Great Lakes Water Authority

FY 2026 Water and Sewer Charges Report May 12, 2025





May 12, 2025

Great Lakes Water Authority

Attn: Sue Coffey, Nicolette Bateson **RE:** FY 2026 Water and Sewer Charges

Ms. Coffey and Ms. Bateson,

Great Lakes Water Authority (GLWA) retained Willdan Financial Services (Willdan) to prepare the FY 2026 Water and Sewer Cost of Service Study (Study). Specifically, GLWA requested that Willdan provide professional utility consulting services concerning the development of water and sewer charges for its Member Partners. The Study results in the recommended rates and charges that provide for full cost recovery of the revenue requirements and the application of the appropriate rate-setting methodologies for water and sewer, respectively. The Study provides the exhibits and workpapers that show the relevant cost of service allocations and the recommended fiscal year (FY) 2026 water and sewer charges. Further, the materials and recommendations presented herein are subject to review and modification by GLWA and its Board.

The exhibits and worksheets attached to the Study present:

- o The FY 2026 Budgeted Revenue Requirements as provided by GLWA.
- o The allocation of the FY 2026 Budgeted Revenue Requirements to appropriate cost pools.
- o The further allocation of costs to individual Member Partners based on their proportional demand and/or contractual elements, as appropriate.
- Wholesale water and sewer service charges for each Member Partner.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to GLWA in this matter. In addition, we would like to thank you and the other members of the GLWA staff for the valuable assistance and cooperation provided during the preparation of the Study. We look forward to working with you on future projects and continuing a successful professional relationship.

Respectfully Yours,

WILLDAN FINANCIAL SERVICES



Table of Contents

Goals and Objectives

II. Cost of Service Study

- A. Executive Summary
- B. Key Assumptions
- C. FY 2026 Water Charges
- D. FY 2026 Sewer Charges

III. Exhibits

Exhibit 1	Water Supply System - Summary of Units of Service
Exhibit 2	Water Supply System - Summary of Daily Demands – Million Gallons per Day (MGD)
Exhibit 3	Water Supply System - Comparison of Pro Forma Revenues under FY 2025 and FY 2026 Charges (before rounding)
Exhibit 4	Water Supply System - Summary of Proposed FY 2026 Water Charges
Exhibit 5	Sewage Disposal System - FY 2026 Sewer SHAREs
Exhibit 6	Sewage Disposal System - Summary of Proposed FY 2026 Sewer Charges

IV. Summary of Calculation Tables



I. Goals and Objectives

The primary goals and objectives of the Study are to review the existing basis for cost recovery and provide the necessary modifications and user charge adjustments that result in: (i) just and equitable charges; (ii) revenues from charges sufficient to meet the fiscal requirements of the water and sewer systems, respectively; (iii) charges that comply with applicable contracts and agreements; and (iv) charges that adhere to established and accepted charge-setting methodologies and agreed to by member partners.

II. Cost of Service Study

A. Executive Summary

This is the first year that Willdan Financial Services has been engaged to perform a cost of service study for the Great Lakes Water Authority.

- 1. This report acknowledges the bridge between a true cost of service study with the established cost of service methodologies that GLWA and its Member Partners have collaboratively developed over many years.
- 2. This report represents the final outcome of the GLWA Board adopted budget and related approved schedule of charges. GLWA's approach is to work with its charges consultant to align the budget and charges during the course of internal discussions, member partner engagement, public feedback, and Board deliberations.
- 3. While Willdan has not independently verified the amounts provided in the requested budgets for the water and sewer system, nor do we offer any opinion as to their appropriateness, we recognize the industry-wide upward pressure on rates and charges from increasing operating costs and the cost of capital improvements.
- 4. There have been no methodological changes in the calculation of charges for FY 2026 for either water or sewer charges. Additionally, there are no changes to sewer SHAREs for FY 2026 from FY 2025.
- 5. One water system Member Partner's (Northville Township) peak hour component of their charges was revised to reflect the installation of additional local storage.
- 6. The projected overall water flows as prepared by GLWA represent a decrease from FY 2025 to FY 2026. Decreased volumes add to the upward pressure of charges due to lower quantity of water sold.

B. Key Assumptions

Key assumptions utilized in the analysis and calculations supporting the FY 2026 Cost of Service Study (Study) are summarized below. These (and other) assumptions are elaborated upon herein as appropriate. Generally, the cost of service allocations presented herein and supporting calculations are consistent with the practices that have been applied in prior GLWA cost of service studies.

- 1. The Study utilizes a format similar to those used in studies from prior years.
- 2. The FY 2026 Budgeted Revenue Requirements depicted herein represent the FY 2026 Budget as adopted by the GLWA Board of Directors on February 26, 2025.



- 3. The FY 2026 Budgeted Revenue Requirements included in these calculations reflect a 6.14% budgetary increase for the Water System and 4.67% budgetary increase for the Sewer System.
- 4. FY 2025 represented the last year of the commitment to a 4.00% maximum budgeted Revenue Requirement increase set forth in the Agreements.
- 5. It is Willdan's understanding that the FY 2026 Budgeted Revenue Requirement was adopted February 26, 2025 and is not subject to revision and modification.
- 6. The FY 2026 water charges reflect the simplified Water Charge Methodology adopted by GLWA and implemented in the FY 2025 cost of service study.
- 7. The Study assumes no changes to the Sewer SHAREs percentages. Further, it is understood that the next opportunity to update Sewer SHAREs is FY 2028.
- 8. The Study utilized the projected sales volumes for FY 2026 are consistent with the FY 2026 Units of Service Reports published by GLWA.
- 9. The FY 2026 Water Charges reflect the negotiated settlement with the City of Highland Park.

C. FY 2026 Water Charges

The recommended FY 2026 Water Service Charges have been developed by:

- 1. Preparing a Cost of Service study that implements the "10% Commodity, 50% Max Day and 40% Peak Hour Delivery Factor" Water Charge Simplification Methodology to allocate the FY 2026 Wholesale Revenue Requirements to the Member Partners.
- 2. The Detroit Ownership Benefit of \$20.70 million, is deducted from the Detroit wholesale revenue requirement and proportionally allocated to all other Member Partners based on their wholesale revenue requirements.
- 3. The Karegnondi Water Authority (KWA) Debt Service Credit of approximately \$6.45 million, is deducted from the Flint wholesale revenue requirement and proportionally allocated to all other Member Partners based on their wholesale revenue requirements.
- 4. The adjusted final revenue requirements are then compared to the projected revenue under existing charges to determine the required adjustment to individual Member Partner charges.
- 5. FY 2026 Water Charges will continue to follow the approach to collect 60% of each Member Partner's Allocated Revenue Requirement via fixed monthly charges and the remaining 40% via Commodity (volume) Charges per Thousand Cubic Feet (MCF).
- 6. The Study utilizes the Units of Service as developed using the uniform forecast method adopted by GLWA and utilized in previous studies. The uniform forecasting method has remained unchanged since FY 2018 and is based on a 3-year average which includes data from October 2021 through September 2024. The projected total volumes for FY 2026 represent a decrease of approximately 0.74% from FY 2025. This decrease in overall projected volumes produces an upward pressure on FY 2026 water charges as revenue requirements will need to be recovered from lower sales



volumes. A summary of FY 2025 and FY 2026 volumes for each Member Partner is provided in Exhibit 1.

- 7. The daily demands utilized in the Study remain unchanged from the FY 2025 cost of service analysis with one exception. Specifically, Northville Township peak hour was adjusted from 13.5 Million Gallons per Day (MGD) to 9.0 MGD. Contract demands for all other Member Partners remain unchanged from FY 2025 levels. This is attributed to the Contract Alignment Process conducted in FY 2025. The next scheduled reopener for adjusting contract demands is for the FY 2028 water charges. The contract demands for each Member Partner are summarized in Exhibit 2.
- 8. A comparison of pro forma revenues generated from existing FY 2025 Water Charges compared to those projected using the Water Charges for FY 2026 is shown in Exhibit 3.
- 9. Exhibit 4 presents the Water Charges for each Member Partner for FY 2026.

D. FY 2026 Sewer Charges

The recommended FY 2026 Sewer Charges have been developed by:

- SHAREs percentages are determined by the flow each Member Partner sends to GLWA's Water Resource Recovery Facility. All Member Partners flow volumes (SHAREs) remain unchanged from those used in the development of FY 2025 Sewer Charges. The next change in SHAREs is scheduled for FY 2028. Since there was no change in SHAREs a uniform increase of 4.44% was applied to the proforma revenue based on FY 2025 charges. A summary of each Member Partner's SHAREs percentages is shown in Exhibit 5.
- 2. Determining and recognizing the Oakland-Macomb Interceptor District (OMID) specific revenue requirements. These contractual amounts are not subject to SHARE or cost of service adjustments and annual variances are negligible.
- Application of the required contractual adjustments related to the Detroit Ownership Benefit.
- 4. An adjustment for the Green Infrastructure cost to DWSD. The formulas in the study allocate the CSO operation and maintenance (O&M) costs 83/17 (local/suburban) in the initial calculations. The O&M costs include the 17% share related to the green infrastructure project that GLWA pays to DWSD. Therefore 83% of the GLWA costs paid for need to be taken out of the initial Detroit local system allocation and allocated to the suburban member partners.
- 5. Exhibit 6 presents the Sewer Charges for each Member Partner for FY 2026.

III. Exhibits

Following are the exhibits referred to in Section II C and II D above.

FY 2026 Water and Sewer Cost of Service Study

May 12, 2025

Exhibits	Description
1	Water Supply System - Summary of Units of Service
2	Water Supply System - Summary of Daily Demands – Million Gallons per Day (MGD)
3	Water Supply System - Comparison of Revenues under FY 2025 and FY 2026 Charges (before rounding)
4	Water Supply System – Summary of Proposed FY 2026 Water Charges
5	Sewage Disposal System - FY 2026 Sewer SHAREs
6	Sewage Disposal System - Summary of Proposed FY 2026 Sewer Charges

Water Supply System

Summary of Units of Service

		Volun	Volume	
Line		FY 2025	FY 2026	
No	Description	(mcf)	(mcf)	Variance
1	Allen Park	116,700	115,500	-1.03%
2	Almont Village	9,000	8,800	-2.22%
3	Ash Township	38,700	37,400	-3.36%
4	Belleville	13,900	14,000	0.72%
5	Berlin Township	27,100	26,800	-1.11%
6	Brownstown Township	139,100	139,100	0.00%
7	Bruce Township	3,070	2,860	-6.84%
8	Burtchville Township	9,100	9,700	6.59%
9	Canton Township	375,000	381,900	1.84%
10	Center Line	32,200	31,600	-1.86%
11	Chesterfield Township	180,300	177,800	-1.39%
12	Clinton Township	385,000	377,600	-1.92%
13	Commerce Township	104,100	105,300	1.15%
14	Dearborn	540,800	524,200	-3.07%
15	Dearborn Heights	195,700	194,800	-0.46%
16	Eastpointe	102,800	101,000	-1.75%
17	Ecorse	81,700	77,000	-5.75%
18	Farmington	44,100	43,400	-1.59%
19	Farmington Hills	350,400	347,400	-0.86%
20	Ferndale	64,500	64,500	0.00%
21	Flat Rock	50,800	52,700	3.74%
22	Flint	415,500	394,000	-5.17%
23	Fraser	56,500	56,000	-0.88%
24	Garden City	72,800	72,500	-0.41%
25	Gibraltar	16,100	17,100	6.21%
26	Greenwood Township (DTE)	26,100	32,600	24.90%
27	Grosse lle Township	41,700	42,800	2.64%
28	Grosse Pt. Park	52,700	50,500	-4.17%
29	Grosse Pt. Shores	18,900	17,800	-5.82%
30	Grosse Pt. Woods	68,100	66,500	-2.35%
31	Hamtramck	62,000	62,000	0.00%
32	Harper Woods	53,800	54,800	1.86%
33	Harrison Township	97,700	94,900	-2.87%
34	Hazel Park	47,900	46,800	-2.30%
35	Highland Park	77,200	77,200	0.00%
36	Huron Township	65,600	65,600	0.00%
37	Imlay City	47,100	46,800	-0.64%
38	Imlay Township (Single User)	10	10	0.00%
39	Inkster	100,800	98,500	-2.28%
40	Keego Harbor	9,800	9,800	0.00%
41	Lapeer	55,000	54,200	-1.45%
42	Lenox Township	14,800	16,100	8.78%
43	Lincoln Park	171,600	172,400	0.47%
44	Livonia	453,800	449,500	-0.95%



Water Supply System

Summary of Units of Service

		Volun		
Line		FY 2025	FY 2026	
No	Description	(mcf)	(mcf)	Variance
45	Macomb Township	329,900	337,800	2.39%
46	Madison Heights	124,800	122,700	-1.68%
47	Mayfield Township (KAMAX)	510	530	3.92%
48	Melvindale	45,400	46,300	1.98%
49	New Haven, Village of	19,400	19,900	2.58%
50	NOCWA	869,800	871,000	0.14%
51	Northville	30,100	29,400	-2.33%
52	Northville Township	135,200	134,700	-0.37%
53	Novi	298,600	302,900	1.44%
54	Oak Park	90,000	90,600	0.67%
55	Oakland GWK Drain District	9,200	8,900	-3.26%
56	Plymouth	44,300	43,600	-1.58%
57	Plymouth Township	156,500	153,900	-1.66%
58	Redford Township	154,800	153,000	-1.16%
59	River Rouge	37,300	40,100	7.51%
60	Riverview	46,200	44,700	-3.25%
61	Rockwood	9,500	9,500	0.00%
62	Romeo	3,100	3,100	0.00%
63	Romulus	206,200	199,700	-3.15%
64	Roseville	172,100	170,600	-0.87%
65	Royal Oak Township	10,100	10,100	0.00%
66	Shelby Township	414,700	405,400	-2.24%
67	SOCWA	1,234,200	1,220,700	-1.09%
68	South Rockwood	4,800	4,800	0.00%
69	Southgate	113,900	117,200	2.90%
70	St. Clair Shores	199,200	198,200	-0.50%
71	Sterling Heights	587,300	567,500	-3.37%
72	Sumpter Township	33,900	35,000	3.24%
73	Sylvan Lake	6,700	6,600	-1.49%
74	Taylor	264,300	267,800	1.32%
75	Trenton	83,000	81,600	-1.69%
76	Troy	435,100	424,100	-2.53%
77	Utica	23,600	24,000	1.69%
78	Van Buren Township	132,200	125,100	-5.37%
79	Walled Lake	29,000	28,900	-0.34%
80	Warren	622,900	615,600	-1.17%
81	Washington Township	86,100	85,700	-0.46%
82	Wayne	101,700	101,000	-0.69%
83	West Bloomfield Township	277,900	277,100	-0.29%
84	Westland	319,900	314,400	-1.72%
85	Wixom	76,300	76,500	0.26%
86	Woodhaven	56,700	54,800	-3.35%
87	Ypsilanti Comm Util Auth	488,200	486,400	-0.37%
88	Detroit	4,230,000	4,231,000	0.02%
89	Total	17,504,190	17,374,200	-0.74%



GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY Water Supply System

<u>Summary of Daily Demands – Million Gallons per Day (MGD)</u>

Line		Max Day (MGD) Peak Hour (MGI			DI		
No	Member Partner	FY 2025	FY 2026	Variance	FY 2025	FY 2026	Variance
	member amo	112020	11 2020	, and loc	11 2020	112020	rananse
1	Allen Park	5.45	5.45	0.00%	7.54	7.54	0.00%
2	Almont Village	0.40	0.40	0.00%	0.44	0.44	0.00%
3	Ash Township	1.45	1.45	0.00%	2.27	2.27	0.00%
4	Belleville	0.55	0.55	0.00%	0.76	0.76	0.00%
5	Berlin Township	1.13	1.13	0.00%	1.76	1.76	0.00%
6	Brownstown Township	7.00	7.00	0.00%	11.00	11.00	0.00%
7	Bruce Township	0.46	0.46	0.00%	0.83	0.83	0.00%
8	Burtchville Township	0.53	0.53	0.00%	0.82	0.82	0.00%
9	Canton Township	20.00	20.00	0.00%	23.50	23.50	0.00%
10	Center Line	1.13	1.13	0.00%	1.60	1.60	0.00%
11	Chesterfield Township	8.24	8.24	0.00%	12.50	12.50	0.00%
12	Clinton Township	19.70	19.70	0.00%	22.00	22.00	0.00%
13	Commerce Township	6.28	6.28	0.00%	7.13	7.13	0.00%
14	Dearborn	23.70	23.70	0.00%	32.90	32.90	0.00%
15	Dearborn Heights	8.00	8.00	0.00%	12.00	12.00	0.00%
16	Eastpointe	3.71	3.71	0.00%	5.38	5.38	0.00%
17	Ecorse	2.97	2.97	0.00%	3.42	3.42	0.00%
18	Farmington	2.10	2.10	0.00%	2.31	2.31	0.00%
19	Farmington Hills	18.00	18.00	0.00%	21.30	21.30	0.00%
20	Ferndale	2.89	2.89	0.00%	3.10	3.10	0.00%
21	Flat Rock	2.63	2.63	0.00%	3.99	3.99	0.00%
22	Flint	13.50	13.50	0.00%	14.00	14.00	0.00%
23	Fraser	2.81	2.81	0.00%	4.25	4.25	0.00%
24	Garden City	3.30	3.30	0.00%	5.21	5.21	0.00%
25	Gibraltar	0.65	0.65	0.00%	0.84	0.84	0.00%
26	Greenwood Township (DTE)	2.24	2.24	0.00%	2.24	2.24	0.00%
27	Grosse lle Township	2.01	2.01	0.00%	3.51	3.51	0.00%
28	Grosse Pt. Park	3.09	3.09	0.00%	5.31	5.31	0.00%
29	Grosse Pt. Shores	1.43	1.43	0.00%	2.19	2.19	0.00%
30	Grosse Pt. Woods	3.36	3.36	0.00%	4.29	4.29	0.00%
31	Hamtramck	1.77	1.77	0.00%	2.74	2.74	0.00%
32	Harper Woods	2.09	2.09	0.00%	2.99	2.99	0.00%
33	Harrison Township	3.90	3.90	0.00%	4.75	4.75	0.00%
34	Hazel Park	1.76	1.76	0.00%	2.41	2.41	0.00%
35	Highland Park	2.40	2.40	0.00%	2.46	2.46	0.00%
36	Huron Township	3.10	3.10	0.00%	3.91	3.91	0.00%
37	Imlay City	2.22	2.22	0.00%	2.48	2.48	0.00%
38	Imlay Township (Single User)	0.01	0.01	0.00%	0.02	0.02	0.00%
39	Inkster	2.47	2.47	0.00%	3.55	3.55	0.00%
40	Keego Harbor	0.45	0.45	0.00%	0.67	0.67	0.00%
41	 	1.75	1.75	0.00%	2.50	2.50	0.00%
42	Lapeer Lenox Township	0.51	0.51	0.00%	0.70	0.70	0.00%
43				0.00%			
43	Lincoln Park Livonia	5.30 23.00	5.30 23.00	0.00%	6.93 33.00	6.93 33.00	0.00% 0.00%
45	Macomb Township	23.00	23.00	0.00% 0.00%	40.00	40.00	0.00%
46	Madison Heights	4.75	4.75		6.50	6.50	0.00%
47 48	Mayfield Township (KAMAX)	0.05	0.05	0.00%	0.07	0.07 1.97	0.00%
	Melvindale	1.41	1.41	0.00%			0.00%
49	New Haven, Village of	0.79	0.79	0.00%	1.20	1.20	0.00%
50	NOCWA	43.50	43.50	0.00%	48.60	48.60	0.00%
51	Northville	1.55	1.55	0.00%	1.65	1.65	0.00%
52	Northville Township	9.00	9.00	0.00%	13.50	9.00	-33.33%
53	Novi	17.00	17.00	0.00%	19.00	19.00	0.00%
54	Oak Park	3.90	3.90	0.00%	3.90	3.90	0.00%
55	Oakland GWK Drain District	0.20	0.20	0.00%	0.20	0.20	0.00%



GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY Water Supply System

Summary of Daily Demands – Million Gallons per Day (MGD)

Line		Max Day (MGD)		Ре	ak Hour (MG	D)	
No	Member Partner	FY 2025	FY 2026	Variance	FY 2025	FY 2026	Variance
56	Plymouth	1.81	1.81	0.00%	2.71	2.71	0.00%
57	Plymouth Township	10.00	10.00	0.00%	10.00	10.00	0.00%
58	Redford Township	6.35	6.35	0.00%	9.00	9.00	0.00%
59	River Rouge	1.07	1.07	0.00%	1.63	1.63	0.00%
60	Riverview	1.79	1.79	0.00%	2.67	2.67	0.00%
61	Rockwood	0.43	0.43	0.00%	0.66	0.66	0.00%
62	Romeo	0.25	0.25	0.00%	0.45	0.45	0.00%
63	Romulus	7.71	7.71	0.00%	9.73	9.73	0.00%
64	Roseville	6.03	6.03	0.00%	8.63	8.63	0.00%
65	Royal Oak Township	0.47	0.47	0.00%	0.65	0.65	0.00%
66	Shelby Township	26.60	26.60	0.00%	30.00	30.00	0.00%
67	SOCWA	60.50	60.50	0.00%	60.50	60.50	0.00%
68	South Rockwood	0.18	0.18	0.00%	0.30	0.30	0.00%
69	Southgate	4.60	4.60	0.00%	6.23	6.23	0.00%
70	St. Clair Shores	7.42	7.42	0.00%	10.00	10.00	0.00%
71	Sterling Heights	32.80	32.80	0.00%	49.00	49.00	0.00%
72	Sumpter Township	1.09	1.09	0.00%	1.77	1.77	0.00%
73	Sylvan Lake	0.35	0.35	0.00%	0.54	0.54	0.00%
74	Taylor	10.90	10.90	0.00%	13.10	13.10	0.00%
75	Trenton	3.52	3.52	0.00%	5.20	5.20	0.00%
76	Troy	27.30	27.30	0.00%	40.30	40.30	0.00%
77	Utica	1.20	1.20	0.00%	1.75	1.75	0.00%
78	Van Buren Township	6.90	6.90	0.00%	8.17	8.17	0.00%
79	Walled Lake	1.16	1.16	0.00%	1.67	1.67	0.00%
80	Warren	23.50	23.50	0.00%	32.50	32.50	0.00%
81	Washington Township	5.42	5.42	0.00%	5.42	5.42	0.00%
82	Wayne	3.95	3.95	0.00%	4.71	4.71	0.00%
83	West Bloomfield Township	15.00	15.00	0.00%	26.40	26.40	0.00%
84	Westland	12.00	12.00	0.00%	17.00	17.00	0.00%
85	Wixom	4.19	4.19	0.00%	5.10	5.10	0.00%
86	Woodhaven	2.85	2.85	0.00%	4.40	4.40	0.00%
87	Ypsilanti Comm Util Auth	19.50	19.50	0.00%	21.00	21.00	0.00%
88	Detroit	115.00	115.00	0.00%	136.00	136.00	0.00%
89	Total	746.43	746.43	0.00%	951.28	946.78	-0.47%



GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY Water Supply System

Comparison of Pro Forma Revenues under FY 2025 and FY 2026 Charges (before rounding)

No.	Line		Pro Forma	Payanuas	
Allen Park		Mambar Partner			Variance
2	NO.	Memberranner	F1 2023 Charges	ri 2026 Charges	variance
2	1	Allen Park	\$2,680,110	\$2,832,509	5 69%
As Township \$949,330 \$1,003,312 \$.69%	2				
Belleville					
5 Berlin Township \$803,720 \$449,422 5.69% 6 Brownstown Township \$4,314,631 \$4,559,745 5.69% 7 Bruce Township \$351,620 \$371,614 5.69% 8 Burtchville Township \$120,023,497 \$468,052 5.69% 9 Control Township \$120,023,497 \$12,868,4840 5.69% 10 Center Line \$554,104 \$856,612 5.69% 11 Chesterfield Township \$5020,160 \$5305,622 5.69% 12 Clinton Township \$38,881,184 \$9,174,823 5.69% 13 Commerce Township \$3,985,425 \$4,212,049 5.69% 14 Dearborn Heights \$1,333,362 \$4,580,259 5.69% 15 Dearborn Heights \$1,333,364 \$4,580,259 5.69% 17 Ecorse \$1,276,830 \$1,349,435 5.69% 18 Farmington \$1,133,756 \$1,286,439 \$1,984,933 5.69% 19 Formington Hills		·			
6 Brownstown Township \$4,314,631 \$4,559,974 5,69% 7 Bruce Township \$351,600 \$371,614 5,69% 8 Burtchville Township \$462,739 \$489,052 5,69% 9 Contion Township \$12,002,349 \$12,684,840 5,69% 10 Center Line \$554,104 \$585,612 5,69% 11 Chesterfield Township \$5,020,160 \$5,305,622 5,69% 12 Clinton Township \$3,985,425 \$4,212,049 5,69% 13 Commerce Township \$3,985,425 \$4,212,049 5,69% 14 Decirbom \$11,054,376 \$11,682,962 5,69% 15 Decirbom Heights \$4,433,824 \$4,580,259 5,69% 16 Eostpointe \$1,851,660 \$1,956,951 5,69% 18 Formington \$1,133,956 \$1,194,435 5,69% 18 Formington Hills \$10,264,323 \$1,944,435 5,69% 20 Femdole \$1,276,833 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
The Bruce Township		;			
8 Burtchville Township \$442,739 \$489,052 5,69% 9 Conton Township \$12,002,349 \$12,684,840 5,69% 10 Center Line \$554,104 \$585,612 5,69% 11 Chesterfield Township \$5,002,100 \$5,935,622 5,69% 12 Clinton Township \$3,985,425 \$4,212,049 5,69% 13 Commerce Township \$3,985,425 \$14,212,049 5,69% 14 Dearborn \$11,054,375 \$11,682,962 5,69% 15 Dearborn Heights \$4,333,824 \$4,580,259 5,69% 16 Eastpointe \$1,815,660 \$1,956,951 5,69% 16 Eastpointe \$1,815,660 \$1,956,951 5,69% 18 Formington Hills \$10,224,224 \$10,847,835 5,69% 19 Fermington Hills \$10,224,224 \$10,847,880 5,69% 20 Ferndale \$1,278,330 \$1,344,480 5,69% 21 Fird Rock \$1,653,614 <					
9 Canton Township \$12,002,349 \$12,684,840 5.69% 10 Center Line \$554,104 \$585,612 5.69% 11 Chesterfield Township \$5,005,622 5.69% 12 Clinton Township \$8,881,184 \$9,174,623 5.69% 13 Commerce Township \$3,885,425 \$421,2049 5.69% 14 Dearborn \$11,054,376 \$11,682,962 5.69% 14 Dearborn Heights \$4,383,3824 \$4,580,259 5.69% 15 Dearborn Heights \$1,851,660 \$1,756,951 5.69% 16 Eastpointe \$1,851,660 \$1,756,951 5.69% 17 Ecorse \$1,2276,830 \$1,349,435 5.69% 18 Fermington \$1,133,956 \$1,198,436 5.69% 19 Farmington Hills \$10,264,224 \$10,847,880 5.69% 20 Femdale \$1,228,290 \$1,298,134 5.69% 21 Flat Rock \$1,653,411 \$1,476,444 5.69% <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10 Center Line		·			
11		·			
12					
13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
14 Dearborn \$11,054,376 \$11,682,962 5.69% 15 Dearborn Heights \$4,333,824 \$4,580,259 5.69% 16 Eastpointe \$1,851,660 \$1,956,951 5.69% 17 Ecorse \$1,276,830 \$1,349,435 5.69% 18 Farmington \$1,133,956 \$1,178,436 5.69% 19 Farmington Hills \$10,264,224 \$10,847,880 5.69% 20 Ferndale \$1,228,290 \$1,278,134 5.69% 21 Flat Rock \$1,653,614 \$1,747,644 5.69% 22 Flint \$11,861,104 5.69% 23 less: Adjustment for KWA Debt Service (a) \$(\$6,447,335) 24 Net projected revenue - Flint \$4,752,740 \$5,413,769 13,91% 25 Fraser \$1,449,200 \$1,531,606 5.69% 26 Garden City \$1,962,600 \$2,074,200 5.69% 27 Gibraltar \$402,267 \$425,141 5.69% 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,730 \$1,632,780 5.69% 29 Grosse Ile Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Harmtomack \$9,59,160 \$1,013,701 5.99% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,726,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,865,000 5.69% 39 Imlay Township \$1,767,968 \$1,865,000 5.69% 30 Imlay Township \$1,767,968 \$1,865,000 5.69% 31 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,614,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,494 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$1,242,108 \$2,203,565 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,203,780 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,002,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$1,694,791 \$366,132 5.69% 48 Modfield Township \$1,440,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 49 Modfield Township \$1,440,230 \$1,694,740 \$1,694,740 \$1,694,740					
15 Dearborn Heights \$4,333,824 \$4,580,259 5,69% 16 Eastpointe \$1,851,660 \$1,756,951 5,69% 17 Ecorse \$1,276,830 \$1,349,435 5,69% 18 Farmington \$1,133,956 \$1,198,436 5,69% 19 Farmington Hills \$10,264,224 \$10,847,880 5,69% 20 Ferndale \$1,228,290 \$1,298,134 5,69% 21 Flot Rock \$1,653,614 \$1,74,444 5,69% 21 Flot Rock \$1,653,614 \$1,74,444 5,69% 22 Flint \$1,861,104 \$,69% 23 less: Adjustment for KWA Debt Service (a) \$1,544,740 \$1,13,769 13,179 24 Net projected revenue - Flint \$4,752,740 \$5,413,769 13,179 25 Froser \$1,449,200 \$1,531,606 5,69% 25 Froser \$1,449,200 \$1,531,769 13,179 27 Gibralliar \$402,267 \$425,141 5,69%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
16 Eastpointe					
17 Ecorse \$1,276,830 \$1,349,435 5,69% 18 Farmington \$1,133,956 \$1,198,436 5,69% 19 Farmington Hills \$10,264,224 \$10,847,880 5,69% 20 Ferndale \$1,228,290 \$1,228,134 5,69% 21 Flad Rock \$1,633,614 \$1,747,644 5,69% 22 Flint \$11,861,104 5,69% 23 less: Adjustment for KWA Debt Service (a) \$4,752,740 \$5,413,769 13,9% 24 Net projected revenue - Flint \$4,752,740 \$5,413,769 13,9% 25 Froser \$1,449,200 \$1,531,606 5,69% 26 Garden City \$1,962,600 \$2,074,200 5,69% 27 Gibraltar \$402,267 \$425,141 5,69% 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,930 \$1,632,790 5,69% 29 Grosse Ile Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5,69% 30 Grosse Pt. Shores \$1,888,642 \$727,800			·		
18 Farmington \$1,133,956 \$1,198,436 5,69% 19 Farmington Hills \$10,264,224 \$10,847,880 5,69% 20 Ferndale \$1,228,290 \$1,298,134 5,69% 21 Flat Rock \$1,286,290 \$1,278,134 5,69% 22 Flint \$11,653,614 \$1,747,644 5,69% 22 Flint \$11,861,104 5,69% 23 less: Adjustment for KWA Debt Service (a) \$(\$6,447,335)		,			
Parmington Hills					
20 Ferndale \$1,228,290 \$1,298,134 5.69% 21 Flot Rock \$1,653,614 \$1,747,644 5.69% 22 Flint \$11,861,104 \$6,69% 23 less: Adjustment for KWA Debt Service (a) \$6,447,335 24 Net projected revenue - Flint \$4,752,740 \$5,413,769 13,91% 25 Fraser \$1,449,200 \$1,531,606 5.69% 26 Garden City \$1,962,600 \$2,074,200 5.69% 27 Gibraltar \$402,267 \$425,141 5.69% 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,930 \$1,632,780 5.69% 29 Grosse lle Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69%		_			
21 Flat Rock \$1,653,614 \$1,747,644 5.69% 22 Flint \$11,861,104 5.69% 23 less: Adjustment for KWA Debt Service (a) \$(5,6447,335) 24 Net projected revenue - Flint \$4,752,740 \$5,413,769 13.91% 25 Fraser \$1,449,200 \$1,531,606 5.69% 26 Garden City \$1,962,600 \$2,074,200 5.69% 26 Garden City \$1,962,600 \$2,074,200 5.69% 27 Gibraltar \$402,267 \$425,141 5.69% 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,930 \$1,632,780 5.69% 29 Grosse le Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,483,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamfranck \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69%		_	-		
Since Sinc					
Sess Adjustment for KWA Debt Service (a) Sess			\$1,000,014		
24 Net projected revenue - Flint \$4,752,740 \$5,413,769 13.91% 25 Fraser \$1,449,200 \$1,531,606 5.69% 26 Garden City \$1,962,600 \$2,074,200 5.69% 27 Gibraltar \$402,267 \$425,141 5.69% 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,930 \$1,632,780 5.69% 29 Grosse lle Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Brak \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamtramck \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,261,02 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$882,148 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5.07/6</td></t<>					5.07/6
25 Fraser \$1,449,200 \$1,531,606 5.69% 26 Garden City \$1,962,600 \$2,074,200 5.59% 27 Gibraltar \$402,267 \$425,141 5.69% 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,930 \$1,632,780 5.69% 29 Grosse lle Township \$1,544,930 \$1,632,780 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamframck \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,226,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$887,1044 \$920,574 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>\$4.752.740</td> <td></td> <td>13 91%</td>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$4.752.740		13 91%
26 Garden City \$1,962,600 \$2,074,200 5.69% 27 Gibraltar \$402,267 \$425,141 5.69% 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,930 \$1,632,780 5.69% 29 Grosse lle Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamtromack \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700					
27 Gibraltar \$402.267 \$425,141 5.69% 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,930 \$1,632,780 5.69% 29 Grosse Ile Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamtramck \$759,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,988 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,711,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,710					
28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$1,544,930 \$1,632,780 5.69% 29 Grosse Ile Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamframek \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,988 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imloy City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,810,808 <t< td=""><td></td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
29 Grosse Ile Township \$1,367,516 \$1,445,277 5.69% 30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamtramck \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782					
30 Grosse Pt. Park \$1,522,175 \$1,608,731 5.69% 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamtramck \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776		· · · · ·			
31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$688,642 \$727,800 5.69% 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamframck \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308		,			
32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$1,488,395 \$1,573,030 5.69% 33 Hamtramck \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.6					
33 Hamtramck \$959,160 \$1,013,701 5.69% 34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69%					
34 Harper Woods \$1,037,768 \$1,096,779 5.69% 35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517					
35 Harrison Township \$1,926,102 \$2,035,626 5.69% 36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 <					
36 Hazel Park \$871,044 \$920,574 5.69% 37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372					
37 Highland Park \$982,148 \$1,037,996 5.69% 38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Maccomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
38 Huron Township \$1,767,968 \$1,868,500 5.69% 39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854					
39 Imlay City \$1,741,176 \$1,840,185 5.69% 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%					
40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$11,700 \$12,365 5.69% 41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%		·			
41 Inkster \$1,518,080 \$1,604,403 5.69% 42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%					
42 Keego Harbor \$348,940 \$368,782 5.69% 43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%					
43 Lapeer \$1,810,808 \$1,913,776 5.69% 44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%					
44 Lenox Township \$374,819 \$396,132 5.69% 45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%		=			
45 Lincoln Park \$2,671,404 \$2,823,308 5.69% 46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%		·			
46 Livonia \$13,438,555 \$14,202,713 5.69% 47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%		•			
47 Macomb Township \$14,443,230 \$15,264,517 5.69% 48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%					
48 Madison Heights \$2,421,087 \$2,558,758 5.69% 49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%					
49 Mayfield Township (KAMAX) \$59,016 \$62,372 5.69% 50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%		· ·			
50 Melvindale \$769,536 \$813,294 5.69% 51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%					
51 New Haven, Village of \$511,754 \$540,854 5.69%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	52	NOCWA	\$25,711,620	\$27,173,663	5.69%



Comparison of Pro Forma Revenues under FY 2025 and FY 2026 Charges (before rounding)

Line		Pro Forma	Pro Forma Revenues		
No.	Member Partner	FY 2025 Charges	FY 2026 Charges	Variance	
53	Northville	\$898,458	\$949,547	5.69%	
54	Northville Township	\$6,312,090	\$5,679,496	-10.02%	
55	Novi	\$10,704,554	\$11,313,248	5.69%	
56	Oak Park	\$1,639,062	\$1,732,264	5.69%	
57	Oakland GWK Drain District	\$104,273	\$110,202	5.69%	
58	Plymouth	\$1,275,288	\$1,347,805	5.69%	
59	Plymouth Township	\$5,181,087	\$5,475,700	5.69%	
60	Redford Township	\$3,443,820	\$3,639,646	5.69%	
61	River Rouge	\$613,438	\$648,320	5.69%	
62	Riverview	\$1,009,242	\$1,066,631	5.69%	
63	Rockwood	\$289,615	\$306,083	5.69%	
64	Romeo	\$205,998	\$217,712	5.69%	
65	Romulus	\$4,279,877	\$4,523,244	5.69%	
66	Roseville	\$2,999,000	\$3,169,532	5.69%	
67	Royal Oak Township	\$243,057	\$256,878	5.69%	
68	Shelby Township	\$12,916,278	\$13,650,738	5.69%	
69	SOCWA	\$27,406,644	\$28,965,071	5.69%	
70	South Rockwood	\$138,096	\$145,949	5.69%	
71	Southgate	\$2,439,884	\$2,578,623	5.69%	
72	St. Clair Shores	\$3,649,588	\$3,857,115	5.69%	
73	Sterling Heights	\$17,037,000	\$18,005,777	5.69%	
74	Sumpter Township	\$849,650	\$897,964	5.69%	
75	Sylvan Lake	\$271,452	\$286,888	5.69%	
76	Taylor	\$5,380,380	\$5,686,325	5.69%	
77	Trenton	\$2,053,536	\$2,170,306	5.69%	
78	Troy	\$15,763,224	\$16,659,570	5.69%	
79	Utica	\$689,760	\$728,982	5.69%	
80	Van Buren Township	\$3,929,565	\$4,153,012	5.69%	
81	Walled Lake	\$924,364	\$976,926	5.69%	
82	Warren	\$11,141,364	\$11,774,897	5.69%	
83	Washington Township	\$2,727,933	\$2,883,052	5.69%	
84	Wayne	\$2,088,640	\$2,207,407	5.69%	
85	West Bloomfield Township	\$12,382,264	\$13,086,358	5.69%	
86	Westland	\$7,070,160	\$7,472,191	5.69%	
87	Wixom	\$2,882,385	\$3,046,286	5.69%	
88	Woodhaven	\$1,699,568	\$1,796,211	5.69%	
89	Ypsilanti Comm Util Auth	\$12,111,616	\$12,800,320	5.69%	
90	Detroit	\$27,094,800	\$30,028,805	10.83%	
91	Total	\$373,638,868	\$395,677,700	5.90%	

⁽a) Flint receives a monthly credit related to KWA debt service in accordance with service agreements.



