

# Memorandum

To: Honorable Board of Directors

From: Daniel Edwards, Procurement Manager

Date: February 26, 2019

RE: GLWA-CS-102 "Professional Engineering Services for Rehabilitation of Pump Station No. 1 Improvements", Scrivener's Error

GLWA-CS-102 (Professional Engineering Services for Rehabilitation of Pump Station No. 1 Improvements), was presented to and approved by the GLWA Board of Directors on December 12, 2018. The amount of the contract should be \$4,986,249.00. This is indicated in the title line at the top of the letter and elsewhere throughout the Board Letter and Procurement Report. However, in the motion it is written as \$4,636,249.00.

Please consider this a communication of a Scrivener's error as it pertains to the dollar amount of the contract.

Daniel Edwards Procurement Manager



#### GLWA-CS-102 Professional Engineering Services for Rehabilitation of Pump Station No. 1 Improvements

Agenda of: December 12, 2018

- Item No.: 2018-1036
- Amount: \$4,986,249
- TO: The Honorable Board of Directors Great Lakes Water Authority
- FROM: Sue F. McCormick Chief Executive Officer Great Lakes Water Authority
- DATE: December 4, 2018
- RE: GLWA-CS-102 Professional Engineering Services for Rehabilitation of Pump Station No. 1 Improvements

### MOTION

Upon recommendation of Navid Mehram, Chief Operating Officer- Wastewater Operating Services, the Board of Directors (Board) of the Great Lakes Water Authority (GLWA), authorizes the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to enter into Contract No. GLWA-CS-102, "Professional Engineering Services for Rehabilitation of Pump Station No. 1 Improvements" with Wade Trim Associates, at a cost not to exceed \$4,636,249.00 for a duration of Sixty (60) months; and authorizes the CEO to take such other action as may be necessary to accomplish the intent of this vote.

## BACKGROUND

GLWA operates two raw sewage pumping stations: Influent Pumping Station No. 1 (PS-1) and Influent Pumping Station No. 2 (PS-2), at the Water Resource Recovery Facility. Raw wastewater (influent) from the collection system flows to PS-1 through the Detroit River Interceptor (DRI) and the Oakwood Interceptor and is pumped to an elevation that allows the wastewater to flow through primary treatment by gravity.

PS-1 was constructed in the late 1930s and has eight constant speed pumps of various capacities and has a firm capacity (defined as a single largest pump out of service) of 1,025 million gallons per day (MGD). This is the majority of the 1,700 (MGD) conveyance capacity required by the Water Resource Recovery Facility (WRRF) as required by its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. The pumps at PS-1 were last rehabilitated in 2004 and 2005. Flow measurement from PS-1 is currently estimated using pump curves or using 1960s technology.

## **JUSTIFICATION**

This project is necessary because PS-1 provides the majority of the NPDES required pumping capacity for the WRRF, and the eight pumping units are in need of rehabilitation due to normal operational wear and tear over the past 14 years. Further reduction of the already reduced pumping capacities of these pumps may result in violation of the NPDES permit requirements for pumping capacity. In addition, there are reliability issues with the electrical components necessary to start the pumps. Also, since large amounts of grit enter PS-1, the flooded section where the pumps draw their water from will be studied to optimize grit distribution through all eight pumps. Flow measurement opportunities will be studied and improved if economically feasible. Finally, the mechanical, architectural, structural and electrical systems of the facility all have components that are past their useful life and therefore require repair or replacement.

### FINANCIAL PLAN IMPACT

Summary: Sufficient funds are provided in the financial plan for this project.

Funding Source: Wastewater Construction Bond

Cost Center: Wastewater Engineering

Expense Type: Construction (5421-892211.000-617950-211006)

Estimated Cost by Year and Related Estimating Variance: See table below.

**Fiscal Year** 

| FY 2019 Budget | \$<br>442,000.00 |
|----------------|------------------|
| FY 2020 Budget | 1,593,000.00     |
| FY 2021 Budget | 178,000.00       |
| FY 2022 Budget | 310,000.00       |
| FY 2023 Budget | 178,000.00       |
| FY 2024 Budget | <u>36,000.00</u> |



| Financial Plan Estimate      | \$<br>2,737,000.00 |
|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Proposed Contract Award      | 4,986,249.00       |
| Negative Estimating Variance | \$<br>2,249,249.00 |

#### SAVINGS, COST OPTIMIZATION, AND REVENUE ENHANCEMENT IMPACT

Cost savings are not determinable at the time of this award.

