

GLWA-CS-166 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Survey of Vendors that viewed Request for Proposal on MITN Implementation Assistance and Related Services

A&J Utilities Rehab	No Response
AECOM	No Response
AGGRESSIVE AIR COMPRESSOR INC	No Response
ARCADIS of Michigan LLC	No Response
Administrative Controls Management, Inc.	No Response
Alden Research Laboratory	The scope of work for that particular solicitation did not match the services that our firm is best suited to address.
Alfred Benesch & Company	No Response
Applied Science, Inc.	The project is beyond the capacity of a smaller firm such as ASI. The clause included in the RFP restricting team members from being part of future design teams pursuing other GLWA work reduced our interest
BDO	No Response
BMJ Engineers and Surveyors, Inc.	No Response
Barton Malow Company	We are more interested in bidding the work that will result from this program.
Bayview Electric Company, LLC	Not sure I know which this was?
Black & Veatch	The “conflict” clause, which initially precluded the successful team from any participation on any other GLWA projects seemed problematic. proposal efforts are significant efforts, and we have to be strategic about where we think our best fit is to help GLWA, balanced with understanding the timing of various RFPs that may be issued near the same time.
Bloom Roofing Systems	It doesn’t appear that this was a roofing project, so that is why we didn’t bid.
Blue Chip Talent	No Response
Brierley Associates	No Response
Brown & Brown of Michigan	Our agency provides property, casualty and benefits insurance products to our customers
Brown and Caldwell, LLC	No Response
Building Decommission Services, LLC	No Response
Building Technology Associates	No Response
CH2MHILL Engineers, Inc.	Selection for this work would preclude CH2M from pursuing many other projects for GLWA. We decided that we would prefer to pursue other opportunities with GLWA.
CTI and Associates, Inc.	No Response
CTS Companies	No Response
Cadena, LLC.	No Response
Carter & Assoc Engineers (CAE)	No Response
CasaValle Inc.	No Response
Castle Hill Associates	In this case, we simply learned of the opportunity too late and missed the mandatory site visit for bidding.
Comerica Bank	No Response
Computech Corporation	No Response

GLWA-CS-166 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Survey of Vendors that viewed Request for Proposal on MITN Implementation Assistance and Related Services

DES Electric LLC	Other commitments did not afford us the time to bid on this project
DLZ Michigan, Inc.	No Response
David Borneman, LLC	We are strictly a prescribed burn company and I didn't see that there was a burn component to the bid.
Detroit Contracting, Inc.	No Response
Detroit Piping Group	No Response
DiClemente Siegel Design Inc.	We found it to be more Civil Engineering focused and didn't fit our core expertise in Mechanical and Electrical Engineering and Architecture.
DroneView Technologies LLC	No Response
Duff & Phelps, LLC	The RFP above was for construction and engineering related services. We do not provide those services.
Edge Partnerships	No Response
Ekklesia Building Corporation	It appeared that the proposal was laid out for a preferred firm that may have already performed work for the GLWA. Some of the prerequisites in the RFP were geared towards firms that had at several years of experience in the Water Industry. Our firm has experience in water related projects, however not the amount years that were required in the RFP.
Elite Catering Company	No Response
Energy Design Service Systems	No Response
Engineering & Environmental Solutions, LLC	No Response
EnviroSolutions, Inc	I was unaware. Where do you advertise
Enviroair Consultants, Inc.	No Response
Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc.	No Response
Expert Human Resources, LLC	No Response
Facility Engineering Associates, P.C.	consulting firm
Fishbeck, Thompson, Carr & Huber, Inc.	No Response
Fleis & VandenBrink Engineering, Inc.	No Response
G. Stephens, Inc.	No Response
GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.	No Response
Giffels-Webster Engineers, Inc.	No Response
Gray Matter Systems	No Response
Great Lakes Ecological Monitoring LLC	No Response
HAZEN AND SAWYER	Hazen made the decision not to pursue the CS-166 project due to the timing and scope of the project.
HDR, Inc.	The manner in which MITN advertised the opportunity did not reflect that it was a GLWA RFP
HNTB Michigan, Inc.	HNTB takes a very focused approach to pursuing projects which works best when we are able to identify opportunities well before they're procured. unfortunately we were unaware of the procurement prior to the RFP being released
Hamlett Engineering Sales DBA HESCO	we are not an engineering firm. We are a supplier of water & wastewater equipment.

GLWA-CS-166 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Survey of Vendors that viewed Request for Proposal on MITN Implementation Assistance and Related Services

Hubbell, Roth & Clark, Inc.	Although HRC has the technical capabilities to provide the requested services, the Minimum Qualifications to bid are often more than what we can demonstrate.
IDModeling, Inc	No Response
IT Resource, Inc.	Was not aware...
Ideal Contracting LLC	With our present workload we would not be able to adequately staff the project
Infiniti Energy & Environmental, Inc	No Response
Inland Waters Pollution Control, Inc.	We are a rehabilitation contractor. We don't have the proper staff to manage this type of work
Ino-Tek Incorporated	No Response
JMK Consultants	No Response
Johnson & Anderson, Inc.	No Response
Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc.	No Response
Keo and Associates, Inc.	No Response
LGC Global (Lakeshore Global Corporation)	No Response
Links Enterprises LLC	No Response
Loop Capital Markets	We were unaware of the RFP. MITN recently converted over to BidNet and we may not have been notified.
Lord, Aeck & Sargent	Water treatment and wastewater treatment projects are not our area of expertise. Our portfolio includes museums, arts centers, multifamily housing and mixed-use projects, government buildings, laboratories, corporate headquarters, education and conference facilities, and downtown and neighborhood plans. Our services range from
MEP Engineers LLC	No Response
Martin & Associates Environmental, LLC	No Response
Materials Testing Consultants, Inc.	No Response
Meadowbrook Insurance Group	The request was outside our scope of business.
Metco Services Inc.	No Response
Michigan Energy Options	No Response
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC	No Response
Monument Engineering Group Associates, Inc.	No Response
Mort Crim Communications, Inc.	The CIP implementation RFP did not fit the services that we provide.
Mott MacDonald	The RFP had a provision that would eliminate the selected consultant from participating on future work with the client.
Munetrix, LLC	The scope of services was beyond our capability.
NORESCO	No Response
NSA Architects, Engineers, Planners	No Response
NTH Consultants, Ltd.	No Response
NVINT Services LLC	No Response

