

Procurement Report

Date: November 20, 2018 To: Sue McCormick, Chief Executive Officer From: Sonya Collins, Procurement Manager Re: Procurement Report

General Information					
Contract Number:	GLWA-CS-102	Project Owner:	Ali Khraizat		
Contract Title:	Professional Engineering Services for Rehabilitation of Pump Station No. 1 Improvements				
Vendor:	Wade Trim Associate	S			
Budget:	Capital Project				
Contract Length:	Sixty (60) months				

Procurement Method

Competitively bid – Request for Proposal (RFP) Qualification Based Selection (QBS) – Evaluation Committee				
Advertised:	July 20, 2018	Addendums released:	3	
Distributed to:	74	Downloaded by:	74	
Response due date:	September 17, 2018	Responses received:	4	

Negotiated Cost Schedule

Description	Original Proposal	Negotiated
Task 1: Project Kickoff Workshop	14,272	14,265
Task 2: Project Management	230,498	230,497
Task 3: Study Phase	1,667,160	1,697,065
Task 4: Preliminary Design Phase	441,386	441,394
Task 5: Final Design Phase	1,035,387	1,035,390
Task 6: Bid & Negotiation Phase	36,980	36,986
Task 7: Construction Assistance	687,929	687,392
Task 8: Engineering Representation Services	60,155	60,151
Task 9: System O&M Updating, SOPs, and Training	101,607	101,609
Task 10: Provisional Allowance for Stop Logs	680,094	Deleted
Task 11: Provisional Allowance (NTE)	300,000	650,000
Task 12: Provisional Allowance- Vibration Analysis	31,500	31,500
Totals	\$ 5,286,968	\$ 4,986,249

*Not to exceed (NTE)



Provisionary Allowance is an amount included in the Contract Price to reimburse the Consultant for the cost to furnish and perform Work that is uncertain. Any remaining balance upon Final Completion shall be retained by the GLWA and not paid to the Consultant.

Benchmarking was completed by comparing the proposals for this project. This analysis confirmed that rates are in competitive range. The rate comparisons and allowances (if any) are illustrated in the attached document labeled cost tabulation.

Evaluation and Scores

Evaluation Committee: (Designation – Organization)	
A – GLWA	
B – GLWA	
C – GLWA	
D – Oakland	
E – DWSD	

The Evaluation Committee independently reviewed and scored the proposals in accordance with GLWA's policy. The rankings are below.

Maximum Score Possible:		
Vendor (Highest to lowest score)	Score	Proposed Cost before Negotiations
Wade Trim Associates, Inc.	396.90	\$ 5,286,968
CDM Smith Michigan Inc	395.40	\$ 6,366,762
Brown & Caldwell, LLC	378.50	\$ 5,356,129
Black & Veatch Ltd. of Michigan	348.80	\$ 8,154,184

Other Data Requested by GLWA Board Members

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE): No Detroit Based Business (DBB): No Small Business Enterprise (SBE): No

Sub-Contractor(s) List:

Hazen and Sawyer PCI Nth Clemson FlowServe Walsh

Form 11320-v.4.2018



Titus WSI Hibbard Inshore

Vendor Response Survey: Not Applicable

Litigation

This vendor is not currently nor has been previously involved in any litigation with the GLWA.

Financials

A financial risk assessment was performed by the GLWA via Dun & Bradstreet and was determined that the selected vendor has the financial capacity to perform the tasks under this contract. This information is available for the Board of Directors to review upon request.