



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0041

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Vendor Response Follow-up

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

Contract No./Title: 1903598, Yard Piping – Construction Management
Date: 10/2/2020

Vendor	Contact Name	Email Address	Explanation of No Bid Submittal
Walsh Construction	CJ Pokorny	cpokorny@walshgroup.com	Service schedule would not permit vendor to perform as length of award is too long.
NTH Consultants	David Lutz	DLutz@nthconsultants.com	Decided to bid on the engineering portion since vendor could not bid on both.
Wade Trim	Dennis Prevo	dprevo@wadetrim.com	Decided to bid on the engineering portion since vendor could not bid on both.
WSP USA Inc.	James Rydquist	james.rydquist@wsp.com	Firm decided it was in their best interest to propose solely on the engineering contract.
Orbital Engineering	Guy York	gyork@orbitalengr.com	We felt that our qualifications for that project would not grade well against the competition and have decided to pass on that opportunity. But will consider responding to future opportunities.
GHD	Dan Schechter	Daniel.schechter@ghd.com	Made business decision to submit on the Engineering project only and not the Construction Management. With the Engineering proposal, was able to better demonstrate qualifications, experience and a more innovative asset-management based approach.
LGC	Bhagu Patel	Bhagu.patel@lgccorp.com	No response from Vendor.
PMC	Scott Worth	sworth@pmaconsultants.com	Although we offer this service, we do not offer this specific service (construction management) as detailed in the RFP.
Benesch	Thomas Jennings	tjennings@benesch.com	No response from Vendor.
CDM Smith	David Vidikan	vidikandc@cdmsmith.com	Felt company brought higher value, experience and expertise to GLWA to perform the Engineering Services portion of the project.