The award of this contract to the vendor creates a negative estimating variance of \$1,899,249.00. This variance will be funded from capital reserves.

| Project estimate           | \$ 2,737,000.00     |
|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Proposed award             | <u>4,986,249.00</u> |
| Capital reserve adjustment | \$ (2,249,249.00)   |

### **COMMITTEE REVIEW**

This item was presented to the Operations and Resources Committee at its meeting on December 12, 2018.

### SHARED SERVICES IMPACT

This item does not impact the shared services agreement between GLWA and DWSD.





### **Procurement Report**

#### Date: November 20, 2018 To: Sue McCormick, Chief Executive Officer From: Sonya Collins, Procurement Manager Re: Procurement Report

| General Information  |                      |                |              |
|--|----------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Contract Number:   | GLWA-CS-102          | Project Owner: | Ali Khraizat |
| Contract Title: Professional Engineering Services for Rehabilitation of Pump Station |                      |                |              |
|  | No. 1 Improvements   |                |              |
| Vendor:  | Wade Trim Associates | S              |              |
| Budget: Capital Project  |                      |                |              |
| Contract Length:   | Sixty (60) months    |                |              |

#### Procurement Method

| Competitively bid – Request for Proposal (RFP) Qualification Based Selection (QBS) – Evaluation Committee |                    |                     |    |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|----|
| Advertised:   | July 20, 2018      | Addendums released: | 3  |
| Distributed to:   | 74                 | Downloaded by:      | 74 |
| Response due date:  | September 17, 2018 | Responses received: | 4  |

### **Negotiated Cost Schedule**

| Description  | <b>Original Proposal</b> | Negotiated   |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|
| Task 1: Project Kickoff Workshop                   | 14,272                   | 14,265       |
| Task 2: Project Management                         | 230,498                  | 230,497      |
| Task 3: Study Phase                                | 1,667,160                | 1,697,065    |
| Task 4: Preliminary Design Phase                   | 441,386                  | 441,394      |
| Task 5: Final Design Phase                         | 1,035,387                | 1,035,390    |
| Task 6: Bid & Negotiation Phase                    | 36,980                   | 36,986       |
| Task 7: Construction Assistance                    | 687,929                  | 687,392      |
| Task 8: Engineering Representation Services        | 60,155                   | 60,151       |
| Task 9: System O&M Updating, SOPs, and Training    | 101,607                  | 101,609      |
| Task 10: Provisional Allowance for Stop Logs       | 680,094                  | Deleted      |
| Task 11: Provisional Allowance (NTE*)              | 300,000                  | 650,000      |
| Task 12: Provisional Allowance- Vibration Analysis | 31,500                   | 31,500       |
| Totals   | \$ 5,286,968             | \$ 4,986,249 |

\*Not to exceed (NTE)



Provisionary Allowance is an amount included in the Contract Price to reimburse the Consultant for the cost to furnish and perform Work that is uncertain. Any remaining balance upon Final Completion shall be retained by the GLWA and not paid to the Consultant.

Benchmarking was completed by comparing the proposals for this project. This analysis confirmed that rates are in competitive range. The rate comparisons and allowances (if any) are illustrated in the attached document labeled cost tabulation.

#### **Evaluation and Scores**

| Evaluation Committee: (Designation – Organization) |
|--|
| A – GLWA   |
| B – GLWA   |
| C – GLWA   |
| D – Oakland  |
| E – DWSD   |

The Evaluation Committee independently reviewed and scored the proposals in accordance with GLWA's policy. The rankings are below.

| Maximum Score Possible:          |        |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|
| Vendor (Highest to lowest score) | Score  | Proposed Cost<br>before Negotiations |
| Wade Trim Associates, Inc.       | 396.90 | \$ 5,286,968                         |
| CDM Smith Michigan Inc           | 395.40 | \$ 6,366,762                         |
| Brown & Caldwell, LLC            | 378.50 | \$ 5,356,129                         |
| Black & Veatch Ltd. of Michigan  | 348.80 | \$ 8,154,184                         |

#### Other Data Requested by GLWA Board Members

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE): No Detroit Based Business (DBB): No Small Business Enterprise (SBE): No

Sub-Contractor(s) List:

Hazen and Sawyer PCI Nth Clemson FlowServe Walsh

Form 11320-v.4.2018



Titus WSI Hibbard Inshore

Vendor Response Survey: Not Applicable

## Litigation

This vendor is not currently nor has been previously involved in any litigation with the GLWA.

#### Financials

A financial risk assessment was performed by the GLWA via Dun & Bradstreet and was determined that the selected vendor has the financial capacity to perform the tasks under this contract. This information is available for the Board of Directors to review upon request.