	gineer's imate 941,150.00 463,500.00 306,900.00			bet Eng Est Hig	fference tween gineer's imate and thest scored ndor (Jay Dee)	<u>Notes:</u> Evaluation team agrees with the level of effort proposed by vendor.
\$	941,150.00 463,500.00	\$	y Dee_ ntractors, Inc. 909,231.00	Eng Est Hig ver	gineer's imate and thest scored ndor (Jay Dee)	Evaluation team agrees with the level of
\$	941,150.00 463,500.00	\$	y <u>Dee</u> ntractors, Inc. 909,231.00	Est Hig ver	imate and thest scored ndor (Jay Dee)	Evaluation team agrees with the level of
\$	941,150.00 463,500.00	\$	y Dee_ ntractors, Inc. 909,231.00	Hig ver	thest scored ndor (Jay Dee)	Evaluation team agrees with the level of
\$	941,150.00 463,500.00	\$	909,231.00	\$	ndor (Jay Dee)	Evaluation team agrees with the level of
\$	941,150.00	\$	909,231.00	\$		Evaluation team agrees with the level of
\$	463,500.00	\$	•		31,919.00	effort proposed by vendor
\$			145,600.00	\$		The state of the s
	306,900.00	\$			317,900.00	FREE ENGINEERING
\$			82,500.00	\$	224,400.00	FREE ENGINEERING
	7,507,350.00	\$	436,000.00	\$	7,071,350.00	Based on experience with OMID and Macomb County sink hole, Evaluation Team suggests that vendor's fee is accurate.
\$	1,162,310.00	\$	81,500.00	\$	1,080,810.00	Evaluation team agrees with the level of effort proposed by vendor.
\$	887,880.00	\$	356,000.00	\$	531,880.00	Evaluation team agrees with the level of effort proposed by vendor.
\$	234,000.00	\$	34,000.00	\$	200,000.00	Engineer's estimate included Value Engineering firm services and vendor's proposal did not. The evaluation team agrees with the vendor's proposal.
\$	1,350.00	\$	126,000.00	\$	(124,650.00)	see notes on task 8, 9, 10, 12
\$	271,375.00	\$	134,566.00	\$	136,809.00	Add task 8, 9, 10, 12 + design fee and field eng.
\$	799,615.00	\$	575,092.00	\$	224,523.00	see notes on task 8, 9, 10, 12
\$	535,000.00	\$	12,904,511.00	\$	(12,369,511.00)	approx 12 mil that belong in this task are shown in other task within GLWA's Engineering Estimate.
\$	13,110,430.00	\$	15,785,000.00	\$	(2,674,570.00)	see notes on task 8, 9, 10, 12
\$	4,164,294.60					
	-	_	160,500.00	-		
		_	-			
		<u> </u>	-			
		_		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	see notes on task 8, 9, 10, 12
\$		<u> </u>	-	\$		see notes on task 8, 9, 10, 13
Ċ	29,863,375.10	\$	19,845,500.00	\$	10,017,875.10	The largest difference is the assumptions of the severity of the repairs and associated design effort. The board will have control of any changes that require an in increase in scope of work resulting in additional fees beyond the contract amount.
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 535,000.00 \$ 13,110,430.00 \$ 4,164,294.60 \$ - \$ 6,000,000.00 \$ 1,311,043.00 \$ 400,000.00 \$ 1,951,043.00	\$ 13,110,430.00 \$ \$ 4,164,294.60 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 6,000,000.00 \$ \$ 1,311,043.00 \$ \$ 400,000.00 \$ \$ 2,926,564.50 \$	\$ 13,110,430.00 \$ 12,904,511.00 \$ 13,110,430.00 \$ 15,785,000.00 \$ 4,164,294.60 \$ 3,900,000.00 \$ - \$ 160,500.00 \$ 6,000,000.00 \$ - \$ 1,311,043.00 \$ - \$ 400,000.00 \$ - \$ 2,926,564.50 \$ -	\$ 535,000.00 \$ 12,904,511.00 \$ \$ 13,110,430.00 \$ 15,785,000.00 \$ \$ 4,164,294.60 \$ 3,900,000.00 \$ \$ - \$ 160,500.00 \$ \$ 1,311,043.00 \$ - \$ \$ 400,000.00 \$ - \$ \$ 2,926,564.50 \$ - \$	\$ 535,000.00 \$ 12,904,511.00 \$ (12,369,511.00) \$ 13,110,430.00 \$ 15,785,000.00 \$ (2,674,570.00) \$ 4,164,294.60 \$ 3,900,000.00 \$ 264,294.60 \$ - \$ 160,500.00 \$ (160,500.00) \$ 6,000,000.00 \$ - \$ 6,000,000.00 \$ 1,311,043.00 \$ - \$ 1,311,043.00 \$ 400,000.00 \$ - \$ 400,000.00 \$ 1,951,043.00 \$ - \$ 1,951,043.00 \$ 2,926,564.50 \$ - \$ 2,926,564.50