Projected Fixed Monthly Commodity Member Partner Line No. Revenues from Charae Charge Charaes Allen Park \$141,600 \$9.81 2 Almont Village \$14,200 \$12.97 Ash Township 3 \$50,200 \$10.72 Belleville 4 \$19,400 \$11.01 5 Berlin Township \$42,500 \$12.66 6 Brownstown Township \$228,000 \$13.11 7 Bruce Township \$51.89 \$18,600 8 \$20.11 Burtchville Township \$24,500 Canton Township 9 \$634,200 \$13.29 10 Center Line \$29,300 \$7.41 Chesterfield Township 11 \$265,300 \$11.93 12 Clinton Township \$458,700 \$9.72 13 Commerce Township \$210,600 \$16.00 14 Dearborn \$584,200 \$8.91 15 Dearborn Heights \$229,000 \$9.41 16 Eastpointe \$97,900 \$7.74 17 \$67,500 \$7.01 **Ecorse** 18 Farmington \$59,900 \$11.05 19 Farmington Hills \$12.49 \$542,400 20 Ferndale \$64,900 \$8.05 21 Flat Rock \$87,400 \$13.26 22 Flint \$593,100 \$12.04 less: Adjustment for KWA Debt Service (a) 23 24 Net projected revenue - Flint \$10.94 25 Fraser \$76,600 26 Garden City \$103,700 \$11.45 27 Gibraltar \$21,300 \$9.91 28 Greenwood Township (DTE) \$20.05 \$81,600 29 Grosse lle Township \$72,300 \$13.50 Grosse Pt. Park 30 \$80,400 \$12.75 31 Grosse Pt. Shores \$36,400 \$16.35 \$78,700 32 Grosse Pt. Woods \$9.45 33 Hamtramck \$50,700 \$6.54 Harper Woods 34 \$54,800 \$8.01 35 Harrison Township \$101,800 \$8.58 Hazel Park 36 \$46,000 \$7.88 37 Highland Park \$51,900 \$5.38 38 **Huron Township** \$93,400 \$11.40 39 Imlay City \$92,000 \$15.73 40 Imlay Township (Single User) \$600 \$520.00 41 \$80,200 Inkster \$6.52 42 Keego Harbor \$15.10 \$18,400 43 Lapeer \$95,700 \$14.12





GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY Water Supply System

Summary of Proposed FY 2026 Water Charges

Line No.	Member Partner	Fixed Monthly Charge	Commodity Charge	Projected Revenues from Charges
46	Livonia	\$710,100	\$12.64	\$14,202,900
47	Macomb Township	\$763,200	\$18.08	\$15,265,800
48	Madison Heights	\$127,900	\$8.35	\$2,559,300
49	Mayfield Township (KAMAX)	\$3,100	\$47.55	\$62,400
50	Melvindale	\$40,700	\$7.02	\$813,400
51	New Haven, Village of	\$27,000	\$10.90	\$540,900
52	NOCWA	\$1,358,700	\$12.48	\$27,174,500
53	Northville	\$47,500	\$12.91	\$949,600
54	Northville Township	\$284,000	\$16.86	\$5,679,000
55	Novi	\$565,700	\$14.94	\$11,313,700
56	Oak Park	\$86,600	\$7.65	\$1,732,300
57	Oakland GWK Drain District	\$5,500	\$4.97	\$110,200
58	Plymouth	\$67,400	\$12.36	\$1,347,700
59	Plymouth Township	\$273,800	\$14.23	\$5,475,600
60	Redford Township	\$182,000	\$9.51	\$3,639,000
61	River Rouge	\$32,400	\$6.47	\$648,200
62	Riverview	\$53,300	\$9.55	\$1,066,500
63	Rockwood	\$15,300	\$12.89	\$306,100
64	Romeo	\$10,900	\$28.03	\$217,700
65	Romulus	\$226,200	\$9.06	\$4,523,700
66	Roseville	\$158,500	\$7.43	\$3,169,600
67	Royal Oak Township	\$12,800	\$10.23	\$256,900
68	Shelby Township	\$682,500	\$13.47	\$13,650,700
69	SOCWA	\$1,448,300	\$9.49	\$28,964,000
70	South Rockwood	\$7,300	\$12.15	\$145,900
71	Southgate	\$128,900	\$8.80	\$2,578,200
72	St. Clair Shores	\$192,900	\$7.78	\$3,856,800
73	Sterling Heights	\$900,300	\$12.69	\$18,005,200
74	Sumpter Township	\$44,900	\$10.26	\$897,900
75	Sylvan Lake	\$14,300	\$17.47	\$286,900
76	Taylor	\$284,300	\$8.49	\$5,685,200
77	Trenton	\$108,500	\$10.64	\$2,170,200
78	Troy	\$833,000	\$15.71	\$16,658,600
79	Utica	\$36,500	\$12.13	\$729,100
80	Van Buren Township	\$207,700	\$13.27	\$4,152,500
81	Walled Lake	\$48,800	\$13.54	\$976,900
82	Warren	\$588,700	\$7.65	\$11,773,700
83	Washington Township	\$144,200	\$13.45	\$2,883,100
84	Wayne	\$110,400	\$8.74	\$2,207,500
85	West Bloomfield Township	\$654,300	\$18.89	\$13,086,000
86	Westland	\$373,600	\$9.51	\$7,473,100
87	Wixom	\$152,300	\$15.93	\$3,046,200
88	Woodhaven	\$89,800	\$13.11	\$1,796,000
89	Ypsilanti Comm Util Auth	\$640,000	\$10.53	\$12,801,800
90	Detroit	\$2,502,400	\$0.00	\$30,028,800
89	Total			\$395,674,900

⁽a) Flint receives a monthly credit related to KWA debt service in accordance with service agreements.



GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY Sewage Disposal System

FY 2026 Sewer SHAREs

Line No.	Member Partner	FY 2025	FY 2026	Variance
110.	Morrison anno	112020	11 2020	Variaties
	Member Partners			
1	OMID	14.54%	14.54%	0.00%
2	Rouge Valley	11.53%	11.53%	0.00%
3	Oakland GWK	9.63%	9.63%	0.00%
4	Evergreen Farmington	7.56%	7.56%	0.00%
5	SE Macomb San Dist	5.20%	5.20%	0.00%
6	Dearborn	4.28%	4.28%	0.00%
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	0.56%	0.56%	0.00%
8	Grosse Pointe Park	0.40%	0.40%	0.00%
9	Melvindale	0.33%	0.33%	0.00%
10	Farmington	0.25%	0.25%	0.00%
11	Center Line	0.22%	0.22%	0.00%
12	Allen Park	0.18%	0.18%	0.00%
13	Grosse Pointe	0.24%	0.24%	0.00%
	D+ Member Partner			
14	Highland Park	0.99%	0.99%	0.00%
15	Hamtramck	0.89%	0.89%	0.00%
16	Harper Woods	0.03%	0.03%	0.00%
17	Redford Township	0.07%	0.07%	0.00%
18	Wayne County #3	0.01%	0.01%	0.00%
19	Detroit	43.08%	43.08%	0.00%
20	Total	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%



GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY Sewage Disposal System

Summary of Proposed FY 2026 Sewer Charges

Line		FY 2026 Fixed Monthly Charge		Re	Projected evenues from
No.	Member Partner	1410	officially cridings		Charges
	Member Partners				
1	OMID	\$	6,539,900	\$	78,478,800
2	Rouge Valley	\$	5,030,200	\$	60,362,400
3	Oakland GWK	\$	4,200,700	\$	50,408,400
4	Evergreen Farmington	\$	3,296,700	\$	39,560,400
5	SE Macomb San Dist	\$	2,269,500	\$	27,234,000
6	Dearborn	\$	1,869,200	\$	22,430,400
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	\$	242,600	\$	2,911,200
8	Grosse Pointe Park	\$	173,100	\$	2,077,200
9	Melvindale	\$	143,000	\$	1,716,000
10	Farmington	\$	109,000	\$	1,308,000
11	Center Line	\$	95,400	\$	1,144,800
12	Allen Park	\$	76,900	\$	922,800
13	Grosse Pointe	\$	106,600	\$	1,279,200
14	Total Member Partners			\$	289,833,600
	D. 14				
1.5	<u>D+ Member Partner</u>	Φ.	400.000	Φ.	5.107.000
15	Highland Park	\$	433,000	\$	5,196,000
16	Hamtramck	\$	390,900	\$	4,690,800
17	Harper Woods	\$	14,800	\$	177,600
18	Redford Township	\$	30,600	\$	367,200
19	Wayne County #3	\$	4,300	\$	51,600
20	Detroit	\$	17,943,700	\$	215,324,400
21	Total D+ Member Partner			\$	225,807,600
22	Total Sewer Revenues from Wholesale Charges			\$	515,641,200
23	Industrial Waste Control			\$	9,150,300
24	Industrial Surcharges			\$	5,112,700
25	Total Sewer Revenues from Charges			\$	529,904,200





IV. Summary of Calculation Tables

The primary goals and objectives of the Study are to review the existing basis for cost recovery and provide the necessary modifications and user rate adjustments that result in: (i) just and equitable rates; (ii) revenues from rates sufficient to meet the fiscal requirements of the water and sewer systems; (iii) rates that comply with applicable contracts agreements; and (iv) rates that adhere to established and accepted rate setting methodologies. The following sections provide a description of the worksheets attached to this Study. Calculations are not always rounded in the tables. Rounding has been applied in the last step in the calculation of the charge sheets.

A. Water Supply System

Worksheet	Description
Table W-1	Summary of Preliminary FY 2026 Revenue Requirement and Charge Adjustment
Description	Table W–1 presents the Water Supply System Budgeted Revenue Requirements for FY 2026 as provided by GLWA compared to the originally approved FY 2025 Revenue Requirements. This is the budget the Study started with which was provided by GLWA. Once the Study is completed the Revenue from Charges (Line 1) is adjusted to the Study results with the effect of the rounding to hundreds adjusted to Miscellaneous Revenues (Line 2).
Table W-2	FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges
Description	Table W-2 illustrates the calculation of proforma FY 2026 revenues under the existing FY 2025 schedule of service charges.
	Separates the proforma revenue projections into amounts related to:
	"Wholesale" revenue requirements;
	 Implementation of the Detroit Ownership Adjustment;
	 Implementation of the KWA Debt Service Credit.
	This is necessary to provide context to the results of the cost of service analyses and charge adjustment strategy presented in Tables W-5 and W-6.
	The total proforma revenue of \$373.64 million in Column 4 (row 100) of the last page of the table becomes the "Baseline Revenue" on Line 15 in Table W-1.
Table W-3	FY 2026 Units of Service and Cost Pool Shares
Description	Table W-3 establishes the "Units of Service" and individual Cost Pool Shares for each Member Partner to support the cost of service allocations under the Simplified Water Charge Methodology. With one exception, these factors



Worksheet

Description

remain unchanged from the FY 2025 levels. Peak Hour for Northville Township was adjusted to reflect the addition of storage capacity in their system.

The annual sales volumes continue to reflect a uniform forecasting approach. For FY 2026 the projected volume was determined by averaging annual sales for each Member Partner over the most recent 36 months from October 2021 through September 2024. Sales data for "base" months (October through March) were reduced by 2% to reflect demographic reduction in potable water use based on recent trends being experienced worldwide. Sales data for "peak" months (April through September) were not adjusted. This information was presented at the FY 2026 Charges Rollout #2 on November 12, 2024.

Dearborn, Highland Park, and Detroit are not served by master meters. Units of service for these "Non-Master Metered" Member Partners continue to be established via the phase 2 Units of Service ("UoS") Study protocols initially established for the FY 2020 charges. The max day and peak hour demands resulting from this approach are also intended to be "locked in" for the remaining 2 years of the current CAP period. Highland Park's units of service reflect an adjustment to align with the agreement set forth in the recently negotiated Term Sheet.

Columns 5 through 7 compute each Member Partner's "share" of each usage cost pool, based on their relative use of the System as measured by Commodity, Max Day, and Peak Hour.

Column 8 indicates each Member Partner's Water Delivery Factor, which implements the variable costs of delivering water to Member Partners based on their relative geographical location in the System. The simplified Water Charge Methodology applies these factors to replicate the impacts of distance and elevation in prior Water Charge calculations. Using the FY 2024 Cost of Service analysis as a base year, GLWA calculated an unadjusted proforma revenue requirement for each member partner based on simplified cost pool allocators of 10% commodity, 50% max day and 40% peak hour. This approach determined the FY 2024 revenue requirement without consideration of distance and elevation. The comparative delta was then used to establish a multiplier to equalize the two proforma approaches. This established the Water Delivery Factor which was then incorporated into the units of service analysis as a fixed factor which serves as a proxy for distance and elevation.

Column 9 simply indicates which Member Partners are being treated as members of the "MOD" (i.e. those with modified contractual terms) and "No MOD" (i.e. those without any modifications to contractual terms") customer classes for the FY 2026 Water Charges.



Worksheet	Description							
Table W-4	Allocation of FY 2026 Wholesale Revenue Requirement to Member Partners and Determination of Wholesale Shares							
Description	Table W-4 allocates the FY 2026 Wholesale Revenue Requirement to one "MOD" Member Partner and to the "No MOD" customer class at large and determines the corresponding shares. The simplified "10/50/40" Cost Pool weights are shown at the top of Table W-5. Each "MOD" Member Partner's Unadjusted Wholesale share in Column 4 is simply the sum of the products of the individual Cost Pool Share times these Cost Pool Weighting Factors. Column 5 applies the Water Delivery Factor to these figures to arrive at the Adjusted Wholesale share in Column 6. These shares are applied to the overall \$395.7 million Wholesale Revenue Requirement from Table W-1 to allocate Member Partner responsibility in Column 7. This is compared to the proforma Wholesale Revenue figures in Column 8 to determine the "wholesale" charge adjustment required in Columns 9 and 10.							
	The "MOD" customer class accounts for approximately 1.33% of the adjusted wholesale revenue requirement. The other 98.67% is allocated to the "No MOD" class.							
	Collectively, the wholesale charge adjustment for the "MOD" Member Partner is a reduction of approximately 9.63%. As a result, the uniform wholesale charge adjustment for the "No MOD" customer class is 6.14%, in order to achieve the overall System charge adjustment of 5.90%. These figures will subsequently be modified in Table W-5 to reflect adjustments required by contractual agreements.							
Table W-5	Application of Contract Adjustments to Allocated Revenue Requirements							
Description	Table W-5 shows the calculations for allocating FY 2026 allocated wholesale revenue requirements for each Member Partner and applies the adjustments necessary to reflect two special contractual agreements. This table "unbundles" the "No MOD" customer class in order to support the calculation of revenue requirement responsibility of each Member Partner in that class.							
	Column 1 presents the proforma "wholesale" revenue under the existing charges, from Table W-2. The required "wholesale" charge adjustment for each Member Partner was determined in Table W-4 and is shown in Column 2. For the "No MOD" class this is the uniform 6.14%.							
	The Allocated Wholesale Revenue Requirement in column 3 is calculated by applying the 6.14% adjustment (column 2) to the proforma wholesale revenue (column 1), which must then be modified to reflect two contractual agreements:							
	The "Detroit capital ownership adjustment" of \$20.70 million annually, which is established in the Agreements must be recognized in							

which is established in the Agreements must be recognized. In



Worksheet

Description

Column 4 of the table this amount is reduced from the Wholesale Revenue Requirement allocated to Detroit and allocated to all other Member Partners in proportion to their individually allocated Wholesale Revenue Requirements.

Similarly, the contractual credit to Flint related to KWA debt service must be recognized. Flint's share of KWA debt service for FY 2026 is estimated to be \$6,447,335. This adjustment is accomplished in Column 5, similar to the Detroit Ownership adjustment. It is reduced from Flint's allocated revenue requirement and allocated to all other Member Partners (including Detroit) in proportion to the allocation of Wholesale Revenue Requirements.

Table W-5 also compares the final allocated FY 2026 Total Revenue Requirement with the proforma revenue under the existing charge schedule and identifies the relative charge adjustment required from each Member Partner. These figures are uniform for the "No MOD" class and are slightly lower than the wholesale charge adjustment computed in Table W-4. This is because the contractual adjustments in Columns 4 and 5 are fixed – so amounts in the final charges do not need to be increased to implement those adjustments.

The fixed nature of these adjustments also produces total "net" charge adjustments for Detroit and Flint that vary from the class average. See Table W-7.

The calculations herein do not produce specific charge proposals for the Detroit retail class.

Table W-6

Calculation of FY 2026 Wholesale Water Service Charge Schedule and Illustration of Revenue Recovery

Description

Table W-6 calculates the wholesale service charge structure for each Member Partner.

- Column 3 presents the "average unit cost" (\$ per MCF) for each Member Partner, which simply represents that allocated cost of service divided by the total annual sales volume. This metric is included for reference purposes and is not part of the Water Charge Schedule.
- The FY 2026 Water Service Charge Schedule is calculated in Columns 4 through 7. The service charge structure represents the same approach as the existing charge structure, which was originally implemented for the FY 2016 Water Service Charges. The fixed monthly charge for each Member Partner is designed to recover precisely 60% of the revenue requirements allocated to that Member Partner. Each Member Partner's commodity charge is designed to recover the remaining 40% of the revenue requirements allocated to



Worksheet	Description
	them – and is determined by dividing by the projected sales volume resulting from the uniform forecasting approach.
	 Column 8 calculates projected revenue under the service charge schedule and Column 9 illustrates that the charges recover the adjusted, allocated revenue requirements for each Member Partner.
Table W-7	Illustration of the Impact of Contract Adjustments to Detroit and Flint
Description	Table W-7 illustrates the impact of the Detroit Ownership Benefit and Flint/KWA Debt Service contractual adjustments on the relative charge adjustments. These adjustments are fixed, and not subject to any indexed adjustment to overall revenue requirements. As a result, the pertinent percentage adjustment figures resulting from the FY 2026 Cost of Service Study are those related to the "Allocated Wholesale Revenue Requirement" – prior to any adjustments.

