

#### **Procurement Form (FOR)**

Effective Date: Document #: Revision Date: Revision#: 5/1/2019 FSA\_PRO\_FOR\_0040 N/A 0

**Document Title:** 

**Procurement Board Report-RFB** 

**Document Owner/Department:** 

**Procurement Team** 

**Date: January 21, 2022** 

To: Suzanne R. Coffey, P.E., Interim Chief Executive Officer

From: Daniel Edwards, Procurement Manager

Re: Procurement Report

General Information					
Contract Number:	2101766A	Project Owner:	Majid Khan		
Contract Title:	Hauling and Disposal of Ash				
Vendor:	DHT Transport LLC				
Budget:	Operations and Mainte	enance			

Procurement Method					
Competitively bid – Request for Bid (RFB) Lowest responsive and responsible vendor					
Advertised:	12/31/21	Addendums released:	1		
Buyer:	Gladys Cannon	Downloaded by:	12		
Response due date:	1/17/22	Responses received:	2		

Cost Summary - DHT Transport LLC						
Description	Quantity	UOM	Unit Price	Total		
	(Estimated)			Cost		
Initial Term (two years)						
Year 1 of Initial Term	18,000	Ton	\$31.50	\$567,000.00		
Year 2 of Initial Term	18,000	Ton	\$33.00	594,000.00		
First Renewal Term						
First Renewal Term	18,000	Ton	\$35.50	639,000.00		
Second Renewal Term						
Second Renewal Term	18,000	Ton	\$36.50	657,000.00		
			Totals	\$2,457,000.00		

Provisionary Allowance is an amount included in the Contract Price to reimburse the Contractor for the cost to furnish and perform Work that is uncertain. Any remaining balance upon Final Completion shall be retained by the GLWA and not paid to the Contractor.

Benchmarking was completed by comparing the bids for this project. This analysis confirmed that rates are in competitive range. The rate comparisons and allowances (if any) are illustrated in the attached bid tabulation.



# Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date: Document #: 5/1/2019 FSA\_PRO\_FOR\_0040

Revision Date: N/A

Revision#:

**Document Title:** 

**Procurement Board Report-RFB** 

**Document Owner/Department:** 

**Procurement Team** 

Business Inclusion and Diversity (B.I.D.)				
☐ B.I.D. program required ☐ B.I.D. program not required				
$\square$ The recommended vendor for award submitted a B.I.D. plan per the requirements				
under this solicitation.				
☐ The recommended vendor for award did not submit a B.I.D. plan per the requirements				
under this solicitation.				
The vendor received points for the following scored criteria:				
☐ Business presence in State of Michigan				
☐ Business presence in GLWA service territory area (noted above)				
☐ Business presence in economically disadvantaged GLWA service territory area (noted				
above)				

# Other Data Requested by GLWA Board Members

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE): N/A Detroit Based Business (DBB): N/A Small Business Enterprise (SBE): N/A

Michigan Based Business: Yes

Sub-Contractor(s) List: N/A

Vendor Response Survey: Vendor Response Follow-up form attached.

## Litigation

This vendor is not currently nor has been previously involved in any litigation with the GLWA.

## **Financials**

A financial risk assessment was performed by the GLWA via Dun & Bradstreet and was determined that the selected vendor has the financial capacity to perform the tasks under this contract. This information is available for the Board of Directors to review upon request.



#### **Procurement Form (FOR)**

Effective Date: Document #: 5/1/2019 FSA\_PRO\_FOR\_0040

Revision Date: N/A

Revision#:

**Document Title:** 

**Procurement Board Report-RFB** 

**Document Owner/Department:** 

**Procurement Team** 

#### **Previous Contract**

Purchase Order holder: DHT Transport LLC

The vendor currently providing ash hauling services to the landfill is DHT Transport LLC. These services were obtained through various Purchase Orders (PO), not one specific contract.

The differences between the current PO's and proposed Contract No. 2101766A are provided in the table below:

		Current PO's-DHT Transport LLC	Proposed Contract No. 2101766A- DHT Transport LLC*
Description	UOM	Unit Price	Unit Price
Hauling and Disposal of Ash	Ton	\$24.15	\$31.50

<sup>\*</sup>The increase in tipping fees, along with the increase in fuel prices, insurance rates, and driver cost, are the reasons that DHT has shown an increase per net ton.