GLWA-CS-166 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Survey of Vendors that viewed Request for Proposal on MITN Implementation Assistance and Related Services

Northwest Consultants, Inc.	No Response
O.R. Colan Associates, Inc.	O.R. Colan Associates is strictly a land acquisition firms specializing in acquisition of right of way needed on Government projects. Specializing in Water/wastewater, Transportation, FTA, FHWA, FAA, Local Public Agencies and Utility Companies expanding their existing systems and adding new systems.
Opus International Consultants	No Response
Orchard Hiltz & McCliment Inc.	OHM could not meet the minimum qualifications on our own, which requires us to team with another firm.
PCMG, Inc.	We chose not to participate as this RFP appears to have nothing to do with IT infrastructure or services
PMA Consultants LLC	No Response
Phoenix Environmental, Inc.	With the transition of MITN and Bidnet correspondences was shuffled. When we discovered the RFP it was too late and our Senior staff had committed to other appointments
Plante Moran Cresa	Our firm teamed with Project Management Associates (PMA) to propose on CS-166
Prein&Newhof	Current workloads and proximity to work were not favorable.
Preventive Maintenance Technologies, LLC	We are a power generation sales and service company
Prism Learning Solutions LLC	No Response
Process Controls & Instrumentation	It was evident that this was not within our core services
Professional Engineering Associates, Inc.	No Response
RMF Nooter, Inc.	I was not aware of it. I have noticed that since Bid Net has taken over for MITN that I have missed some other bid requests.
ROTOR ELECTRIC COMPANY OF MICHIGAN, LLC	We are primarily an electrical contractor performing construction and related services.
RSA Security LLC	It was not in line with organization's offering. RSA Security is a cyber-security firm specializing in cyber defense, incident response, governance/risk/compliance, identity and web fraud. It looked like your RFP was designed around physical plant security and systems controls which are not within our portfolio.
Rickman Enterprise Group, LLC	No Response
SWEENEY CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS	BECAUSE WE ARE A SUPPLIER OF CONC RELATED ITEMS AND NOT A CONTACTOR
Secant Technologies	Our understanding of the scope of your request for services was outside our proficiencies.
Seglund Gabe Pawlak Groth & Kelley, PLC	No Response
Siemens Industry	Siemens is mainly an OEM equipment provider, rather than a pure consultant.
Sigma Associates, Inc.	No Response
Soil and Materials Engineers Inc	No Response

GLWA-CS-166 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Survey of Vendors that viewed Request for Proposal on MITN Implementation Assistance and Related Services

Somat Engineering, Inc.	We were confident about our qualifications and resources for the water side, we did not have the required experience and qualifications on the sewer side. Hence, we decided against pursuing this opportunity as a prime consultant.
Spalding DeDecker Associates, Inc.	No Response
Sullivan, Ward, Asher & Patton, P.C.	No Response
Sycamore Advisors, LLC	Due to our commitment to our current client schedules', we don't have the additional staff members to pursue your CIP at this time
Synergy Computer Solutions, Inc.	We are a Staffing Augmentation Company
TEPS	We provide product equipment and not consulting services for managing construction jobs.
Testing Engineers & Consultants, Inc.	No Response
Tetra Tech of Michigan, PC	We decided that it was a no go for our firm to submit or work with others on a proposal due to our concerns of being able to bid on other future engineering design projects.
The Allen Law Group, PC	No Response
The Diggs Group: Heritage Development Servi	No Response
The F. P. Horak Company	F.P. Horak is a print and marketing solutions provider and therefore, construction projects / water treatment projects are not in our wheelhouse.
The Mannik & Smith Group, Inc.	The August due date conflicted with two other major proposals that our key leaders were responding to and would not be able to dedicate the time needed to provide the quality response we would want from any MSG deliverable
ThyssenKrupp Elevator	No Response
Trendset Communications Group, LLC	It was because the solution we would recommend was quite different than the specs in the RFP
Tyco Integrated Security	No Response
Veolia Water North America Operating Service	No Response
WWTS, L.L.C. - World Wide Technical Services	No Response
Wade Trim	We are subconsultant on the PMA Consultants team
Wakely Associates, Inc.	No Response
Walbridge	Review of the documents indicated that the awarded vendor would not be able to pursue any projects as a prime
WeatherTech Consulting Group, Inc.	No Response
Weiss Construction Co LLC	No Response
eVantage Services Inc.	No Response
epm solutions	I don't recall the RFP
jitub	No Response

**GLWA-CS-166 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Survey of Vendors that viewed Request for Proposal on MITN
Implementation Assistance and Related Services**

	By: D. Graves 10/20/17
--	------------------------