FY 2026 Water and Sewer Cost of Service Study

May 12, 2025

Water Supply System

Table	Description
W-1	Summary of Preliminary FY 2026 Revenue Requirement and Charge Adjustment
W-2	FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges
W-3	FY 2026 Units of Service and Cost Pool Shares
W-4	Allocation of FY 2026 Wholesale Revenue Requirement to Member Partners and Determination of Wholesale SHAREs
W-5	Application of Contract Adjustments to Allocated Revenue Requirements
W-6	Calculation of FY 2026 Wholesale Water Service Charge Schedule and Illustration of Revenue Recovery
W-7	Illustration of the Impact of Contract Adjustments to Detroit and Flint
Note: Num	bers may not match final charge sheets due to rounding

Note: Numbers may not match final charge sheets due to rounding

Table W - 1
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Summary of Preliminary FY 2026 Revenue Requirement and Charge Adjustment

			(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)
Line			Approved FY 2025		Preliminary FY 2026			
No.	Description		Budget		Budget		Variance	% Variance
	Revenues							
1	Revenues from Charges	\$	374,852,800	\$	395,677,700	\$	20,824,900	5.56%
2	Other Operating Revenues	\$	400,000	\$	300,000	\$	(100,000)	-25.00%
3	Investment Earnings	\$	9,874,300	\$	12,783,700	\$	2,909,400	29.46%
4	Total Revenues	\$	385,127,100	\$	408,761,400	\$	23,634,300	6.14%
	Revenue Requirements	Φ.	1,0,705,000	.	100 45 (000	•	10.001.000	7.5.00
5	Operations & Maintenance Expense	\$	169,625,000	\$	182,456,000	\$		7.56%
6	Debt Service	\$	175,300,800	\$	179,082,200	\$	3,781,400	2.16%
7	General Retirement System Pension	\$	2,283,300	\$	2,730,800	\$	447,500	19.60%
8	WRAP Contribution	\$	1,947,800	\$	1,970,000	\$	22,200	1.14%
9	Extra. Repair and Repl. Deposit	\$	-	\$	320,000	\$	320,000	0.00%
10	Lease Payment	\$	22,500,000	\$	22,500,000	\$	-	0.00%
11	I&E Fund Transfer Pending	\$	7,270,200	\$	19,102,400	\$	11,832,200	162.75%
12	Working Capital Requirements	\$	6,200,000	\$	600,000	\$	(5,600,000)	-90.32%
13	Total Revenue Requirements	\$	385,127,100	\$	408,761,400	\$	23,634,300	6.14%
	Revenue Requirements							
14	Adjustment Index				6.14%		<u>~ Bas</u>	<u>eline Revenue</u>
15	Baseline Revenue			\$	373,638,868			
16	Change in Annual Revenue Requirement					\$	23,634,300	6.33%
17	Change Attributable to Non-Charge Revenue					\$	(2,809,400)	-0.75%
18	Change Attributable to Sales Volume					\$	1,213,932	0.32%
19	Average System Charge Adjustment					\$	22,038,832	5.90%



Table W - 2
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges

		(1)	(2)	NI	(3)	(4)	000	(5)	 (6)		(7)		(8)	(9)
Line		FY 2026	FY 2025 C	nar	ges	Gross	2026 	DWSD	nue by Categ Flint / KWA	ory	Wholesale	Pr	oforma	MOD /
No.	Description	Volume	Fixed	Со	mmodity	TOTAL	O	wnership Adj	Adiustment		Rev Rea't		nit Cost	No MOD
			\$/mo		\$/Mcf	\$		\$	\$		\$			
1	Allen Park	115,500	\$ 134,600	\$	9.22	\$ 2,680,110	\$	157,166	\$ 44,354	\$	2,478,590	\$	21.46	No MOD
2	Almont Village	8,800	\$ 13,600	\$	12.04	\$ 269,152	\$	15,784	\$ 4,454	\$	248,914	\$	28.29	No MOD
3	Ash Township	37,400	\$ 48,100	\$	9.95	\$ 949,330	\$	55,670	\$ 15,711	\$	877,949	\$	23.47	No MOD
4	Belleville	14,000	\$ 18,300	\$	10.47	\$ 366,180	\$	21,473	\$ 6,060	\$	338,647	\$	24.19	No MOD
5	Berlin Township	26,800	\$ 40,400	\$	11.90	\$ 803,720	\$	47,131	\$ 13,301	\$	743,288	\$	27.73	No MOD
6	Brownstown Township	139,100	\$ 215,700	\$	12.41	\$ 4,314,631	\$	253,017	\$ 71,404	\$	3,990,210	\$	28.69	No MOD
7	Bruce Township	2,860	\$ 18,100	\$	47.00	\$ 351,620	\$	20,620	\$ 5,819	\$	325,181	\$	113.70	No MOD
8	Burtchville Township	9,700	\$ 22,500	\$	19.87	\$ 462,739	\$	27,136	\$ 7,658	\$	427,945	\$	44.12	No MOD
9	Canton Township	381,900	\$ 595,700	\$	12.71	\$ 12,002,349	\$	703,838	\$ 198,629	\$	11,099,882	\$	29.06	No MOD
10	Center Line	31,600	\$ 27,900	\$	6.94	\$ 554,104	\$	32,494	\$ 9,170	\$	512,440	\$	16.22	No MOD
11	Chesterfield Township	177,800	\$ 252,400	\$	11.20	\$ 5,020,160	\$	294,390	\$ 83,080	\$	4,642,690	\$	26.11	No MOD
12	Clinton Township	377,600	\$ 437,400	\$	9.09	\$ 8,681,184	\$	509,079	\$ 143,667	\$	8,028,438	\$	21.26	No MOD
13	Commerce Township	105,300	\$ 198,300	\$	15.25	\$ 3,985,425	\$	233,712	\$ 65,956	\$	3,685,758	\$	35.00	No MOD
14	Dearborn	524,200	\$ 559,500	\$	8.28	\$ 11,054,376	\$	648,247	\$ 182,941	\$	10,223,188	\$	19.50	No MOD
15	Dearborn Heights	194,800	\$ 217,000	\$	8.88	\$ 4,333,824	\$	254,143	\$ 71,721	\$	4,007,960	\$	20.57	No MOD
16	Eastpointe	101,000	\$ 93,200	\$	7.26	\$ 1,851,660	\$	108,584	\$ 30,643	\$	1,712,432	\$	16.95	No MOD
17	Ecorse	77,000	\$ 65,400	\$	6.39	\$ 1,276,830	\$	74,875	\$ 21,131	\$	1,180,824	\$	15.34	No MOD
18	Farmington	43,400	\$ 57,100	\$	10.34	\$ 1,133,956	\$	66,497	\$ 18,766	\$	1,048,693	\$	24.16	No MOD
19	Farmington Hills	347,400	\$ 514,900	\$	11.76	\$ 10,264,224	\$	601,911	\$ 169,865	\$	9,492,448	\$	27.32	No MOD
20	Ferndale	64,500	\$ 61,400	\$	7.62	\$ 1,228,290	\$	72,029	\$ 20,327	\$	1,135,934	\$	17.61	No MOD

Table W - 2
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges

		(1)	(2)	> lo	(3)	(4)	000	(5)		(6)		(7)	ı	(8)	(9)
Line No.	Description	FY 2026 Volume	FY 2025 C		ommodity	Gross TOTAL		DWSD wnership Adj	I	nue by Categ Flint / KWA Adjustment	ory	Wholesale Rev Req't		roforma nit Cost	MOD / No MOD
			\$/mo		\$/Mcf	\$		\$		\$		\$			
21	Flat Rock	52,700	\$ 81,500	\$	12.82	\$ 1,653,614	\$	96,971	\$	27,366	\$	1,529,277	\$	29.02	No MOD
22	Flint	394,000	\$ 28,000	\$	11.21	\$ 4,752,740	\$	656,791	\$	(6,447,335)	\$	10,543,284	\$	26.76	No MOD
23	Fraser	56,000	\$ 72,700	\$	10.30	\$ 1,449,200	\$	84,983	\$	23,983	\$	1,340,233	\$	23.93	No MOD
24	Garden City	72,500	\$ 98,300	\$	10.80	\$ 1,962,600	\$	115,090	\$	32,479	\$	1,815,030	\$	25.03	No MOD
25	Gibraltar	17,100	\$ 19,600	\$	9.77	\$ 402,267	\$	23,590	\$	6,657	\$	372,020	\$	21.76	No MOD
26	Greenwood Township (DTE)	32,600	\$ 70,200	\$	21.55	\$ 1,544,930	\$	90,597	\$	25,567	\$	1,428,765	\$	43.83	No MOD
27	Grosse Ile Township	42,800	\$ 67,700	\$	12.97	\$ 1,367,516	\$	80,193	\$	22,631	\$	1,264,691	\$	29.55	No MOD
28	Grosse Pt. Park	50,500	\$ 77,400	\$	11.75	\$ 1,522,175	\$	89,263	\$	25,191	\$	1,407,721	\$	27.88	No MOD
29	Grosse Pt. Shores	17,800	\$ 35,300	\$	14.89	\$ 688,642	\$	40,383	\$	11,396	\$	636,862	\$	35.78	No MOD
30	Grosse Pt. Woods	66,500	\$ 75,100	\$	8.83	\$ 1,488,395	\$	87,282	\$	24,632	\$	1,376,481	\$	20.70	No MOD
31	Hamtramck	62,000	\$ 48,000	\$	6.18	\$ 959,160	\$	56,247	\$	15,873	\$	887,040	\$	14.31	No MOD
32	Harper Woods	54,800	\$ 51,500	\$	7.66	\$ 1,037,768	\$	60,856	\$	17,174	\$	959,737	\$	17.51	No MOD
33	Harrison Township	94,900	\$ 97,400	\$	7.98	\$ 1,926,102	\$	112,950	\$	31,875	\$	1,781,277	\$	18.77	No MOD
34	Hazel Park	46,800	\$ 44,000	\$	7.33	\$ 871,044	\$	51,079	\$	14,415	\$	805,549	\$	17.21	No MOD
35	Highland Park	77,200	\$ 49,100	\$	5.09	\$ 982,148	\$	57,595	\$	16,254	\$	908,299	\$	11.77	No MOD
36	Huron Township	65,600	\$ 88,400	\$	10.78	\$ 1,767,968	\$	103,677	\$	29,258	\$	1,635,033	\$	24.92	No MOD
37	Imlay City	46,800	\$ 87,300	\$	14.82	\$ 1,741,176	\$	102,105	\$	28,815	\$	1,610,256	\$	34.41	No MOD
38	Imlay Township (Single User)	10	\$ 600	\$	450.00	\$ 11,700	\$	686	\$	194	\$	10,820	\$	1,082.03	No MOD
39	Inkster	98,500	\$ 76,600	\$	6.08	\$ 1,518,080	\$	89,023	\$	25,123	\$	1,403,934	\$	14.25	No MOD
40	Keego Harbor	9,800	\$ 17,400	\$	14.30	\$ 348,940	\$	20,462	\$	5,775	\$	322,703	\$	32.93	No MOD

Table W - 2
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges

		(1)	(2)	Na au	(3)	(4)	000	(5)	 (6)		(7)		(8)	(9)
Line No.	Description	FY 2026 Volume	FY 2025 C		ommodity	Gross TOTAL		DWSD wnership Adj	nue by Categ Flint / KWA Adjustment	ory	Wholesale Rev Req't	1	oforma it Cost	MOD / No MOD
			\$/mo		\$/Mcf	\$		\$	\$		\$			
41	Lapeer	54,200	\$ 91,100	\$	13.24	\$ 1,810,808	\$	106,189	\$ 29,967	\$	1,674,652	\$	30.90	No MOD
42	Lenox Township	16,100	\$ 18,100	\$	9.79	\$ 374,819	\$	21,980	\$ 6,203	\$	346,636	\$	21.53	No MOD
43	Lincoln Park	172,400	\$ 133,400	\$	6.21	\$ 2,671,404	\$	156,656	\$ 44,210	\$	2,470,539	\$	14.33	No MOD
44	Livonia	449,500	\$ 674,500	\$	11.89	\$ 13,438,555	\$	788,059	\$ 222,397	\$	12,428,099	\$	27.65	No MOD
45	Macomb Township	337,800	\$ 715,200	\$	17.35	\$ 14,443,230	\$	846,975	\$ 239,024	\$	13,357,231	\$	39.54	No MOD
46	Madison Heights	122,700	\$ 121,900	\$	7.81	\$ 2,421,087	\$	141,977	\$ 40,067	\$	2,239,043	\$	18.25	No MOD
47	Mayfield Township (KAMAX)	530	\$ 2,900	\$	45.69	\$ 59,016	\$	3,461	\$ 977	\$	54,578	\$	102.98	No MOD
48	Melvindale	46,300	\$ 38,200	\$	6.72	\$ 769,536	\$	45,127	\$ 12,735	\$	711,674	\$	15.37	No MOD
49	New Haven, Village of	19,900	\$ 25,300	\$	10.46	\$ 511,754	\$	30,010	\$ 8,469	\$	473,275	\$	23.78	No MOD
50	NOCWA	871,000	\$ 1,284,700	\$	11.82	\$ 25,711,620	\$	1,507,772	\$ 425,507	\$	23,778,342	\$	27.30	No MOD
51	Northville	29,400	\$ 45,300	\$	12.07	\$ 898,458	\$	52,687	\$ 14,869	\$	830,902	\$	28.26	No MOD
52	Northville Township	134,700	\$ 316,100	\$	18.70	\$ 6,312,090	\$	370,151	\$ 104,460	\$	5,837,479	\$	43.34	MOD
53	Novi	302,900	\$ 532,100	\$	14.26	\$ 10,704,554	\$	627,733	\$ 177,152	\$	9,899,670	\$	32.68	No MOD
54	Oak Park	90,600	\$ 81,700	\$	7.27	\$ 1,639,062	\$	96,117	\$ 27,125	\$	1,515,820	\$	16.73	No MOD
55	Oakland GWK Drain District	8,900	\$ 5,300	\$	4.57	\$ 104,273	\$	6,115	\$ 1,726	\$	96,433	\$	10.84	No MOD
56	Plymouth	43,600	\$ 64,200	\$	11.58	\$ 1,275,288	\$	74,785	\$ 21,105	\$	1,179,398	\$	27.05	No MOD
57	Plymouth Township	153,900	\$ 260,800	\$	13.33	\$ 5,181,087	\$	303,828	\$ 85,743	\$	4,791,517	\$	31.13	No MOD
58	Redford Township	153,000	\$ 173,000	\$	8.94	\$ 3,443,820	\$	201,951	\$ 56,992	\$	3,184,876	\$	20.82	No MOD
59	River Rouge	40,100	\$ 29,800	\$	6.38	\$ 613,438	\$	35,973	\$ 10,152	\$	567,313	\$	14.15	No MOD
60	Riverview	44,700	\$ 51,100	\$	8.86	\$ 1,009,242	\$	59,184	\$ 16,702	\$	933,356	\$	20.88	No MOD

Table W - 2
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges

		(1)	(2) FY 2025 C	> lo	(3)	(4)	000/	(5)	 (6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
Line No.	Description	FY 2026 Volume	Fixed \$/mo		ommodity \$/Mcf	Gross TOTAL		DWSD vnership Adj	nue by Categ Flint / KWA Adjustment	ory	Wholesale Rev Req't	Proforma Unit Cost	MOD / No MOD
			ф/ПО		\$/IVICI	Ψ		φ	Ψ		Ψ		
61	Rockwood	9,500	\$ 14,500	\$	12.17	\$ 289,615	\$	16,984	\$ 4,793	\$	267,839	\$ 28.19	No MOD
62	Romeo	3,100	\$ 10,300	\$	26.58	\$ 205,998	\$	12,080	\$ 3,409	\$	190,509	\$ 61.45	No MOD
63	Romulus	199,700	\$ 216,700	\$	8.41	\$ 4,279,877	\$	250,979	\$ 70,829	\$	3,958,069	\$ 19.82	No MOD
64	Roseville	170,600	\$ 150,400	\$	7.00	\$ 2,999,000	\$	175,866	\$ 49,631	\$	2,773,503	\$ 16.26	No MOD
65	Royal Oak Township	10,100	\$ 12,200	\$	9.57	\$ 243,057	\$	14,253	\$ 4,022	\$	224,781	\$ 22.26	No MOD
66	Shelby Township	405,400	\$ 651,700	\$	12.57	\$ 12,916,278	\$	757,432	\$ 213,754	\$	11,945,092	\$ 29.46	No MOD
67	SOCWA	1,220,700	\$ 1,376,500	\$	8.92	\$ 27,406,644	\$	1,607,171	\$ 453,558	\$	25,345,915	\$ 20.76	No MOD
68	South Rockwood	4,800	\$ 6,900	\$	11.52	\$ 138,096	\$	8,098	\$ 2,285	\$	127,712	\$ 26.61	No MOD
69	Southgate	117,200	\$ 120,600	\$	8.47	\$ 2,439,884	\$	143,079	\$ 40,378	\$	2,256,427	\$ 19.25	No MOD
70	St. Clair Shores	198,200	\$ 182,900	\$	7.34	\$ 3,649,588	\$	214,018	\$ 60,398	\$	3,375,172	\$ 17.03	No MOD
71	Sterling Heights	567,500	\$ 863,600	\$	11.76	\$ 17,037,000	\$	999,078	\$ 281,949	\$	15,755,974	\$ 27.76	No MOD
72	Sumpter Township	35,000	\$ 41,900	\$	9.91	\$ 849,650	\$	49,825	\$ 14,061	\$	785,764	\$ 22.45	No MOD
73	Sylvan Lake	6,600	\$ 13,700	\$	16.22	\$ 271,452	\$	15,918	\$ 4,492	\$	251,041	\$ 38.04	No MOD
74	Taylor	267,800	\$ 267,600	\$	8.10	\$ 5,380,380	\$	315,514	\$ 89,041	\$	4,975,825	\$ 18.58	No MOD
75	Trenton	81,600	\$ 103,400	\$	9.96	\$ 2,053,536	\$	120,423	\$ 33,984	\$	1,899,129	\$ 23.27	No MOD
76	Troy	424,100	\$ 796,200	\$	14.64	\$ 15,763,224	\$	924,382	\$ 260,869	\$	14,577,974	\$ 34.37	No MOD
77	Utica	24,000	\$ 34,300	\$	11.59	\$ 689,760	\$	40,449	\$ 11,415	\$	637,896	\$ 26.58	No MOD
78	Van Buren Township	125,100	\$ 200,800	\$	12.15	\$ 3,929,565	\$	230,436	\$ 65,031	\$	3,634,098	\$ 29.05	No MOD
79	Walled Lake	28,900	\$ 46,300	\$	12.76	\$ 924,364	\$	54,206	\$ 15,297	\$	854,860	\$ 29.58	No MOD
80	Warren	615,600	\$ 559,600	\$	7.19	\$ 11,141,364	\$	653,348	\$ 184,381	\$	10,303,635	\$ 16.74	No MOD

