



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0039

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Procurement Board Report-RFP

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

Date: November 23, 2020
To: Sue McCormick, Chief Executive Officer
From: Daniel Edwards, Procurement Manager
Re: Procurement Report

General Information

Contract Number:	2002946	Project Owner:	Michael Tilley/Keiano Vanzant
Contract Title:	Environmental Health and Safety Compliance Services		
Vendor:	NTH Consultants, LTD		
Budget:	Operations and Maintenance		

Procurement Method

Competitively bid – Request for Proposal (RFP) Qualification Based Selection (QBS) – Evaluation Committee			
Advertised:	8-20-20	Addendums released:	1
Buyer:	Gerald Moore	Downloaded by:	70
Response due date:	9-18-20	Responses received:	6

The Evaluation Team deemed rates and proposed hours to be fair, reasonable and competitive. Negotiations involved confirmation of the rates and proposed hours.

Benchmarking was completed by comparing the proposals for this project. This analysis confirmed that rates are in competitive range. The rate comparisons are illustrated in the attached document labeled Rates Analysis.

Evaluation Committee: (Designation – Organization)

A – Environmental Health and Safety Coordinator - GLWA

B – Manager – GLWA

C – Management Professional - GLWA



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0039

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Procurement Board Report-RFP

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

The Evaluation Committee independently reviewed and scored the proposals in accordance with GLWA's policy.

Evaluation Score(s) - Maximum Score Possible: 100
The Evaluation Committee independently reviewed and scored the proposals in accordance with GLWA's policy. The rankings are below.

Vendor (Highest to lowest score)	Score	Proposed Cost before Negotiations
NTH Consultants, LTD	87.29	*Not applicable
Arcadis of Michigan LLC	83.04	*Not applicable
GHD	81.62	*Not applicable
GZA GeoEnvironmental	79.44	*Not applicable
Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc.	77.00	*Not applicable
PSI	69.38	*Not applicable

*The accepted rates and proposed hours will be utilized in assigning tasks (see below) performed under this contract through a \$2,000,000.00 allowance.

Project Tasks

- Task 1 – Remediation of Leaking Underground Storage Tank Site
- Task 2 – Develop Health and Safety Programs and Training of GLWA Personnel
- Task 3 – Develop Spill Control and Countermeasures/Pollutant Incident Prevention Plans Development and Updates
- Task 4 – Update and Audit of Process Safety Management and Risk Management Plan
- Task 5 – Clean-up and Disposal of Oil and Pollution Spills on Surface Waters

Other Data Requested by GLWA Board Members

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE): No
 Detroit Based Business (DBB): Yes
 Small Business Enterprise (SBE): No

Sub-Contractor(s) List:

Wade Trim Associates
 Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC
 Willem Environmental Consulting, LLC



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0039

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Procurement Board Report-RFP

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

Marine Pollution Control
US Ecology
BBEK Environmental, LLC
Oscar W. Larson Co.
HM Environmental Services, Inc.
Job Site Services
Fibertec Environmental Services
TestAmerica Laboratories
Apex Research, Inc.

Vendor Response Survey: Not applicable

Litigation

This vendor is not currently nor has been previously involved in any litigation with the GLWA.

Financials

A financial risk assessment was performed by the GLWA via Dun & Bradstreet and was determined that the selected vendor has the financial capacity to perform the tasks under this contract. This information is available for the Board of Directors to review upon request.

Previous Contract

Previous contract holder: NTH Consultants, LTD

The previous contract, GLWA-CS-213, was for the period of 6/18/2018 through 12/31/2020, for \$2,000,000.00. There are no cost differences between the two contracts because the assigned tasks are performed through a \$2,000,000.00 allowance for both contracts.