

# Great Lakes Water Authority

Year Ended  
June 30, 2017

Single Audit Act  
Compliance

# GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY

## Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	1
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	2
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	4
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	6
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	11



**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

May 10, 2018

To the Board of Directors of the  
Great Lakes Water Authority  
Detroit, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and each major fund of the *Great Lakes Water Authority* (the "Authority") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated May 10, 2018, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance) and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

*Rehmann Robson LLC*

# GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY

## Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Federal Agency / Cluster / Program Title	CFDA Number	Pass-through / Grantor Number	Total Subawards	Federal Expenditures
<b>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</b>				
Passed-through the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality:				
Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds Cluster:				
State Revolving Funds	66.458	5486-01	\$ -	\$ 85,292
State Revolving Funds	66.458	5619-02	-	340,757
State Revolving Funds	66.458	5619-03	-	137,272
State Revolving Funds	66.458	5636-01	-	606,994
			-	1,170,315
Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds Cluster -				
State Revolving Funds	66.468	7413-01	1,575,627	1,575,627
<b>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</b>			<b>\$ 1,575,627</b>	<b>\$ 2,745,942</b>

See notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

# GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY

## Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal grant activity of the Great Lakes Water Authority (the "Authority") under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Authority, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of the Authority.

### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified cash basis of accounting, which is described as follows:

- *Basis of accounting.* In general, expenditures are reported in the Schedule when the Authority remits payment to a vendor or contractor, rather than when an expense is incurred by the Authority in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Additionally, any amounts paid to subrecipients are included when paid or transferred instead of when incurred. The Authority reports its expenditures using this method based on guidance it received from the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), which serves as the pass-through entity for all of the Authority's federally funded loan programs.
- *Pre-award costs.* In addition to the above, the MDEQ may approve reimbursement of costs related to projects undertaken (and paid to vendors) in prior periods. In those cases, as the payments were not previously reported as federal expenditures, they are reported on the Schedule in the period reimbursement is approved by the MDEQ which is normally with the initial project cash draw.

The above referenced expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the Schedule, if any, represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

For purposes of charging indirect costs to federal awards, the Authority has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis cost rate as permitted by §200.414 of the Uniform Guidance.



**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING  
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

May 10, 2018

To the Board of Directors of the  
Great Lakes Water Authority  
Detroit, Michigan

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities and each major fund of the *Great Lakes Water Authority* (the "Authority"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 10, 2018.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control.

*A deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. *A material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2017-001, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Great Lakes Water Authority's Response to Finding

The Authority's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Authority's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

*Rehmann Robson LLC*

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM  
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

May 10, 2018

To the Board of Directors of the  
Great Lakes Water Authority  
Detroit, Michigan**Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program**

We have audited the compliance of the *Great Lakes Water Authority* (the "Authority") with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the Authority's major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2017. The Authority's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

***Management's Responsibility***

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal program.

***Independent Auditors' Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the Authority's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance.



### *Opinion on the Major Federal Program*

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2017.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### *Purpose of this Report*

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Handwritten signature of Rehmann Johnson LLC in black ink.

# GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY

## Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

### SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

#### Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP:

Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified?            yes   X   no

Significant deficiency(ies) identified?   X   yes            none reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?            yes   X   no

#### Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

Material weakness(es) identified?            yes   X   no

Significant deficiency(ies) identified?            yes   X   none reported

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?            yes   X   no

Identification of major programs and type of auditors' report issued on compliance for each major program:

<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>	<u>Type of Report</u>
66.468	Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Cluster	Unmodified

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:   \$  750,000  

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?            yes   X   no

# GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY

## Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

### SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

#### 2017-001 - Timely Year-end Closing and Completion (repeat comment)

**Finding Type.** Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

**Criteria.** The timely preparation and issuance of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires a coordinated effort between management and the external auditors, and places the burden on management to properly prepare for the audit, including timely closing of the accounting records, preparation of workpapers to support the significant account balances and obtaining the necessary documents needed by the auditors to perform their work.

**Condition.** While there has been improvement from the initial audit in 2016, several areas were not ready to be audited when fieldwork began. Fieldwork started in mid-October 2017, and at that time, bank reconciliations were still being completed and adjustments were being posted to the general ledger. Additionally, capital assets and a reasonably adjusted trial balance was not provided until early January 2018.

**Cause.** The complex nature of the bifurcation of the balance sheet and separation from the City of Detroit in the prior year caused delays when preparing for this year's audit due to the short timeframe between audits.

**Effect.** As a result of this condition, the Authority was exposed to the risk that misstatements (whether caused by error or fraud) could exist and not be detected and corrected by not reconciling in a timely manner.

**Recommendation.** We recommend that going forward, the Authority develop a plan and strictly adhere to agreed-upon timelines to ensure timely completion of the audit and issuance of the CAFR.

**View of Responsible Officials.** We have reviewed the findings and concur with the recommendations. This timing was unique to the standup of GLWA for FY 2016 due to the delay in the financial close of the initial year, implementation of new or previously non-existing systems, and recruitment of staff vacancies due to the bifurcation.

# GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY

## Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

### SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None reported.

■ ■ ■ ■ ■

# GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY

## Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

### 2016-001 - Timely Year-end Closing

Due to the complex nature of the bifurcation of the balance sheet, and separation from the City of Detroit, there were delays in completion of the bank reconciliations and adjusting various accounts to the final balances. Fieldwork started in April 2017, and at that time, bank reconciliations were still being completed and adjustments were being posted to the general ledger. Additionally, a reasonably adjusted trial balance was not provided until June 2017.

This finding was not adequately resolved. See finding 2017-001 in the current year Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

### 2016-002 - Segregation of Duties

The Authority had two individuals who were able to set up vendors, enter an invoice for payment and approve the invoice for payment.

This finding was adequately resolved.