Table W - 2
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges

			(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)		(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)
				FY 2025 C	harg	es		202		nue by Categ	ory			
Line No.	Description		FY 2026 Volume	Fixed	Cor	nmodity	Gross TOTAL	0	DWSD wnership Adi	Flint / KWA Adjustment		Wholesale Rev Rea't	roforma Init Cost	MOD / No MOD
				\$/mo		S/Mcf	\$		\$	\$		\$		
81	Washington Township		85,700	\$ 136,700	\$	12.69	\$ 2,727,933	\$	159,971	\$ 45,145	\$	2,522,817	\$ 29.44	No MOD
82	Wayne		101,000	\$ 104,700	\$	8.24	\$ 2,088,640	\$	122,481	\$ 34,565	\$	1,931,593	\$ 19.12	No MOD
83	West Bloomfield Township		277,100	\$ 619,900	\$	17.84	\$ 12,382,264	\$	726,116	\$ 204,916	\$	11,451,231	\$ 41.33	No MOD
84	Westland		314,400	\$ 356,000	\$	8.90	\$ 7,070,160	\$	414,606	\$ 117,005	\$	6,538,549	\$ 20.80	No MOD
85	Wixom		76,500	\$ 144,000	\$	15.09	\$ 2,882,385	\$	169,028	\$ 47,701	\$	2,665,656	\$ 34.85	No MOD
86	Woodhaven		54,800	\$ 86,100	\$	12.16	\$ 1,699,568	\$	99,665	\$ 28,126	\$	1,571,776	\$ 28.68	No MOD
87	Ypsilanti Comm Util Auth		486,400	\$ 606,400	\$	9.94	\$ 12,111,616	\$	710,245	\$ 200,437	\$	11,200,933	\$ 23.03	No MOD
88	Detroit		4,231,000	\$ 2,257,900	\$	-	\$ 27,094,800	\$	(20,700,000)	\$ 790,965	\$	47,003,835	\$ 11.11	No MOD
89	TOTAL		17,374,200		\$	21.51	\$ 373,638,868	\$	-	\$ -	\$	373,638,868	\$ 21.51	
90	MOD Customers	1	134,700	\$ 316,100	\$	46.86	\$ 6,312,090	\$	370,151	\$ 104,460	\$	5,837,479	\$ 43.34	MOD
91	No MOD Customers	87	17,239,500	\$ 19,108,000	\$	21.31	\$ 367,326,778	\$	(370,151)	\$ (104,460)	\$	367,801,389	\$ 21.33	No MOD
92	Total	88	17,374,200		\$	21.51	\$ 373,638,868	\$	-	\$ (0)	\$	373,638,868	\$ 21.51	

Table W - 3
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Units of Service and Cost Pool Shares

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Line		Annual		Daily Demand	S	C	ost Pool Shar	es es	Water Delivery	MOD /
No.	Description	Volume	Avg Day	Max Day	Peak Hour	Commodity	Max Day	Peak Hour	Factor	No MOD
		Mcf	mgd	mgd	mgd	~ (2)	~ (3)	~ (4)		
1	Allen Park	115,500	2.37	5.45	7.54	0.666%	0.730%	0.796%	0.892	No MOD
2	Almont Village	8,800	0.18	0.40	0.44	0.051%	0.054%	0.046%	1.333	No MOD
3	Ash Township	37,400	0.77	1.45	2.27	0.215%	0.194%	0.240%	1.119	No MOD
4	Belleville	14,000	0.29	0.55	0.76	0.081%	0.073%	0.080%	1.197	No MOD
5	Berlin Township	26,800	0.55	1.13	1.76	0.154%	0.151%	0.186%	1.207	No MOD
6	Brownstown Township	139,100	2.85	7.00	11.00	0.801%	0.938%	1.162%	1.049	No MOD
7	Bruce Township	2,860	0.06	0.46	0.83	0.016%	0.061%	0.088%	1.310	No MOD
8	Burtchville Township	9,700	0.20	0.53	0.82	0.056%	0.072%	0.086%	1.464	No MOD
9	Canton Township	381,900	7.83	20.00	23.50	2.198%	2.679%	2.482%	1.149	No MOD
10	Center Line	31,600	0.65	1.13	1.60	0.182%	0.151%	0.169%	0.850	No MOD
11	Chesterfield Township	177,800	3.64	8.24	12.50	1.023%	1.104%	1.320%	1.049	No MOD
12	Clinton Township	377,600	7.74	19.70	22.00	2.173%	2.639%	2.324%	0.877	No MOD
13	Commerce Township	105,300	2.16	6.28	7.13	0.606%	0.841%	0.753%	1.262	No MOD
14	Dearborn	524,200	10.74	23.70	32.90	3.017%	3.175%	3.475%	0.847	No MOD
15	Dearborn Heights	194,800	3.99	8.00	12.00	1.121%	1.072%	1.267%	0.929	No MOD
16	Eastpointe	101,000	2.07	3.71	5.38	0.581%	0.497%	0.568%	0.861	No MOD
17	Ecorse	77,000	1.58	2.97	3.42	0.443%	0.398%	0.361%	0.848	No MOD
18	Farmington	43,400	0.89	2.10	2.31	0.250%	0.281%	0.244%	1.070	No MOD
19	Farmington Hills	347,400	7.12	18.00	21.30	2.000%	2.411%	2.250%	1.103	No MOD
20	Ferndale	64,500	1.32	2.89	3.10	0.371%	0.387%	0.327%	0.840	No MOD

GLWA FY 2026 Cost of Service Model

Page 29 of 59

Table W - 3
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Units of Service and Cost Pool Shares

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Line		Annual		Daily Demand	S	C	ost Pool Shar	es	Water Delivery	MOD /
No.	Description	Volume	Avg Day	Max Day	Peak Hour	Commodity	Max Day	Peak Hour	Factor	No MOD
		Mcf	mgd	mgd	mgd	~ (2)	~ (3)	~ (4)		
21	Flat Rock	52,700	1.08	2.63	3.99	0.303%	0.352%	0.421%	1.078	No MOD
22	Flint	394,000	8.07	13.50	14.00	2.268%	1.809%	1.479%	1.716	No MOD
23	Fraser	56,000	1.15	2.81	4.25	0.322%	0.376%	0.449%	0.896	No MOD
24	Garden City	72,500	1.49	3.30	5.21	0.417%	0.442%	0.550%	1.014	No MOD
25	Gibraltar	17,100	0.35	0.65	0.84	0.098%	0.087%	0.088%	1.103	No MOD
26	Greenwood Township (DTE)	32,600	0.67	2.24	2.24	0.188%	0.300%	0.237%	1.335	No MOD
27	Grosse lle Township	42,800	0.88	2.01	3.51	0.246%	0.269%	0.371%	1.056	No MOD
28	Grosse Pt. Park	50,500	1.03	3.09	5.31	0.291%	0.414%	0.561%	0.839	No MOD
29	Grosse Pt. Shores	17,800	0.36	1.43	2.19	0.102%	0.192%	0.231%	0.875	No MOD
30	Grosse Pt. Woods	66,500	1.36	3.36	4.29	0.383%	0.450%	0.453%	0.836	No MOD
31	Hamtramck	62,000	1.27	1.77	2.74	0.357%	0.237%	0.289%	0.871	No MOD
32	Harper Woods	54,800	1.12	2.09	2.99	0.315%	0.280%	0.316%	0.845	No MOD
33	Harrison Township	94,900	1.94	3.90	4.75	0.546%	0.522%	0.502%	0.927	No MOD
34	Hazel Park	46,800	0.96	1.76	2.41	0.269%	0.236%	0.255%	0.881	No MOD
35	Highland Park	77,200	1.58	2.40	2.46	0.444%	0.322%	0.260%	0.786	No MOD
36	Huron Township	65,600	1.34	3.10	3.91	0.378%	0.415%	0.413%	1.055	No MOD
37	Imlay City	46,800	0.96	2.22	2.48	0.269%	0.297%	0.262%	1.515	No MOD
38	Imlay Township (Single User)	10	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.000%	0.002%	0.003%	2.551	No MOD
39	Inkster	98,500	2.02	2.47	3.55	0.567%	0.331%	0.375%	1.011	No MOD
40	Keego Harbor	9,800	0.20	0.45	0.67	0.056%	0.060%	0.071%	1.341	No MOD

Table W - 3
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Units of Service and Cost Pool Shares

		(1)	(2)	(3) Daily Demand	(4)	(5)	(6) ost Pool Shar	(7)	(8) Water	(9)
Line No.	Description	Annual Volume	Avg Day	Max Day	Peak Hour	Commodity	Max Day	Peak Hour	Delivery Factor	MOD / No MOD
		Mcf	mgd	mgd	mgd	~ (2)	~ (3)	~ (4)		
41	Lapeer	54,200	1.11	1.75	2.50	0.312%	0.234%	0.264%	1.742	No MOD
42	Lenox Township	16,100	0.33	0.51	0.70	0.093%	0.068%	0.074%	1.206	No MOD
43	Lincoln Park	172,400	3.53	5.30	6.93	0.992%	0.710%	0.732%	0.879	No MOD
44	Livonia	449,500	9.21	23.00	33.00	2.587%	3.081%	3.485%	1.047	No MOD
45	Macomb Township	337,800	6.92	23.00	40.00	1.944%	3.081%	4.225%	1.030	No MOD
46	Madison Heights	122,700	2.51	4.75	6.50	0.706%	0.636%	0.687%	0.872	No MOD
47	Mayfield Township (KAMAX)	530	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.003%	0.006%	0.007%	2.185	No MOD
48	Melvindale	46,300	0.95	1.41	1.97	0.266%	0.189%	0.208%	0.910	No MOD
49	New Haven, Village of	19,900	0.41	0.79	1.20	0.115%	0.105%	0.127%	1.086	No MOD
50	NOCWA	871,000	17.85	43.50	48.60	5.013%	5.828%	5.133%	1.160	No MOD
51	Northville	29,400	0.60	1.55	1.65	0.169%	0.208%	0.174%	1.172	No MOD
52	Northville Township	134,700	2.76	9.00	9.00	0.775%	1.206%	0.951%	1.257	MOD
53	Novi	302,900	6.21	17.00	19.00	1.743%	2.278%	2.007%	1.245	No MOD
54	Oak Park	90,600	1.86	3.90	3.90	0.521%	0.522%	0.412%	0.851	No MOD
55	Oakland GWK Drain District	8,900	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.051%	0.027%	0.022%	0.941	No MOD
56	Plymouth	43,600	0.89	1.81	2.71	0.251%	0.242%	0.286%	1.215	No MOD
57	Plymouth Township	153,900	3.15	10.00	10.00	0.886%	1.340%	1.056%	1.097	No MOD
58	Redford Township	153,000	3.14	6.35	9.00	0.881%	0.851%	0.951%	0.957	No MOD
59	River Rouge	40,100	0.82	1.07	1.63	0.231%	0.143%	0.172%	0.915	No MOD
60	Riverview	44,700	0.92	1.79	2.67	0.257%	0.240%	0.282%	0.980	No MOD



GLWA FY 2026 Cost of Service Model

Page 31 of 59

Table W - 3
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Units of Service and Cost Pool Shares

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Line		Annual		aily Demand	S	Co	ost Pool Share	98	Water Delivery	MOD /
No.	Description	Volume	Avg Day	Max Day	Peak Hour	Commodity	Max Day	Peak Hour	Factor	No MOD
		Mcf	mgd	mgd	mgd	~ (2)	~ (3)	~ (4)		
61	Rockwood	9,500	0.19	0.43	0.66	0.055%	0.058%	0.070%	1.159	No MOD
62	Romeo	3,100	0.06	0.25	0.45	0.018%	0.034%	0.048%	1.352	No MOD
63	Romulus	199,700	4.09	7.71	9.73	1.149%	1.033%	1.028%	1.034	No MOD
64	Roseville	170,600	3.50	6.03	8.63	0.982%	0.808%	0.912%	0.864	No MOD
65	Royal Oak Township	10,100	0.21	0.47	0.65	0.058%	0.063%	0.069%	0.921	No MOD
66	Shelby Township	405,400	8.31	26.60	30.00	2.333%	3.564%	3.169%	0.986	No MOD
67	SOCWA	1,220,700	25.02	60.50	60.50	7.026%	8.105%	6.390%	0.929	No MOD
68	South Rockwood	4,800	0.10	0.18	0.30	0.028%	0.024%	0.031%	1.247	No MOD
69	Southgate	117,200	2.40	4.60	6.23	0.675%	0.616%	0.658%	0.930	No MOD
70	St. Clair Shores	198,200	4.06	7.42	10.00	1.141%	0.994%	1.056%	0.872	No MOD
71	Sterling Heights	567,500	11.63	32.80	49.00	3.266%	4.394%	5.175%	0.929	No MOD
72	Sumpter Township	35,000	0.72	1.09	1.77	0.201%	0.146%	0.187%	1.229	No MOD
73	Sylvan Lake	6,600	0.14	0.35	0.54	0.038%	0.047%	0.057%	1.339	No MOD
74	Taylor	267,800	5.49	10.90	13.10	1.541%	1.460%	1.384%	0.916	No MOD
75	Trenton	81,600	1.67	3.52	5.20	0.470%	0.472%	0.549%	1.031	No MOD
76	Troy	424,100	8.69	27.30	40.30	2.441%	3.657%	4.257%	1.057	No MOD
77	Utica	24,000	0.49	1.20	1.75	0.138%	0.161%	0.185%	0.996	No MOD
78	Van Buren Township	125,100	2.56	6.90	8.17	0.720%	0.924%	0.863%	1.129	No MOD
79	Walled Lake	28,900	0.59	1.16	1.67	0.166%	0.155%	0.176%	1.389	No MOD
80	Warren	615,600	12.62	23.50	32.50	3.543%	3.148%	3.433%	0.834	No MOD

GLWA FY 2026 Cost of Service Model

Page 32 of 59

Table W - 3
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
FY 2026 Units of Service and Cost Pool Shares

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
12	Line				Daily Demand	S	С	ost Pool Shar	es	Water	
No.	Description		Annual Volume	Avg Day	Max Day	Peak Hour	Commodity	Max Day	Peak Hour	Delivery Factor	MOD / No MOD
	Везеприон		Mcf	mgd	mgd	mgd	~ (2)	~ (3)	~ (4)	140101	No Mob
81	Washington Township		85,700	1.76	5.42	5.42	0.493%	0.726%	0.572%	1.049	No MOD
82	Wayne		101,000	2.07	3.95	4.71	0.581%	0.529%	0.497%	0.995	No MOD
83	West Bloomfield Township		277,100	5.68	15.00	26.40	1.595%	2.010%	2.788%	1.339	No MOD
84	Westland		314,400	6.44	12.00	17.00	1.810%	1.608%	1.796%	1.036	No MOD
85	Wixom		76,500	1.57	4.19	5.10	0.440%	0.561%	0.539%	1.320	No MOD
86	Woodhaven		54,800	1.12	2.85	4.40	0.315%	0.382%	0.465%	1.046	No MOD
87	Ypsilanti Comm Util Auth		486,400	9.97	19.50	21.00	2.800%	2.612%	2.218%	1.207	No MOD
88	Detroit		4,231,000	86.71	115.00	136.00	24.352%	15.407%	14.364%	0.787	No MOD
89	TOTAL		17,374,200	356.08	746.43	946.78	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	1.000	
90	MOD Customers	1	134,700	2.76	9.00	9.00	0.775%	1.206%	0.951%		MOD
91	No MOD Customers	87	17,239,500	353.32	737.43	937.78	99.225%	98.794%	99.049%		No MOD
92	Total	88	17,374,200	356.08	746.43	946.78	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%		

Table W - 4
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System

Allocation of FY 2026 Wholesale Revenue Requirement to Member Partners and Determination of Wholesale SHAREs

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(8)		(9)	(10)	(11)
Line			Cost Pool Shares (from Table 4)			Unadjusted	Water	Adjusted	Allocated		Proforma				
No.	Description		Commodity	Max Day	Peak Hour	Wholesale	Delivery	Wholesale	Wholesale		Wholesale	A	Adjustment	% Adjustment	MOD /
Rela	tive Cost Pool Weights ->		10.0%	50.0%	40.0%	SHARE	Factor	SHARE	Rev Req't		Revenue		Required	Required	No MOD
						%		%	\$		\$		\$		
1	Northville Township		0.775%	1.206%	0.951%	1.061%	1.257	1.333%	\$ 5,275,242	\$	5,837,479	\$	(562,237)	-9.63%	MOD
2	Subtotal MOD Customers		0.775%	1.206%	0.951%	1.061%	1.257	1.333%	\$ 5,275,242	\$	5,837,479	\$	(562,237)	-9.63%	
3	No MOD Customers	87	99.225%	98.794%	99.049%	98.939%	0.997	98.667%	\$ 390,402,458	\$	367,801,389	\$	22,601,069	6.14%	No MOD
4	Total	88	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	1.000	100.000%	\$ 395,677,700	\$	373,638,868	\$	22,038,832	5.90%	

Table W - 5
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Application of Contract Adjustments to Allocated Revenue Requirements

