Great Lakes Water Authority

735 Randolph Street Detroit, Michigan 48226 glwater.legistar.com



Legislation Text

File #: 2018-688, Version: 1

GLWA-CS-165 Change Order No. 1

Professional Services for Route Study, Condition Assessment and Design-Build Contractor Oversight for 96-Inch Water Main Relocation

Agenda of: April 25, 2018 Item No.: **2018-688**

Amount: N/A

TO: The Honorable

Board of Directors

Great Lakes Water Authority

FROM: Sue F. McCormick

Chief Executive Officer

Great Lakes Water Authority

DATE: April 4, 2018

RE: Contract No. GLWA-CS-165 Change Order No. 1

Professional Services for Route Study, Condition Assessment and Design-Build

Contractor Oversight for 96-Inch Water Main Relocation

Vendor: CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.

<u>MOTION</u>

Upon recommendation of Cheryl Porter, Chief Operating Officer - Water and Field Services, the Board of Directors (Board) of the Great Lakes Water Authority (GLWA), authorizes the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to enter into Contract No. GLWA-CS-165 Change Order No. 1, "Professional Services for Route Study, Condition Assessment and Design-Build Contractor Oversight for 96-Inch Water Main Relocation" with CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc. for a time extension of 365 days at no additional cost for a total contract duration of 730 days; and authorizes the CEO to take such other action as may be necessary to accomplish the intent of this vote.

BACKGROUND

CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc. (CH2M) started work on March 6, 2017, for engineering services related to the relocation of the 96-inch water transmission main outside of the closed G&H Industrial Landfill site. It was initially planned that the relocation of the 96-inch water transmission main would be conducted in two phases. Phase 1 involved installing two new tie-in connections with new isolation valves on the existing 96-inch water main. The new isolation valves/tie-ins would be located upstream (north) and downstream (south) of the closed G&H Industrial Landfill site. These new tie-ins would be made using live-taps and would serve as future connections for the new 96-inch relocated main. The two new valves were to be used to isolate the 96-inch main between the Rochester Booster Pump Station and the North Service Center pumping/reservoir facility. This section of main would have been dewatered and inspected to assess its condition. Phase 2 involved constructing the approximately 2.5 miles of relocated 96-inch main and connecting it to the two tie-in locations installed during Phase 1.

As GLWA and CH2M evaluated the technical feasibility and risks associated with live tapping the 96-inch water main, which is prestressed concrete cylinder pipe (PCCP) with a relatively thin embedded steel cylinder, we mutually determined that there was excessive risk associated with live tapping the 96-inch PCCP and that other alternatives to tie into the existing main were needed. The alternatives considered for tying into the existing 96-inch water main have been referred to as "implementation strategies". Evaluation of the implementation strategies have required significant engagement from GLWA's member partners because of impacts to their level of service during construction. Similarly, as more information about the contamination in the closed landfill and previous stockpiling of excessive soils on top of the 96-inch transmission main became apparent, GLWA determined that it was best to first construct the new, relocated main, tie it into the existing main, and lastly abandon the 96-inch main within the limits of the closed landfill. Therefore, the existing 96-inch transmission main beneath or near the closed landfill would not be taken out of service for a condition assessment due to the risks associated with the main developing leaks or breaks if it was depressurized.

These decisions set forth a new direction for the project. As a result, GLWA operations and engineering has directed CH2M to continue to execute the on-going tasks listed in Table 1 because of the importance associated with (a) getting the 96-inch main out of a contaminated landfill site, thereby protecting public health, and (b) providing redundancy that is absent today to our customers served off the 96-inch main between Romeo and North Service Center.

