

## **Procurement Report**

General Information					
Contract Number:	GLWA-CS-272	Project Owner:	Ali Khraizat		
Contract Title:	Capital Improvement Program Delivery				
Vendor:	Aecom Great Lakes, Inc.				
Budget:	Capital Project/Operations and Maintenance				
Contract Length:	Four (4) years				

### Procurement Method

Competitively bid – Request for Proposal (RFP) Qualification Based Selection (QBS) – Evaluation Committee				
Advertised:	July 27, 2018	Addendums released:	4	
Distributed to:	81	Downloaded by:	81	
Response due date:	October 12, 2018	Responses received:	2	

#### Negotiated Cost Schedule

Aecom Great Lakes, Inc.				
Description	Original Proposal	Negotiated		
Task 1 – CIP Business Process Improvements	\$1,063,125.00	\$1,072,004.00		
Task 2 - CIP Delivery Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) Development	1,203,858.00	1,209,606.00		
Task 3 – CIP Delivery Resource Evaluation	537,989.00	676,847.00		
Task 4 - Project Management Information Systems (PMIS) Selection and Implementation	1,542,856.00	1,527,779.00		
Task 5 – Project Controls and Reporting Support	13,046,164.00	12,361,160.00		
Task 6 – CIP Validation	1,464,211.00	2,403,428.00		
Task 7 – Engineering and Construction Staff Augmentation	37,776,738.00	35,347,272.00		
Task 8 – Advanced Facilities Planning	1,906,752.00	2,006,563.00		
*Task 9 – Staff Augmentation (Other than Construction)		1,567,500.00		
*Task 10 – Enterprise Wide Energy		438,900.00		
Optimization/Sustainability Planning				
Totals	\$58,541,693.00	\$58,611,059.00		

\*Denotes a provisionary allowance.

Provisionary Allowance is an amount included in the Contract Price to reimburse the Consultant for the cost to furnish and perform Work that is uncertain. Any remaining balance upon Final Completion shall be retained by the GLWA and not paid to the Consultant.



Benchmarking was completed by comparing the proposals for this project. This analysis confirmed that rates are in competitive range.

#### **Evaluation and Scores**

Evaluation Committee: (Designation – Organization)		
A –GLWA	F – GLWA	
B –GLWA	G – GLWA	
C – GLWA	H – DWSD	
D – GLWA	I – GLWA	
E – GLWA	J – Macomb	

The Evaluation Committee independently reviewed and scored the proposals in accordance with GLWA's policy. The rankings are below.

Maximum Score Possible: 300				
Vendor (Highest to lowest score) Score Proposed Cost before Negotia		Proposed Cost before Negotiations		
Aecom Great Lakes, Inc.	253.16	\$58,541,693.00		
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	232.72	\$73,874,340.00		

#### Other Data Requested by GLWA Board Members

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE): No Detroit Based Business (DBB): No Small Business Enterprise (SBE): No

Sub-Contractor(s) List:

METCO E Holdings Plante Moran Cresa DLZ

Vendor Response Survey: N/A



# Litigation

This vendor is not currently nor has been previously involved in any litigation with the GLWA.

## Financials

A financial risk assessment was performed by the GLWA via Dun & Bradstreet and was determined that the selected vendor has the financial capacity to perform the tasks under this contract. This information is available for the Board of Directors to review upon request.