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Line	Proforma Wholesale	Mod/No Mod % Adjustment	Allocated Wholesale	Allocated DWSD	Allocated Flint / KWA	Adjusted Allocated		Proforma Total	Charge djustment	% Charge Adjustment	MOD /
No Description	Revenue Table W - 2	Required Table W - 4	Rev Reg't	Ownership Adj ~ (3)	Adjustment ~ (3)	Rev Req't	ļ	Revenue Table W - 2	 equired	Required	No MOD
	Table W - 2	Table W - 4	(1) x [1+(2)]	~ (3)	~ (3)	(3) + (4) + (5)		Table W - 2	(6) - (7)	(8) / (7)	
1 Allen Park	\$ 2,478,590	6.14%	\$ 2,630,897	\$ 157,495	\$ 44,117	\$ 2,832,509	\$	2,680,110	\$ 152,399	5.69%	No MOD
2 Almont Village	\$ 248,914	6.14%	\$ 264,210	\$ 15,817	\$ 4,430	\$ 284,457	\$	269,152	\$ 15,305	5.69%	No MOD
3 Ash Township	\$ 877,949	6.14%	\$ 931,898	\$ 55,787	\$ 15,627	\$ 1,003,312	\$	949,330	\$ 53,982	5.69%	No MOD
4 Belleville	\$ 338,647	6.14%	\$ 359,456	\$ 21,518	\$ 6,028	\$ 387,002	\$	366,180	\$ 20,822	5.69%	No MOD
5 Berlin Township	\$ 743,288	6.14%	\$ 788,962	\$ 47,230	\$ 13,230	\$ 849,422	\$	803,720	\$ 45,702	5.69%	No MOD
6 Brownstown Township	\$ 3,990,210	6.14%	\$ 4,235,405	\$ 253,547	\$ 71,022	\$ 4,559,974	\$	4,314,631	\$ 245,343	5.69%	No MOD
7 Bruce Township	\$ 325,181	6.14%	\$ 345,164	\$ 20,663	\$ 5,788	\$ 371,614	\$	351,620	\$ 19,994	5.69%	No MOD
8 Burtchville Township	\$ 427,945	6.14%	\$ 454,242	\$ 27,193	\$ 7,617	\$ 489,052	\$	462,739	\$ 26,313	5.69%	No MOD
9 Canton Township	\$ 11,099,882	6.14%	\$ 11,781,960	\$ 705,312	\$ 197,568	\$ 12,684,840	\$	12,002,349	\$ 682,491	5.69%	No MOD
10 Center Line	\$ 512,440	6.14%	\$ 543,929	\$ 32,562	\$ 9,121	\$ 585,612	\$	554,104	\$ 31,508	5.69%	No MOD
11 Chesterfield Township	\$ 4,642,690	6.14%	\$ 4,927,979	\$ 295,007	\$ 82,636	\$ 5,305,622	\$	5,020,160	\$ 285,462	5.69%	No MOD
12 Clinton Township	\$ 8,028,438	6.14%	\$ 8,521,779	\$ 510,145	\$ 142,899	\$ 9,174,823	\$	8,681,184	\$ 493,639	5.69%	No MOD
13 Commerce Township	\$ 3,685,758	6.14%	\$ 3,912,244	\$ 234,201	\$ 65,603	\$ 4,212,049	\$	3,985,425	\$ 226,624	5.69%	No MOD
14 Dearborn	\$ 10,223,188	6.14%	\$ 10,851,394	\$ 649,605	\$ 181,964	\$ 11,682,962	\$	11,054,376	\$ 628,586	5.69%	No MOD
15 Dearborn Heights	\$ 4,007,960	6.14%	\$ 4,254,246	\$ 254,675	\$ 71,338	\$ 4,580,259	\$	4,333,824	\$ 246,435	5.69%	No MOD
16 Eastpointe	\$ 1,712,432	6.14%	\$ 1,817,660	\$ 108,812	\$ 30,480	\$ 1,956,951	\$	1,851,660	\$ 105,291	5.69%	No MOD
17 Ecorse	\$ 1,180,824	6.14%	\$ 1,253,385	\$ 75,032	\$ 21,018	\$ 1,349,435	\$	1,276,830	\$ 72,605	5.69%	No MOD
18 Farmington	\$ 1,048,693	6.14%	\$ 1,113,134	\$ 66,636	\$ 18,666	\$ 1,198,436	\$	1,133,956	\$ 64,480	5.69%	No MOD
19 Farmington Hills	\$ 9,492,448	6.14%	\$ 10,075,751	\$ 603,172	\$ 168,957	\$ 10,847,880	\$	10,264,224	\$ 583,656	5.69%	No MOD
20 Ferndale	\$ 1,135,934	6.14%	\$ 1,205,736	\$ 72,180	\$ 20,219	\$ 1,298,134	\$	1,228,290	\$ 69,844	5.69%	No MOD
21 Flat Rock	\$ 1,529,277	6.14%	\$ 1,623,250	\$ 97,174	\$ 27,220	\$ 1,747,644	\$	1,653,614	\$ 94,030	5.69%	No MOD
22 Flint	\$ 10,543,284	6.14%	\$ 11,191,160	\$ 669,944	\$ (6,447,335)	\$ 5,413,769	\$	4,752,740	\$ 661,029	13.91%	No MOD
23 Fraser	\$ 1,340,233	6.14%	\$ 1,422,590	\$ 85,161	\$ 23,855	\$ 1,531,606	\$	1,449,200	\$ 82,406	5.69%	No MOD
24 Garden City	\$ 1,815,030	6.14%	\$ 1,926,562	\$ 115,331	\$ 32,306	\$ 2,074,200	\$	1,962,600	\$ 111,600	5.69%	No MOD
25 Gibraltar	\$ 372,020	6.14%	\$ 394,881	\$ 23,639	\$ 6,622	\$ 425,141	\$	402,267	\$ 22,874	5.69%	No MOD
26 Greenwood Township (DTE)	\$ 1,428,765	6.14%	\$ 1,516,562	\$ 90,787	\$ 25,431	\$ 1,632,780	\$	1,544,930	\$ 87,850	5.69%	No MOD

Table W - 5
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Application of Contract Adjustments to Allocated Revenue Requirements

			(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Line			Proforma Wholesale	Mod/No Mod % Adjustment		Allocated Vholesale		Allocated DWSD	Allocated Flint / KWA	Adjusted Allocated		Proforma Total	Charge djustment	% Charge Adjustment	MOD /
No	Description		Revenue	Required		Rev Reg't	C	Ownership Adj	Adjustment	Rev Req't		Revenue	Required	Required	No MOD
		I	Table W - 2	Table W - 4	(1) x [1+(2)]		~ (3)	~ (3)	(3) + (4) + (5)	- 1	Table W - 2	(6) - (7)	(8) / (7)	
27 (Grosse Ile Township	\$	1,264,691	6.14%	\$	1,342,405	\$	80,361	\$ 22,510	\$ 1,445,277	\$	1,367,516	\$ 77,761	5.69%	No MOD
28 (Grosse Pt. Park	\$	1,407,721	6.14%	\$	1,494,225	\$	89,450	\$ 25,056	\$ 1,608,731	\$	1,522,175	\$ 86,556	5.69%	No MOD
29 (Grosse Pt. Shores	\$	636,862	6.14%	\$	675,997	\$	40,468	\$ 11,336	\$ 727,800	\$	688,642	\$ 39,158	5.69%	No MOD
30 (Grosse Pt. Woods	\$	1,376,481	6.14%	\$	1,461,065	\$	87,465	\$ 24,500	\$ 1,573,030	\$	1,488,395	\$ 84,635	5.69%	No MOD
31 H	lamtramck	\$	887,040	6.14%	\$	941,548	\$	56,365	\$ 15,789	\$ 1,013,701	\$	959,160	\$ 54,541	5.69%	No MOD
32 H	larper Woods	\$	959,737	6.14%	\$	1,018,712	\$	60,984	\$ 17,082	\$ 1,096,779	\$	1,037,768	\$ 59,011	5.69%	No MOD
33 H	Harrison Township	\$	1,781,277	6.14%	\$	1,890,735	\$	113,186	\$ 31,705	\$ 2,035,626	\$	1,926,102	\$ 109,524	5.69%	No MOD
34 H	lazel Park	\$	805,549	6.14%	\$	855,050	\$	51,186	\$ 14,338	\$ 920,574	\$	871,044	\$ 49,530	5.69%	No MOD
35 H	lighland Park	\$	908,299	6.14%	\$	964,114	\$	57,715	\$ 16,167	\$ 1,037,996	\$	982,148	\$ 55,848	5.69%	No MOD
36 H	łuron Township	\$	1,635,033	6.14%	\$	1,735,504	\$	103,894	\$ 29,102	\$ 1,868,500	\$	1,767,968	\$ 100,532	5.69%	No MOD
37 I	mlay City	\$	1,610,256	6.14%	\$	1,709,204	\$	102,319	\$ 28,661	\$ 1,840,185	\$	1,741,176	\$ 99,009	5.69%	No MOD
38 I	mlay Township (Single User)	\$	10,820	6.14%	\$	11,485	\$	688	\$ 193	\$ 12,365	\$	11,700	\$ 665	5.69%	No MOD
39 I	nkster	\$	1,403,934	6.14%	\$	1,490,205	\$	89,209	\$ 24,989	\$ 1,604,403	\$	1,518,080	\$ 86,323	5.69%	No MOD
40 k	Ceego Harbor	\$	322,703	6.14%	\$	342,533	\$	20,505	\$ 5,744	\$ 368,782	\$	348,940	\$ 19,842	5.69%	No MOD
41 L	apeer	\$	1,674,652	6.14%	\$	1,777,558	\$	106,411	\$ 29,807	\$ 1,913,776	\$	1,810,808	\$ 102,968	5.69%	No MOD
42 L	enox Township	\$	346,636	6.14%	\$	367,937	\$	22,026	\$ 6,170	\$ 396,132	\$	374,819	\$ 21,313	5.69%	No MOD
43 L	incoln Park	\$	2,470,539	6.14%	\$	2,622,351	\$	156,984	\$ 43,973	\$ 2,823,308	\$	2,671,404	\$ 151,904	5.69%	No MOD
44 L	ivonia	\$	12,428,099	6.14%	\$	13,191,794	\$	789,710	\$ 221,209	\$ 14,202,713	\$	13,438,555	\$ 764,158	5.69%	No MOD
45 N	Macomb Township	\$	13,357,231	6.14%	\$	14,178,021	\$	848,749	\$ 237,747	\$ 15,264,517	\$	14,443,230	\$ 821,287	5.69%	No MOD
46 1	Madison Heights	\$	2,239,043	6.14%	\$	2,376,631	\$	142,274	\$ 39,853	\$ 2,558,758	\$	2,421,087	\$ 137,671	5.69%	No MOD
47 1	Mayfield Township (KAMAX)	\$	54,578	6.14%	\$	57,932	\$	3,468	\$ 971	\$ 62,372	\$	59,016	\$ 3,356	5.69%	No MOD
48 1	Melvindale	\$	711,674	6.14%	\$	755,406	\$	45,221	\$ 12,667	\$ 813,294	\$	769,536	\$ 43,758	5.69%	No MOD
49 1	New Haven, Village of	\$	473,275	6.14%	\$	502,357	\$	30,073	\$ 8,424	\$ 540,854	\$	511,754	\$ 29,100	5.69%	No MOD
50 1	NOCWA	\$	23,778,342	6.14%	\$	25,239,500	\$	1,510,930	\$ 423,233	\$ 27,173,663	\$	25,711,620	\$ 1,462,043	5.69%	No MOD
51 1	Northville	\$	830,902	6.14%	\$	881,960	\$	52,797	\$ 14,789	\$ 949,547	\$	898,458	\$ 51,089	5.69%	No MOD
52 1	Northville Township	\$	5,837,479	-9.63%	\$	5,275,242	\$	315,795	\$ 88,459	\$ 5,679,496	\$	6,312,090	\$ (632,594)	-10.02%	MOD

Table W - 5
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Application of Contract Adjustments to Allocated Revenue Requirements

Line No	Description	V	(1) Proforma Wholesale Revenue	(2) Mod/No Mod % Adjustment Required	V	(3) Allocated Wholesale Rev Req't	((4) Allocated DWSD Ownership Adj		(5) Allocated Flint / KWA Adjustment		(6) Adjusted Allocated Rev Req't		(7) Proforma <i>Total</i> Revenue	A	(8) Charge djustment Required	(9) % Charge Adjustment Required	(10) MOD / No MOD
		Т	able W - 2	Table W - 4	((1) x [1+(2)]		~ (3)		~ (3)		(3) + (4) + (5)		Table W - 2		(6) - (7)	(8) / (7)	
53 N	ovi	\$	9,899,670	6.14%	4	10,507,995	4	629,047	4	176,205	4	11,313,248	\$	10,704,554	¢	608,694	5.69%	No MOD
	ak Park	\$	1,515,820	6.14%		1,608,965		96,319	•	26,980		1,732,264	\$	1,639,062	•	93,202	5.69%	No MOD
	akland GWK Drain District	\$	96,433	6.14%		102,358		6,128		1,716		110,202	,	104,273	•	5,929	5.69%	No MOD
	ymouth	\$	1,179,398	6.14%		1,251,871		74,942	-	20,992			\$	1,275,288	•	72,517	5.69%	No MOD
	ymouth Township	\$	4,791,517	6.14%		5,085,951		304,464		85,285		5,475,700		5,181,087	•	294,613	5.69%	No MOD
	edford Township	\$	3,184,876	6.14%		3,380,584	-	202,374		56,688		3,639,646		3,443,820	•	195,826	5.69%	No MOD
	ver Rouge	\$	567,313	6.14%		602,174		36,048	-	10,098		648,320	•	613,438	•	34,882	5.69%	No MOD
	verview	\$	933,356	6.14%		990,710		59,308	•	16,613		1,066,631	•	1,009,242	•	57,389	5.69%	No MOD
	ockwood	\$	267,839	6.14%		284,297		17,019	•	4,767		306,083	•	289,615	•	16,468	5.69%	No MOD
62 R		\$	190,509	6.14%		202,215	-	12,105		3,391		217,712		205,998	-	11,714	5.69%	No MOD
	omulus	\$	3,958,069	6.14%		4,201,289	-	251,505		70,450		4,523,244		4,279,877	-	243,367	5.69%	No MOD
	oseville	\$	2,773,503	6.14%		2,943,932		176,235	-	49,366		3,169,532	•	2,999,000	•	170,532	5.69%	No MOD
	oyal Oak Township	\$	224,781	6.14%		238,594	-	14,283	-	4,001		256,878		243,057	•	13,821	5.69%	No MOD
	nelby Township	\$	11,945,092	6.14%		12,679,107	-	759,018		212,612		13,650,738	\$	12,916,278	•	734,460	5.69%	No MOD
	OCWA	\$	25,345,915	6.14%		26,903,399		1,610,537	-	451,135			\$	27,406,644	•	1,558,427	5.69%	No MOD
	outh Rockwood	\$	127,712	6.14%		135,560	-	8,115		2,273		145,949		138,096	•	7,853	5.69%	No MOD
	outhgate	\$	2,256,427	6.14%		2,395,082		143,378		40,162		2,578,623	\$	2,439,884	•	138,739	5.69%	No MOD
	. Clair Shores	\$	3,375,172	6.14%		3,582,574	-	214,466	-	60,075		3,857,115	\$	3,649,588	•	207,527	5.69%	No MOD
71 St	erling Heights	\$	15,755,974	6.14%		16,724,164	-	1,001,170	-	280,442		18,005,777	•	17,037,000	•	968,777	5.69%	No MOD
	umpter Township	\$	785,764	6.14%		834,049	-	49,929	-	13,986	-	897,964		849,650	•	48,314	5.69%	No MOD
	vlvan Lake	\$	251,041	6.14%		266,468		15,952		4,468		286,888		271,452	•	15,436	5.69%	No MOD
74 Tc		\$	4,975,825	6.14%		5,281,585	-	316,175		88,565			\$	5,380,380	•	305,945	5.69%	No MOD
75 Tr	,	\$	1,899,129	6.14%		2,015,829	-	120,675		33,803		2,170,306	\$	2,053,536	•	116,770	5.69%	No MOD
76 Tr	oy	\$	14,577,974	6.14%	\$	15,473,777	\$	926,318		259,475		16,659,570	\$	15,763,224	•	896,346	5.69%	No MOD
77 U1	tica	\$	637,896	6.14%	\$	677,095	\$	40,533	\$	11,354	\$	728,982	\$	689,760	\$	39,222	5.69%	No MOD
78 V	an Buren Township	\$	3,634,098	6.14%		3,857,410	-	230,919		64,684	-	4,153,012			\$	223,447	5.69%	No MOD

Table W - 5
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Application of Contract Adjustments to Allocated Revenue Requirements

Line No	Description	V	(1) Proforma Wholesale Revenue Table W - 2	(2) Mod/No Mod % Adjustment Required Table W - 4	W R	(3) Allocated /holesale Rev Req't	(4) Allocated DWSD wnership Adj ~ (3)	(5) Allocated Flint / KWA Adjustment ~ (3)	(6) Adjusted Allocated Rev Req't (3) + (4) + (5)	(7) Proforma Total Revenue Table W - 2	(8) Charge Adjustment Required (6) - (7)	(9) % Charge Adjustment Required (8) / (7)	(10) MOD / No MOD
			uble W-2	Table W - 4	(1) X [1+(2)]	. (3)	. (3)	(3) + (4) + (3)	Table W - Z	(0) - (7)	(0) / (/)	
79 Walle	ed Lake	\$	854,860	6.14%	\$	907,391	\$ 54,320	\$ 15,216	\$ 976,926	\$ 924,364	\$ 52,562	5.69%	No MOD
80 Warr	ren	\$	10,303,635	6.14%	\$	10,936,785	\$ 654,716	\$ 183,396	\$ 11,774,897	\$ 11,141,364	\$ 633,533	5.69%	No MOD
81 Wash	hington Township	\$	2,522,817	6.14%	\$	2,677,842	\$ 160,306	\$ 44,904	\$ 2,883,052	\$ 2,727,933	\$ 155,119	5.69%	No MOD
82 Way	ne	\$	1,931,593	6.14%	\$	2,050,288	\$ 122,738	\$ 34,381	\$ 2,207,407	\$ 2,088,640	\$ 118,767	5.69%	No MOD
83 West	t Bloomfield Township	\$	11,451,231	6.14%	\$	12,154,899	\$ 727,637	\$ 203,822	\$ 13,086,358	\$ 12,382,264	\$ 704,094	5.69%	No MOD
84 West	tland	\$	6,538,549	6.14%	\$	6,940,337	\$ 415,474	\$ 116,380	\$ 7,472,191	\$ 7,070,160	\$ 402,031	5.69%	No MOD
85 Wixo	om	\$	2,665,656	6.14%	\$	2,829,458	\$ 169,382	\$ 47,446	\$ 3,046,286	\$ 2,882,385	\$ 163,901	5.69%	No MOD
86 Woo	odhaven	\$	1,571,776	6.14%	\$	1,668,360	\$ 99,874	\$ 27,976	\$ 1,796,211	\$ 1,699,568	\$ 96,643	5.69%	No MOD
87 Ypsilo	anti Comm Util Auth	\$	11,200,933	6.14%	\$	11,889,221	\$ 711,733	\$ 199,367	\$ 12,800,320	\$ 12,111,616	\$ 688,704	5.69%	No MOD
88 Detro	oit	\$	47,003,835	6.14%	\$	49,892,179	\$ (20,700,000)	\$ 836,626	\$ 30,028,805	\$ 27,094,800	\$ 2,934,005	10.83%	No MOD
89 TOTA	AL .	\$	373,638,868	5.90%	\$	395,677,700	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 395,677,700	\$ 373,638,868	\$ 22,038,832	5.90%	
90 MOE	O Customers	1 \$	5,837,479	-9.63%	\$	5,275,242	\$ 315,795	\$ 88,459	\$ 5,679,496	\$ 6,312,090	\$ (632,594)	-10.02%	MOD
91 No N	MOD Customers	87 \$	367,801,389	6.14%	\$	390,402,458	\$ (315,795)	\$ (88,459)	\$ 389,998,203	\$ 367,326,778	\$ 22,671,426	6.17%	No MOD
92 Total		88 \$	373,638,868	5.90%	\$	395,677,700	\$ 0	\$ (0)	\$ 395,677,700	\$ 373,638,868	\$ 22,038,832	5.90%	