Table 1 Contract No. CS-165 On- Going Major Tasks			
On- Going Major Tasks		Status	Target Date
1	Continued agency coording (EPA), Michigan Department Macomb County Department Commission for Oakland Resources Commissioner Works Office (MCPWO), others), including utilities Sunoco, OCWRC, etc.) a Commission, Clinton River Alliance, Southeastern Micsemcog).		NA
2	Finalize scope of "opportu County Road Department		April 2018

File #: 2018-688, Version: 1

3	Conduct aerial survey of tOn-going locations	April 2018
4	Develop construction seqiOn-going and place new and existin Romeo and North Service	July 2018
5	Prepare concept design a On-going Loop temporary booster p	July 2018
6	Select the alignment of th On-going coordination with Yates C	July 2018
7	Prepare service restoratio On-going separation, schedule restr	July 2018
8	Identify temporary and pe On-going	July 2018
9	Prepare preliminary drawi On-going vaults that will improve retthe 96" water main south	July 2018
10	Verify East Pond Creek diOn-going capacity of the creek for N	July 2018
11	Conduct geotechnical and On-going selected pipeline alignme	October 2018
12	Locate utilities along the sOn-going holing" techniques.	October 2018
13	Conduct detailed surveyin On-going alignment.	October 2018
14	Verify operation of critical On-going needed to drain the pipelil requirements; determine t	December 2018
15	Verify Bruce Twp., Rome On-going ability to back each other extended time periods (i.e construction).	January 2019

Although this is a no-cost change order, it is noted that the tasks associated with this professional engineering services contract are budgeted and being managed as shown in Table 2. Two tasks from the originally executed contract will remain open, **Task 1.1. Project Administration** and **Task 2.1. Agency Coordination**. Remaining budgets for these two tasks will remain unchanged (\$23,068.12 for Task 1.1 and \$14,276.05 for Task 2.1). The remaining unspent budgets from the other tasks will be reallocated to a new task, **Task 1.5: Pre-Design** (\$1,205,702.10). All other tasks will be closed out. The total remaining budget through February 28, 2018, is \$1,243,046.27.

Task		Original Contract Budget	Total Spent	Remaining Budget	Re-Allocated Budget
Task 1	Phase 1: Route Ev	Phase 1: Route Evaluation and Selection			
1.1	Project Administrat	\$68,840.00	\$45,771.88	\$23,068.12	\$23,068.12
1.2	Pipeline Route Eva	\$279,800.00	\$656,874.89	(\$377,074.89)	\$

File #: 2018-688, Version: 1

	Total	\$1,999,380.00	756,333.73	1,243,046.27	1,243,046.27
3.4	Full-Time RPR	\$425,800.00	\$	\$425,800.00	\$
3.3	Valve Witness Tes	\$11,000.00	\$	\$11,000.00	\$
3.2	Condition Assessm Water Main Segme		\$	\$540,730.00	\$
3.1	Construction Admir	\$455,635.00	\$	\$455,635.00	\$
Task 3	Phase 3: Construction Administration				
2.1	Agency Coordination	\$52,650.00	\$38,373.95	\$14,276.05	\$14,276.05
Task 2	Phase 2: Agency	Coordination	_		
1.5	Pre-Design	NA	NA	NA	\$1,205,702.10
1.4	Design Submittal F	\$102,735.00	\$	\$102,735.00	\$
1.3	Tie-in Configuration	\$62,190.00	\$15,313.01	\$46,876.99	\$

JUSTIFICATION

A portion of GLWA's 96-inch water transmission main is located within the limits of the closed G&H Industrial Landfill site, a United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Superfund site of contamination. This current situation poses a risk to water quality to those served by the 96-inch water transmission main, which is the principle driver to relocate the 96-inch water transmission main out of the closed landfill.

The original scope and schedule was predicated on executing a live-tap on the 96-inch water main, which has been determined to be a method that exposes GLWA and its member partners to unacceptable risk. As a result, the new approach that has been developed and is being engineered by the professional engineering consultant (CH2M, now JACOBS) reduces the risk of water service disruptions to member partners and continues the push to deliver a solution to remove the portion of the 96-inch water transmission main from the closed G&H Industrial Landfill site.

FINANCIAL PLAN IMPACT

Proposed change order number one is an extension of time only and has no overall financial plan impact.

COMMITTEE REVIEW

This item was presented to the Operations and Resources Committee at its meeting on April 11, 2018. The Operations and Resources Committee unanimously recommended that the GLWA Board adopt the resolution as presented.

SHARED SERVICES IMPACT

File #	‡ : 201	18-688,	Vers	ion:	1
--------	----------------	---------	------	------	---

This item does not impact the shared services agreement between GLWA and DWSD.