



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0039

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Procurement Board Report

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

Date: May 3, 2021

To: Sue McCormick, Chief Executive Officer

From: Daniel Edwards, Procurement Manager

Re: Procurement Report

General Information

Contract Number:	2004666	Project Owner:	Christopher Nastally
Contract Title:	Conner Creek Canal Dike Improvements		
Vendor:	M-K Construction Company, Inc.		
Budget:	Capital Project		

Procurement Method

Competitively bid - Request for Bid (RFB)			
Advertised:	March 22, 2021	Addendums released:	4
Buyer:	Dionne Graves	Downloaded by:	77
Response due date:	May 4, 2021	Responses received:	6

Cost Schedule

Description	Cost
Task 1 – Conner Creek Canal Dike Improvements, Complete	\$1,449,800.00
Task 2 – Additional Heavy Riprap (as determined by the Contracting Officer)	13,500.00
Task 3 – Ground Based Radar Coverage at Conner Creek CSO Facility	107,947.00
Task 4 – Allowance No. 1 – A Provisionary Allowance for Unforeseen Conditions	300,000.00
Totals	\$1,871,247.00

Provisionary Allowance is an amount included in the Contract Price to reimburse the Contractor for the cost to furnish and perform Work that is uncertain. Any remaining balance upon Final Completion shall be retained by the GLWA and not paid to the Contractor.

Benchmarking was completed by comparing the proposals for this project. This analysis confirmed that rates are in competitive range. The rate comparisons and allowances (if any) are illustrated in the attached cost tabulation.



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0039

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Procurement Board Report

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

Evaluation Score(s) - Maximum Score Possible: 100%

The recommended award is determined based upon the vendor with the lowest cost (and therefore the highest score). The submissions were independently reviewed on a pass-fail basis for this RFB. The Procurement Group assigned the scores below based upon the cost submitted.

Vendor Name	B.I.D. Plan (Pass/Fail)	Score w/o B.I.D.	Score with B.I.D.	Business Presence			Certifications
				State of Michigan (1 pt.)	GLWA Territory Area (1pt.)	Economically Disadvantaged Territory (1 pt.)	
M-K Construction Co., Inc.	Fail	97.00	99.00	Yes	Yes - Wayne Cty	No - Brownstown	SBE
Commercial Contracting Corp.	Pass	80.17	83.17	Yes	Yes - Wayne Cty	Yes - Detroit	
Z Contractors, Inc.	Pass	78.71	80.17	Yes	Yes - Macomb Cty	No - Shelby Twp	
L. D'Agostini & Sons, Inc.	Pass	77.24	79.24	Yes	Yes - Macomb Cty	No - Macomb	SBE
Walsh Group	Pass	65.93	68.93	Yes	Yes - Wayne Cty	Yes - Detroit	
C.A. Hull Co., Inc.	Pass	48.06	50.06	Yes	Yes - Oakland Cty	No - Walled Lake	

Business Inclusion and Diversity (B.I.D.)

B.I.D. program required B.I.D. program not required

The recommended vendor for award submitted a B.I.D. plan per the requirements under this solicitation.

The recommended vendor for award did not submit a B.I.D. plan per the requirements under this solicitation.

The vendor received points for the following scored criteria:



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0039

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Procurement Board Report

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

- Business presence in State of Michigan
- Business presence in GLWA service territory area (Wayne County, Brownstown)
- Business presence in economically disadvantaged GLWA service territory area (list the territory)

B.I.D. Program Vendor Diversity Plan Summary

Each vendor was required to submit a Diversity Plan that conformed to the following criteria: 1) provided a proposed plan for the inclusion of small, minority-owned, and disadvantaged businesses; 2) outlined the outreach efforts made with small, disadvantaged, and minority-owned businesses; and 3) included an executive summary with the key points of their Diversity Plan. The table below summarizes the information provided in each vendor’s Diversity Plan.

It should be noted that the vendor with the lowest cost (and therefore the highest score) did not fulfill the Diversity Plan criteria. The Procurement Group will meet with the recommended vendor to discuss opportunities to improve the approach to meet the objectives of the GLWA B.I.D. program for this procurement.

Vendor Name	Proposed Plan	Vendor Outreach	Executive Summary	Diversity Plan Criteria Met
M-K Construction Co., Inc.	No	No	No	0/3
Commercial Contracting Corp.	Yes	Yes	Yes	3/3
Z Contractors, Inc.	Yes	Yes	Yes	3/3
L. D’Agostini & Sons, Inc.	Yes	Yes	Yes	3/3
Walsh Group	Yes	Yes	Yes	3/3
C.A. Hull Co., Inc.	Yes	Yes	Yes	3/3

Other Data Requested by GLWA Board Members

- Minority Business Enterprise (MBE): No
- Small Business Enterprise (SBE): Yes
- Woman Business Enterprise (WBE): No
- Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE): No
- Detroit Based Business (DBB): No
- Other:



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0039

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Procurement Board Report

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

- Sub-Contractor(s) List:
Mustang Fencing – Fencing

Pipetek – Pipe Cleaning & Video

Poco - Traffic

Vendor Response Survey: N/A

Litigation

This vendor is not currently nor has been previously involved in any litigation with the GLWA.

Financials

A financial risk assessment was performed by the GLWA via Dun & Bradstreet and was determined that the selected vendor has the financial capacity to perform the tasks under this contract. This information is available for the Board of Directors to review upon request.

Previous Contract

Previous contract holder: N/A.