Table W - 6
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Calculation of FY 2026 Wholesale Water Service Charge Schedule and Illustration of Revenue Recovery

			(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)	(9)	(10)
Line			Allocated Total	Projected Sales	А	Net llocated		Recov Fixed	_	/ia Commodity		Recov Fixed		a mmodity		Projected	Revenue	MOD /
No	Description		Rev Req't	Volume		Init Cost		Monthly		Charge		Monthly		Charge		Revenue	Recovery	No MOD
		1	\$ Table W - 5	Mcf Table W - 2		\$/Mcf (1) / (2)		\$ 60% of (1)		\$ (1)-(6)*12	(4	\$/mo 1) / 12 mos		\$/Mcf (5) / (2)		\$ 12 * (6)+(2)*(7)	% (8)/(1)	(7) / (6)
1	Allen Park	\$	2,832,509	115,500		24.52	\$	1,699,506	\$	1,133,004		141,625		9.81	\$	2,832,509	100.0%	No MOD
2	Almont Village	\$	284,457	8,800	-	32.32	•	170,674		113,783		14,223	-	12.93		284,457	100.0%	No MOD
3	Ash Township	\$	1,003,312	37,400		26.83		601,987		401,325		50,166	S	10.73	\$	1,003,312	100.0%	No MOD
4	Belleville	\$	387,002	14,000	•	27.64	•	232,201		154,801		19,350	-	11.06		387,002	100.0%	No MOD
5	Berlin Township	\$	849,422	26,800	•	31.69	•	509,653		339,769		42,471	-	12.68		849,422	100.0%	No MOD
6	Brownstown Township	\$	4,559,974	139,100	•	32.78	•	2,735,985	-	1,823,990		227,999	-	13.11		4,559,974	100.0%	No MOD
7	Bruce Township	\$	371,614	2,860	•	129.94	•	222,969	•	148,646	-	18,581	-	51.97		371,614	100.0%	No MOD
- 8	Burtchville Township	\$	489,052	9,700		50.42	•	293,431	•	195,621		24,453		20.17		489,052	100.0%	No MOD
9	Canton Township	\$	12,684,840	381,900	•	33.22	•	7,610,904	-	5,073,936	-	634,242	-	13.29		12,684,840	100.0%	No MOD
10	Center Line	\$	585,612	31,600		18.53	•	351,367	•	234,245	-	29,281	-	7.41		585,612	100.0%	No MOD
11	Chesterfield Township	\$	5,305,622	177,800		29.84	•	3,183,373	-	2,122,249	-	265,281	-	11.94	•	5,305,622	100.0%	No MOD
12	Clinton Township	\$	9,174,823	377,600	•	24.30	•	5,504,894		3,669,929	-	458,741	-	9.72		9,174,823	100.0%	No MOD
13	Commerce Township	\$	4,212,049	105,300		40.00		2,527,229		1,684,819		210,602		16.00		4,212,049	100.0%	No MOD
14	Dearborn	\$	11,682,962	524,200		22.29	•	7,009,777		4,673,185	-	584,148	-	8.91		11,682,962	100.0%	No MOD
15	Dearborn Heights	\$	4,580,259	194,800		23.51		2,748,155		1,832,103	-	229,013		9.41		4,580,259	100.0%	No MOD
16	Eastpointe		1,956,951	101,000		19.38	•	1,174,171		782,780	-	97,848	-	7.75		1,956,951	100.0%	No MOD
17	Ecorse	\$	1,349,435	77,000		17.53	•	809,661		539,774	-	67,472	-	7.73			100.0%	No MOD
18	Farmington	\$	1,198,436	43,400		27.61	•	719,062		479,375	-	59,922	-	11.05		1,349,435	100.0%	No MOD
	<u> </u>	- '			•		•				-	•	•					No MOD
19	Farmington Hills	\$	10,847,880	347,400	•	31.23	•	6,508,728		4,339,152	-	542,394	•	12.49		10,847,880	100.0%	
20	Ferndale	\$	1,298,134	64,500	•	20.13	•	778,881		519,254		64,907	-	8.05		1,298,134	100.0%	No MOD
21	Flat Rock	\$	1,747,644	52,700	•	33.16	•	1,048,586		699,057	-	87,382	-	13.26		1,747,644	100.0%	No MOD
22	Flint	\$	5,413,769	394,000	•	13.74	•	669,600	-	4,744,169		55,800	-	12.04		5,413,769	100.0%	No MOD
23	Fraser	\$	1,531,606	56,000	•	27.35	•	918,964	-	612,642		76,580	-	10.94		1,531,606	100.0%	No MOD
24	Garden City	\$	2,074,200	72,500	\$	28.61	\$	1,244,520	\$	829,680	\$	103,710	\$	11.44	\$	2,074,200	100.0%	No MOD

Table W - 6
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Calculation of FY 2026 Wholesale Water Service Charge Schedule and Illustration of Revenue Recovery

			(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)	(9)	(10)
Line			Allocated Total	Projected Sales	Δ	Net Ulocated		Recov Fixed	_	/ia Commodity		Recov Fixed		a mmodity		Projected	Revenue	MOD /
No	Description		Rev Req't	Volume		Jnit Cost		Monthly		Charge		Monthly		Charge		Revenue	Recovery	No MOD
		1	\$ Table W - 5	Mcf Table W - 2		\$/Mcf (1) / (2)		\$ 60% of (1)		\$ (1)-(6)*12	//	\$/mo 1) / 12 mos		\$/Mcf (5) / (2)		\$ 12 * (6)+(2)*(7)	% (8)/(1)	(7) / (6)
25	Gibraltar	\$	425,141	17,100		24.86	\$	255,085	\$	170,056		21,257		9.94		425,141	100.0%	No MOD
26	Greenwood Township (DTE)	\$	1,632,780	32,600		50.09		979,668	•	653,112		81,639	-	20.03		1,632,780	100.0%	No MOD
27	Grosse lle Township	\$	1,445,277	42,800		33.77	•	867,166	•	578,111	-	72,264	-	13.51		1,445,277	100.0%	No MOD
28	Grosse Pt. Park	\$	1,608,731	50,500		31.86	•	965,238	•	643,492		80,437	•	12.74		1,608,731	100.0%	No MOD
29	Grosse Pt. Shores	\$	727,800	17,800		40.89		436,680		291,120		36,390	-	16.36		727,800	100.0%	No MOD
30	Grosse Pt. Woods	\$	1,573,030	66,500		23.65	•	943,818	•	629,212	-	78,651	•	9.46		1,573,030	100.0%	No MOD
31	Hamtramck	\$	1,013,701	62,000	•	16.35		608,220		405,480		50,685	-	6.54		1,013,701	100.0%	No MOD
32	Harper Woods	\$	1,096,779	54,800	•	20.01		658,067		438,711		54,839	-	8.01		1,096,779	100.0%	No MOD
33	Harrison Township	\$	2,035,626	94,900		21.45	•	1,221,376	•	814,250		101,781	-	8.58		2,035,626	100.0%	No MOD
34	Hazel Park	\$	920,574	46,800		19.67		552,345	•	368,230	-	46,029		7.87	-	920,574	100.0%	No MOD
35	Highland Park	\$	1,037,996	77,200		13.45		622,798	•	415,198	-	51,900	-	5.38		1,037,996	100.0%	No MOD
	Huron Township										•	· ·	•				100.0%	No MOD
36	'	\$	1,868,500	65,600		28.48		1,121,100		747,400	-	93,425	-	11.39		1,868,500		
37	Imlay City	\$	1,840,185	46,800		39.32		1,104,111		736,074	-	92,009	-	15.73		1,840,185	100.0%	No MOD
38	Imlay Township (Single User)	\$	12,365	10		1,236.53		7,419		4,946	•	618	•	494.61		12,365	100.0%	No MOD
39	Inkster	\$	1,604,403	98,500		16.29		962,642		641,761	-	80,220	-	6.52		1,604,403	100.0%	No MOD
40	Keego Harbor	\$	368,782	9,800		37.63		221,269		147,513		18,439	-	15.05		368,782	100.0%	No MOD
41	Lapeer	\$	1,913,776	54,200		35.31		1,148,266	•	765,510	-	95,689	•	14.12		1,913,776	100.0%	No MOD
42	Lenox Township	\$	396,132	16,100		24.60		237,679		158,453		19,807		9.84		396,132	100.0%	No MOD
43	Lincoln Park	\$	2,823,308	172,400	\$	16.38	\$	1,693,985	\$	1,129,323	\$	141,165	\$	6.55	\$	2,823,308	100.0%	No MOD
44	Livonia	\$	14,202,713	449,500	\$	31.60	\$	8,521,628	\$	5,681,085	\$	710,136	\$	12.64	\$	14,202,713	100.0%	No MOD
45	Macomb Township	\$	15,264,517	337,800	\$	45.19	\$	9,158,710	\$	6,105,807	\$	763,226		18.08		15,264,517	100.0%	No MOD
46	Madison Heights	\$	2,558,758	122,700	\$	20.85	\$	1,535,255	\$	1,023,503	\$	127,938	\$	8.34	\$	2,558,758	100.0%	No MOD
47	Mayfield Township (KAMAX)	\$	62,372	530	\$	117.68	\$	37,423	\$	24,949	\$	3,119	\$	47.07	\$	62,372	100.0%	No MOD
48	Melvindale	\$	813,294	46,300	\$	17.57	\$	487,977	\$	325,318	\$	40,665	\$	7.03	\$	813,294	100.0%	No MOD

Table W - 6
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Calculation of FY 2026 Wholesale Water Service Charge Schedule and Illustration of Revenue Recovery

		(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Line No	Description	Allocated Total Rev Req't	Projected Sales Volume		Net Allocated Unit Cost		Recover Fixed Monthly		Commodity Charge		Recover Fixed Monthly		ommodity Charge	Projected Revenue	Revenue Recovery	MOD / No MOD
		\$ Table W - 5	Mcf Table W - 2		\$/Mcf (1) / (2)		\$ 60% of (1)		\$ (1)-(6)*12	14	\$/mo 4) / 12 mos		\$/Mcf (5) / (2)	\$ 12 * (6)+(2)*(7)	% (8)/(1)	(7) / (6)
49	New Haven, Village of	\$ 540,854	19,900	\$	27.18	\$	324,512	\$	216,342		27,043	\$	10.87	540,854	100.0%	No MOD
50	NOCWA	\$ 27,173,663	871,000		31.20	\$	16,304,198	\$	10,869,465	\$	1,358,683	\$	12.48	\$ 27,173,663	100.0%	No MOD
51	Northville	\$ 949,547	29,400	\$	32.30	\$	569,728	\$	379,819	\$	47,477	\$	12.92	\$ 949,547	100.0%	No MOD
52	Northville Township	\$ 5,679,496	134,700	-	42.16		3,407,698	•	2,271,799		283,975		16.87	\$ 5,679,496	100.0%	MOD
53	Novi	\$ 11,313,248	302,900		37.35		6,787,949	•	4,525,299	-	565,662	-	14.94	11,313,248	100.0%	No MOD
54	Oak Park	\$ 1,732,264	90,600		19.12	•	1,039,358	•	692,906	-	86,613	S	7.65	 1,732,264	100.0%	No MOD
55	Oakland GWK Drain District	\$ 110,202	8,900		12.38		66,121	•	44,081		5,510	-	4.95	110,202	100.0%	No MOD
56	Plymouth	\$ 1,347,805	43,600		30.91		808,683		539,122	-	67,390		12.37	1,347,805	100.0%	No MOD
57	Plymouth Township	\$ 5,475,700	153,900		35.58		3,285,420		2,190,280	-	273,785		14.23	 5,475,700	100.0%	No MOD
58	Redford Township	\$ 3,639,646	153,000	-	23.79		2,183,788	•	1,455,859	-	181,982		9.52	3,639,646	100.0%	No MOD
59	River Rouge	\$ 648,320	40,100		16.17		388,992	•	259,328		32,416		6.47	648,320	100.0%	No MOD
60	Riverview	\$ 1,066,631	44,700		23.86		639,978		426,652		53,332		9.54	1,066,631	100.0%	No MOD
61	Rockwood	\$ 306,083	9,500		32.22		183,650		122,433	-	15,304		12.89	 306,083	100.0%	No MOD
62	Romeo	\$ 217,712	3,100		70.23		130,627	•	87,085		10,886		28.09	 217,712	100.0%	No MOD
63	Romulus	\$ 4,523,244	199,700		22.65		2,713,946		1,809,298		226,162		9.06	 4,523,244	100.0%	No MOD
	Roseville	3,169,532					1,901,719			-	•				100.0%	No MOD
64		\$	170,600		18.58			•	1,267,813		158,477	-	7.43	 3,169,532		
65	Royal Oak Township	\$ 256,878	10,100		25.43		154,127		102,751		12,844		10.17	 256,878	100.0%	No MOD
66	Shelby Township	\$ 13,650,738	405,400		33.67		8,190,443	•	5,460,295	-	682,537	-	13.47	 13,650,738	100.0%	No MOD
67	SOCWA	\$ 28,965,071	1,220,700		23.73		17,379,043	•	11,586,028	\$	1,448,254	-	9.49	 28,965,071	100.0%	No MOD
68	South Rockwood	\$ 145,949	4,800		30.41		87,569		58,379		7,297	-	12.16	 145,949	100.0%	No MOD
69	Southgate	\$ 2,578,623	117,200	\$	22.00	\$	1,547,174	\$	1,031,449	\$	128,931	\$	8.80	\$ 2,578,623	100.0%	No MOD

Table W - 6
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Calculation of FY 2026 Wholesale Water Service Charge Schedule and Illustration of Revenue Recovery

			(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)	(9)	(10)
Line			Allocated Total	Projected Sales	,	Net Allocated		Recov Fixed	_	Via Commodity		Recov Fixed	_	ia ommodity		Projected	Revenue	MOD /
No	Description		Rev Req't	Volume		Unit Cost		Monthly		Charge		Monthly		Charge		Revenue	Recovery	No MOD
			\$	Mcf		\$/Mcf		\$		\$		\$/mo		\$/Mcf		\$	%	(7) / (6)
70	Ct. Clair Classes		Table W - 5	Table W - 2	Φ.	(1) / (2)	•	60% of (1)	Φ.	(1)-(6)*12		(4) / 12 mos		(5) / (2)		12 * (6)+(2)*(7)	(8)/(1)	N- 110D
70	St. Clair Shores		\$ 3,857,115	198,200		19.46		2,314,269	•	1,542,846		192,856	-	7.78	•	3,857,115	100.0%	No MOD
71	Sterling Heights		\$ 18,005,777	567,500		31.73		10,803,466	•	7,202,311	-		-	12.69	•	18,005,777	100.0%	No MOD
72	Sumpter Township		\$ 897,964	35,000	\$	25.66	\$	538,778	\$	359,185	\$	44,898	\$	10.26	\$	897,964	100.0%	No MOD
73	Sylvan Lake		\$ 286,888	6,600	\$	43.47	\$	172,133	\$	114,755	\$	14,344	\$	17.39	\$	286,888	100.0%	No MOD
74	Taylor		\$ 5,686,325	267,800	\$	21.23	\$	3,411,795	\$	2,274,530	\$	284,316	\$	8.49	\$	5,686,325	100.0%	No MOD
75	Trenton		\$ 2,170,306	81,600	\$	26.60	\$	1,302,184	\$	868,123	\$	108,515	\$	10.64	\$	2,170,306	100.0%	No MOD
76	Troy		\$ 16,659,570	424,100	\$	39.28	\$	9,995,742	\$	6,663,828	\$	832,978	\$	15.71	\$	16,659,570	100.0%	No MOD
77	Utica		\$ 728,982	24,000	\$	30.37	\$	437,389	\$	291,593	\$	36,449	\$	12.15	\$	728,982	100.0%	No MOD
78	Van Buren Township		\$ 4,153,012	125,100	\$	33.20	\$	2,491,807	\$	1,661,205	\$	207,651	\$	13.28	\$	4,153,012	100.0%	No MOD
79	Walled Lake		\$ 976,926	28,900	\$	33.80	\$	586,156	\$	390,770	\$	48,846	\$	13.52	\$	976,926	100.0%	No MOD
80	Warren		\$ 11,774,897	615,600	\$	19.13	\$	7,064,938	\$	4,709,959	\$	588,745	\$	7.65	\$	11,774,897	100.0%	No MOD
81	Washington Township		\$ 2,883,052	85,700	\$	33.64	\$	1,729,831	\$	1,153,221	\$	144,153	\$	13.46	\$	2,883,052	100.0%	No MOD
82	Wayne		\$ 2,207,407	101,000	\$	21.86	\$	1,324,444	\$	882,963	\$	110,370	\$	8.74	\$	2,207,407	100.0%	No MOD
83	West Bloomfield Township		\$ 13,086,358	277,100	\$	47.23	\$	7,851,815	\$	5,234,543	\$	654,318	\$	18.89	\$	13,086,358	100.0%	No MOD
84	Westland		\$ 7,472,191	314,400	\$	23.77	\$	4,483,315	\$	2,988,877	\$	373,610	\$	9.51	\$	7,472,191	100.0%	No MOD
85	Wixom		\$ 3,046,286	76,500	\$	39.82	\$	1,827,772	\$	1,218,515	\$	152,314	\$	15.93	\$	3,046,286	100.0%	No MOD
86	Woodhaven		\$ 1,796,211	54,800	\$	32.78	\$	1,077,726	\$	718,484	\$	89,811	\$	13.11	\$	1,796,211	100.0%	No MOD
87	Ypsilanti Comm Util Auth		\$ 12,800,320	486,400	\$	26.32	\$	7,680,192	\$	5,120,128	\$	640,016	\$	10.53	\$	12,800,320	100.0%	No MOD
88	Detroit		\$ 30,028,805	4,231,000	\$	7.10	\$	30,028,805			\$	2,502,400			\$	30,028,805	100.0%	No MOD
89	TOTAL		\$ 395,677,700	17,374,200	\$	3,897.39	\$	246,839,481	\$	148,838,219	\$	20,569,957	\$	8.57	\$	395,677,700	100.0%	
90	MOD Customers	1	\$ 5,679,496	134,700	\$	42.16	\$	3,407,698	\$	2,271,799	\$	283,975	\$	16.87	\$	5,679,496	100.0%	MOD
91	No MOD Customers	87	\$ 389,998,203	17,239,500	\$	3,855.22	\$	243,431,783	\$	146,566,421	\$	20,285,982	\$	8.50	\$	389,998,203	100.0%	No MOD
92	Total	88	\$ 395,677,700	17,374,200	\$	3,897.39	\$	246,839,481	\$	148,838,219	\$	20,569,957	\$	8.57	\$	395,677,700	100.0%	

Table W - 7
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Water Supply System
Illlustration of the Impact of Contract Adjustments to Detroit and Flint

