |
| Contract Title:      | Michigan Master Computing Program Contract (Hardware) |                |                                  |  |  |  |
| Vendor:              | Dell Marketing LP                                     |                |                                  |  |  |  |
| Budget:              | Operations and Maintenance                            |                |                                  |  |  |  |
| Federal/State Funded | □Yes ⊠N   |                |                                  |  |  |  |

| Procurement Method     |   |                               |                |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Competitively bio      | l – Request for Proposal (RFI                     | P) - Department of Technology | Management and |  |  |  |
| Budget (DTMB)          |   |                               |                |  |  |  |
| <b>Evaluation Comm</b> | nittee  |                               |                |  |  |  |
| Advertised:            | 12/23/2015  | Addendums released:           | 6              |  |  |  |
| Buyer:                 | Department of Technology<br>Management and Budget | Downloaded by:                | 9              |  |  |  |
| Response due date:     | 3/18/2016   | Responses received:           | 9              |  |  |  |

### **Description of scoring model**

The State of Michigan (State) issued Request for Proposal (RFP) #007116B0006788 to solicit responses from qualified vendors to provide products and services for the Michigan Master Computing Program (Bidder) for the State for an initial ten (10) year contract.

The primary components of this request include:

- o General Overview of Bidder capabilities including reporting, meeting SLAs, etc.
- o Module 1: Computer Hardware (including OEM Software), Hardware Maintenance, & associated Professional Services.
- o Module 2: Licensed Software, Software Maintenance, & associated Professional Services.



**Effective Date:** Document #: 7/1/2024

**Revision Date:** 

Revision#:

FSA\_PRO\_TPL\_0024

N/A

**Document Title:** 

**Procurement Board Report** 

**Document Owner/Department:** 

**Procurement Team** 

Bidders could submit proposals on either Module 1, Module 2, or both modules.

Those proposals receiving 85 or more technical evaluation points in Step 3, for either module, had their pricing evaluated in Step 4 and were considered for the awarding of one or both modules depending on which portion of their proposal passed Step 3.

# **Award Recommendation of Best Value Bidder**

The award recommendation was made to the responsive and responsible Bidders who offered the best value to the State. Best value was be determined by selecting the Bidders that met all Mandatory Minimum Requirements, met the minimum Technical Evaluation point threshold, met the minimum Technical Evaluation point threshold for Module 1- Hardware, Module 2 – Software, or both; and offered the best combination of the Technical Evaluation Criteria, and pricing as demonstrated by its proposal.

# Business Inclusion and Diversity (B.I.D.) - Not Applicable

Evaluation Score(s) - Maximum Score Possible - 300

The Evaluation Committee reviewed and scored the proposals in accordance with Department of

Technology Management and Rudget (DTMR) policy. The rankings are below.

| Technology Management and Budget (DTMB) policy. The rankings are below. |                          |               |                 |                            |  |
|---|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
|   | Minimum Step 2 – General |               | Step 3 – Module | Step 3 – Module 2          |  |
|   | Qualifications           | Overview      | 1 – Hardware    | <ul><li>Software</li></ul> |  |
|   | (Pass/Fail)              | (100 points)  | (100 points     | (100 points)               |  |
| CDWG*   | Pass                     | 92            | 99              | 95                         |  |
| Dell*   | Pass                     | 85            | 85              | 85                         |  |
| Insight Public Sector*  | Pass                     | 88            | 58              | 97                         |  |
| SHI International Corp*   | Pass                     | 85            | 66              | 92                         |  |
| HPE   | Pass                     | 79            | Not Evaluated   | Not Evaluated              |  |
| GovConnection   | Pass                     | 74            | Not Evaluated   | Not Evaluated              |  |
| Office Depot  | Pass                     | 68            | Not Evaluated   | Not Evaluated              |  |
| Zones, Inc  | Pass                     | 67            | Not Evaluated   | Not Evaluated              |  |
| Vaske Computer, Inc.<br>(Collier IT)                                    | Fail                     | Not Evaluated | Not Evaluated   | Not Evaluated              |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Oral Presentations – The four bidders that passed the General Overview section were invited to give Oral Presentations after Step 3. No point adjustments were made based on Oral Presentations

Note: GLWA has selected Dell, the second highest ranking vendor for continuity of service, because all GLWA services have been previously provided by Dell. GLWA's desktop hardware/software standard includes all Dell equipment. In addition, GLWA help desk



**Effective Date:** Document #: 7/1/2024

FSA\_PRO\_TPL\_0024

**Revision Date:** 

Revision#:

N/A

**Document Title:** 

**Procurement Board Report** 

**Document Owner/Department:** 

**Procurement Team** 

technicians have become experts at imaging, updating and supporting the Dell brand. For GLWA to switch to another brand at this point in time would not be cost effective or in the best interest for GLWA.

Benchmarking was completed by comparing the bids for this project. This analysis confirmed that rates are in competitive range. The rate comparisons and allowances (if any) are illustrated in the attached bid tabulation.

# **QBS Evaluation Committee: (Designation - Organization)**

- A David Hatch, DTMB (JEC Chair)
- B Mary Ladd, DTMB
- C Brad Pagratis, DTMB
- D Natalie Spaniolo, DTMB
- E David Roach, DTMB

# **Advantages of Utilization of Cooperative Agreements**

Cooperative (co-op) agreements offer several advantages, primarily centered around cost savings, streamlined procurement, and increased efficiency. By combining the purchasing power of multiple entities, co-ops can secure lower prices through volume discounts and leverage economies of scale. Furthermore, they reduce administrative burdens and time spent on individual procurement processes.

In summary, cooperative agreements empower organizations to enhance purchasing power, reduce costs, and streamline procurement processes, leading to increased efficiency, better resource utilization, and improved outcomes.

# Other Data Requested by GLWA Board Members for Recommended Vendor

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE): No Detroit Based Business (DBB): No Small Business Enterprise (SBE): No

Sub-Contractor(s) List: Not Applicable

Vendor Response Survey: Not Applicable

# Litigation

This vendor is not currently nor has been previously involved in any litigation with the GLWA.



Effective Date: Document #: FSA\_PRO\_TPL\_0024

**Revision Date:** N/A

Revision#:

**Document Title:** 

**Procurement Board Report** 

**Document Owner/Department:** 

**Procurement Team** 

#### **Financials**

A financial risk assessment was performed by the GLWA via Dun & Bradstreet and was determined that the selected vendor has the financial capacity to perform the tasks under this contract. This information is available for the Board of Directors to review upon request.

#### **Previous Contract**

Previous contract holder: Dell Computer Corporation

The previous contract, Contract No. 2203047/SCN-0000306, was for the period of 10/1/2022 through 9/30/2025, for \$1,500,000.00. The contract amount differences between the two contracts are provided in the table below.

| Previous Contra                     | ıct            | New Proposed Contract        |                |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| Dell Computer Corporation 2022-2025 |                | Dell Marketing LP 2025 -2028 |                |
| Description                         | Cost           | Description                  | Cost           |
| Duration:10/1/22 - 9/30/25          | \$1,500,000.00 | Duration: 2025 - 2028        | \$1,800,000.00 |

The cost difference between Contract No. Contract No. 2203047/SCN-0000306 and the new Proposed REQ-0005467, results from the additional charges due to the economic conditions.