Line		(1) Proforma Revenue	(2)	(3) Adjusted Allocated	(4) Charge Adjustment	(5) \$ Charge Adjustment
No	Description	sting Charges Table W - 2	SHARE	Rev Req't Table W - 5	(3) - (1)	(4) / (1)
		rable II 2		rabio II	(5) (1)	('/ / (' /
	<u>Detroit</u>					
1	Allocated Wholesale Rev Req't	\$ 47,003,835	12.509%	\$ 49,892,179	\$ 2,888,344	6.14%
2	Flint KWA Adjustment	\$ 790,965		\$ 836,626	\$ 45,661	5.77%
3	Subtotal Wholesale	\$ 47,794,800		\$ 50,728,805	\$ 2,934,005	6.14%
4	Detroit Ownership Adjustment	\$ (20,700,000)		\$ (20,700,000)	\$ -	0.00%
5	Adjusted Total	\$ 27,094,800		\$ 30,028,805	\$ 2,934,005	10.83%
	<u>Flint</u>					
6	Allocated Wholesale Rev Req't	\$ 10,543,284	2.958%	\$ 11,191,160	\$ 647,875	6.14%
7	Detroit Ownership Adjustment	\$ 656,791		\$ 669,944	\$ 13,153	2.00%
8	Subtotal Wholesale	\$ 11,200,075		\$ 11,861,104	\$ 661,029	5.90%
9	Flint KWA Adjustment	\$ (6,447,335)		\$ (6,447,335)	\$ -	0.00%
10	Adjusted Total	\$ 4,752,740		\$ 5,413,769	\$ 661,029	13.91%
	All Other Member Partners					
11	Allocated Wholesale Rev Req't	\$ 316,091,749	84.533%	\$ 334,594,361	\$ 18,502,613	5.85%
12	Flint KWA Adjustment	\$ 5,656,370		\$ 5,610,709	\$ (45,661)	-0.81%
13	Subtotal Wholesale	\$ 321,748,119		\$ 340,205,070	\$ 18,456,951	5.74%
14	Detroit Ownership Adjustment	\$ 20,043,209		\$ 20,030,056	\$ (13,153)	-0.07%
15	Adjusted Total	\$ 341,791,328		\$ 360,235,126	\$ 18,443,798	5.40%
	<u>Total System</u>					
16	Allocated Wholesale Rev Req't	\$ 373,638,868	100.000%	\$ 395,677,700	\$ 22,038,832	5.90%
17	Flint KWA Adjustment	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	
18	Subtotal Wholesale	\$ 373,638,868		\$ 395,677,700	\$ 22,038,832	5.90%
19	Detroit Ownership Adjustment	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	
20	Subtotal Wholesale	\$ 373,638,868		\$ 395,677,700	\$ 22,038,832	5.90%





B. Sewage Disposal System

Worksheet	Description
Table S-1	Preliminary FY 2026 Revenue Requirement and Charge Adjustment Summary
Description	Table S-1 presents a summary of the comprehensive Sewage Disposal System Budgeted Revenue Requirements for FY 2025 compared to the approved FY 2026 Revenue Requirements. This is the budget the Study started with which was provided by GLWA. Once the Study is completed the Revenue from Charges (Line 1) is adjusted to the Study results with the effect of the rounding to hundreds adjusted to Miscellaneous Revenues (Line 2).
Table S-2	FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges
Description	 Table S-2 illustrates the calculation of proforma FY 2026 revenues under the existing FY 2025 service charge schedule. This table separates the proforma revenue projections into amounts related to: "Wholesale" revenue requirements; Contractual adjustment to implementation of the Detroit Ownership Adjustment; Specific contractual adjustments to reflect the OMID specific charges. This is necessary to provide context to the results of the cost of service analyses and charge adjustment presented in Tables S-5 and S-6. The total proforma revenue of \$507.09 million in Column 8 of the table becomes the "Baseline Revenue" on Line 16 in Table S-1.
Table S-3	FY 2026 Sewer SHAREs
Description	Table S-3 presents the FY 2026 SHAREs and compares them to the existing SHAREs. As mentioned herein, the FY 2026 SHAREs remain unchanged from those used in the FY 2025 rate calculations.
Table S-4	Proposed FY 2026 GLWA Pollutant Surcharge Rates
Description	FY 2026 pollutant surcharges were increased by a uniform 4.44%.
Table S-5	Allocation of FY 2026 Revenue Requirements and Adjustments to Member Partners
Description	Table S-5 shows the FY 2026 Revenue Requirements to Member Partners. Since there was no change in SHAREs a uniform increase of 4.44% was



Worksheet	Description
	applied to the proforma revenue based on FY 2025 charges to compute the allocated revenue requirements in Column 2.
	The "Detroit Capital Ownership Adjustment" identified in the Agreements is reduced from Detroit and allocated to all other Member Partners (based on their SHAREs) in Column 3. The OMID specific contractual amounts are applied in Column 5.
	The FY 2026 Sewer Charges contain an adjustment related to Green Infrastructure expenditures made by DWSD, shown in Column 7. In accordance with the NPDES Permit, DWSD spends approximately \$2 million annually on Green Infrastructure initiatives. In accordance with the Agreements, 17% of such costs are the responsibility of GLWA's suburban wholesale Member Partners. This budgeted GLWA operating expense of \$340,000 is originally assigned to the CSO 83/17 Cost Pool, and Detroit is allocated 83% of that amount, or \$282,200. The adjustment in Column 7 reverses that initial allocation and reallocates it to all other Member Partners based on their 17% share.
	The total revenue requirement to be recovered from charges is shown in Column 8. Amounts may not match the charge sheets as rounding of the monthly fixed charge could result in different annual amounts.
	The calculations herein do not produce specific charge proposals for the Detroit retail class.
Table S-6	Proposed FY 2026 Wholesale Sewer Service Charge Schedule - Fixed Monthly Charges
Description	Table S-6 calculates the FY 2026 Wholesale Sewer Service Charges. The charges for Member Partners continue to consist entirely of fixed monthly charges are simply the amounts from Table S-5 divided by 12.
Table S-7	Comparison of Existing and New Fixed Monthly Service Charges
Description	Table S–7 compares the FY 2026 Wholesale Sewer Service Charges to the existing charges.
Table S-8	Comparison of Annual Revenues from Existing and New Charges
Description	Table S-8 compares the projected revenues from the FY 2026 Wholesale Sewer Service Charges to the proforma revenue based on existing FY 2025 charges.



Worksheet	Description
Table S-9	FY 2026 Industrial Waste Control Charges
Description	The Industrial Waste Control Charges escalate based on retail connection size, and an "administrative only" fee is presented for certain Member Partner communities. Rates were increased by a uniform 4.44%.

GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY

FY 2026 Water and Sewer Cost of Service Study

May 12, 2025

Sewage Disposal System

Table	Description
S-1	Summary of Preliminary FY 2026 Revenue Requirement and Charge Adjustment
S-2	FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges
S-3	FY 2026 Sewer SHAREs
S-4	Proposed FY 2026 GLWA Pollutant Surcharge Rates
S-5	Allocation of FY 2026 Revenue Requirements and Adjustments to Member Partners
S-6	Proposed FY 2026 Wholesale Sewer Service Charge Schedule - Fixed Monthly Charges
S-7	Comparison of Existing and Proposed Fixed Monthly Service Charges
S-8	Comparison of Annual Revenues from Existing and Proposed Charges
S-9	Proposed FY 2026 Industrial Waste Control Charges
Note: Nui	mbers may not match final charge sheets due to rounding

Table S - 1
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System
Summary of Preliminary FY 2026 Revenue Requirement and Charge Adjustment

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Line		Approved FY 2025	Preliminary FY 2026		
No.	Description	Budget	Budget	Variance	% Variance
		\$	\$	\$	
	_				
	Revenues	 	 		
1	Revenues from Charges	\$ 507,596,100	\$ 529,896,800	\$ 22,300,700	4.39%
2	Other Operating Revenues	\$ 700,000	\$ 450,000	\$ (250,000)	-35.71%
3	Investment Earnings	\$ 12,356,000	\$ 14,615,200	\$ 2,259,200	18.28%
4	Total Revenues	\$ 520,652,100	\$ 544,962,000	\$ 24,309,900	4.67%
	Revenue Requirements				
5	Operations & Maintenance Expense	\$ 228,934,000	\$ 236,098,700	\$ 7,164,700	3.13%
6	Debt Service	\$ 226,279,400	\$ 220,884,500	\$ (5,394,900)	-2.38%
7	General Retirement System Pension	\$ 4,846,300	\$ 5,632,800	\$ 786,500	16.23%
8	WRAP Contribution	\$ 2,651,700	\$ 2,638,500	\$ (13,200)	-0.50%
9	Extra. Repair and Repl. Deposit	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
10	Lease Payment	\$ 27,500,000	\$ 27,500,000	\$ -	0.00%
11	I&E Fund Transfer Pending	\$ 28,140,700	\$ 52,207,500	\$ 24,066,800	85.52%
12	Working Capital Requirements	\$ 2,300,000	\$ -	\$ (2,300,000)	-100.00%
13	Total Revenue Requirements	\$ 520,652,100	\$ 544,962,000	\$ 24,309,900	4.67%
	Revenue Requirements				
14	Adjustment Index		4.67%	~ Bas	<u>eline Revenue</u>
15	Baseline Revenue		\$ 507,090,300		
16	Change in Annual Revenue Requirement			\$ 24,309,900	4.79%
17	Change Attibutable to Non-Charge Revenue			\$ (2,009,200)	-0.40%
18	Change Attibutable to Sales Volumes			\$ 505,800	0.10%
19	System Charge Adjustment			\$ 22,806,500	4.50%



Table S - 2
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System
FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges

		(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)	(7)		(8)
		M/le el e e el e		Y 2025 Mon						orma FY 2026		е	
Line	Description	Wholesale Rev Rea'ts		ontractual liustments	_	reen Infra diustment	Total	Wholesale Rev Rea'ts	_	ontractual diustments	Green Infra djustment		Total
20	2 333.15.113.11	nor noq io	,	(a)	, ,	ajoon non	10101	110 1 110 9 10		(a)	iojouri io iii		10101
	<u>Suburban Wholesale</u>												
1	OMID	\$ 5,956,600	\$	117,500	\$	3,700	\$ 6,077,800	\$ 71,479,200	\$	1,410,000	\$ 44,400	\$	72,933,600
2	Rouge Valley	\$ 4,723,400	\$	93,100	\$	4,200	\$ 4,820,700	\$ 56,680,800	\$	1,117,200	\$ 50,400	\$	57,848,400
3	Oakland GWK	\$ 3,944,800	\$	77,800	\$	3,200	\$ 4,025,800	\$ 47,337,600	\$	933,600	\$ 38,400	\$	48,309,600
4	Evergreen Farmington	\$ 3,096,200	\$	61,100	\$	2,100	\$ 3,159,400	\$ 37,154,400	\$	733,200	\$ 25,200	\$	37,912,800
5	SE Macomb San Dist	\$ 2,131,300	\$	42,000	\$	1,700	\$ 2,175,000	\$ 25,575,600	\$	504,000	\$ 20,400	\$	26,100,000
6	Dearborn	\$ 1,754,500	\$	34,600	\$	2,300	\$ 1,791,400	\$ 21,054,000	\$	415,200	\$ 27,600	\$	21,496,800
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	\$ 227,300	\$	4,500	\$	700	\$ 232,500	\$ 2,727,600	\$	54,000	\$ 8,400	\$	2,790,000
8	Grosse Pointe Park	\$ 162,600	\$	3,200	\$	100	\$ 165,900	\$ 1,951,200	\$	38,400	\$ 1,200	\$	1,990,800
9	Melvindale	\$ 134,300	\$	2,700	\$	100	\$ 137,100	\$ 1,611,600	\$	32,400	\$ 1,200	\$	1,645,200
10	Farmington	\$ 102,400	\$	2,000	\$	100	\$ 104,500	\$ 1,228,800	\$	24,000	\$ 1,200	\$	1,254,000
11	Center Line	\$ 89,600	\$	1,800	\$	100	\$ 91,500	\$ 1,075,200	\$	21,600	\$ 1,200	\$	1,098,000
12	Allen Park	\$ 72,200	\$	1,400	\$	-	\$ 73,600	\$ 866,400	\$	16,800	\$ -	\$	883,200
13	Grosse Pointe	\$ 99,900	\$	2,000	\$	300	\$ 102,200	\$ 1,198,800	\$	24,000	\$ 3,600	\$	1,226,400
14	Highland Park	\$ 404,200	\$	8,000	\$	2,900	\$ 415,100	\$ 4,850,400	\$	96,000	\$ 34,800	\$	4,981,200
15	Hamtramck	\$ 365,300	\$	7,200	\$	2,300	\$ 374,800	\$ 4,383,600	\$	86,400	\$ 27,600	\$	4,497,600
16	Harper Woods	\$ 13,900	\$	300	\$	-	\$ 14,200	\$ 166,800	\$	3,600	\$ -	\$	170,400
17	Redford Township	\$ 28,600	\$	600	\$	200	\$ 29,400	\$ 343,200	\$	7,200	\$ 2,400	\$	352,800
18	Wayne County #3	\$ 4,000	\$	100	\$	100	\$ 4,200	\$ 48,000	\$	1,200	\$ 1,200	\$	50,400
19	Subtotal Suburban Wholesale	\$ 23,311,100	\$	459,900	\$	24,100	\$ 23,795,100	\$ 279,733,200	\$	5,518,800	\$ 289,200	\$	285,541,200
20	Detroit	\$ 17,644,100	\$	(459,700)	\$	(24,000)	\$ 17,160,400	\$ 211,729,200	\$	(5,516,400)	\$ (288,000)	\$	205,924,800
21	Total Wholesale	\$ 40,955,200	\$	200	\$	100	\$ 40,955,500	\$ 491,462,400	\$	2,400	\$ 1,200	\$	491,466,000

Table S - 2
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System
FY 2026 Proforma Revenue Under Existing Charges

		(1)			(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)
				FY	2025 Mon	thly C	harges		P	rofc	rma FY 2026	Anı	nual Revenu	e_	
Line	Description	Wholeso Rev Rec			ntractual ustments		en Infra Ustment	Total	Wholesale Rev Req'ts		ontractual djustments	_	Green Infra Adjustment		Total
					(a)						(a)				
22	OMID Direct *	\$	-	\$	164,700	\$	-	\$ 164,700	\$ -	\$	1,976,400	\$	-	\$	1,976,400
23	Total Member Partner	\$ 40,955	200	\$	164,900	\$	100	\$ 41,120,200	\$ 491,462,400	\$	1,978,800	\$	1,200	\$	493,442,400
24	OMID Total *	\$ 5,956	600	\$	282,200	\$	3,700	\$ 6,242,500	\$ 71,479,200	\$	3,386,400	\$	44,400	\$	74,910,000
	Industrial Specific Charges														
25	Industrial Waste Control								\$ 8,749,000					\$	8,749,000
26	Industrial Surcharges								\$ 4,898,900					\$	4,898,900
27	Subtotal								\$ 13,647,900	\$	-	\$	-	\$	13,647,900
28	Total								\$ 505,110,300	\$	1,978,800	\$	1,200	\$	507,090,300
	(a) DWSD Ownership Benefit														

Table S - 3 GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY Sewage Disposal System

FY 2026 Sewer SHAREs

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Line		Existing FY 2025	Proposed FY 2026		
No.	Description	SHARE	SHARE	Variance	% Variance
See 2nd	l "Triannual SHAREs Report			(2) - (1)	(3) / (1)
	<u>Suburban Wholesale</u>				
1	OMID	14.544%	14.544%	0.000%	0.0%
2	Rouge Valley	11.533%	11.533%	0.000%	0.0%
3	Oakland GWK	9.632%	9.632%	0.000%	0.0%
4	Evergreen Farmington	7.560%	7.560%	0.000%	0.0%
5	SE Macomb San Dist	5.204%	5.204%	0.000%	0.0%
6	Dearborn	4.284%	4.284%	0.000%	0.0%
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	0.555%	0.555%	0.000%	0.0%
8	Grosse Pointe Park	0.397%	0.397%	0.000%	0.0%
9	Melvindale	0.328%	0.328%	0.000%	0.0%
10	Farmington	0.250%	0.250%	0.000%	0.0%
11	Center Line	0.219%	0.219%	0.000%	0.0%
12	Allen Park	0.176%	0.176%	0.000%	0.0%
13	Grosse Pointe	0.244%	0.244%	0.000%	0.0%
14	Highland Park	0.987%	0.987%	0.000%	0.0%
15	Hamtramck	0.892%	0.892%	0.000%	0.0%
16	Harper Woods	0.034%	0.034%	0.000%	0.0%
17	Redford Township	0.070%	0.070%	0.000%	0.0%
18	Wayne County #3	0.010%	0.010%	0.000%	0.0%
19	Subtotal Suburban Wholesale	56.919%	56.919%	0.000%	0.0%
20	Detroit	43.081%	43.081%	0.000%	0.0%
21	Total	100.000%	100.000%	0.000%	



Table S - 4
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System

Proposed FY 2026 GLWA Pollutant Surcharge Rates

Line No.	Description	Cho	arge
1	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) - for concentrations > 275 mg/l	\$	0.409
2	Total Suspended Solids (TSS) - for concentrations > 350 mg/l	\$	0.548
3	Phosphorus (PHOS) - for concentrations > 12 mg/l	\$	7.907
4	Fats, Oils, and Grease (FOG) - for concentrations > 100 mg/l	\$	0.131
5	Septage Disposal Fee - per 500 gallons of disposal	\$	40.00



Table S - 5
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System
Allocation of FY 2026 Revenue Requirements and Adjustments to Member Partners

lin a		(1)	(2) Allocated	(3) etroit Capital	(4) Adjusted	(5)	(6) Total	(7)	(8) Adjusted
Line No.	Description	FY 2026 SHARE	Wholesale Rev Reqt	Ownership Adjustment	Allocated Rev Reqt	OMID Specific	Wholesale Rev Reqts	dditional Elements	Total Rev Reqts
			\$ 513,268,900	\$ 5,516,000				\$ 282,200	
		Table S - 3			(2) + (3)		(4) + (5)	(a)	(6) + (7)
	Suburban Wholesale								
1	OMID	14.544%	\$ 74,650,200	\$ 1,409,600	\$ 76,059,800	\$ 2,374,600	\$ 78,434,400	\$ 43,900	\$ 78,478,300
2	Rouge Valley	11.533%	\$ 59,195,300	\$ 1,117,700	\$ 60,313,000		\$ 60,313,000	\$ 49,100	\$ 60,362,100
3	Oakland GWK	9.632%	\$ 49,437,600	\$ 933,400	\$ 50,371,000		\$ 50,371,000	\$ 37,400	\$ 50,408,400
4	Evergreen Farmington	7.560%	\$ 38,802,700	\$ 732,600	\$ 39,535,300		\$ 39,535,300	\$ 24,700	\$ 39,560,000
5	SE Macomb San Dist	5.204%	\$ 26,710,200	\$ 504,300	\$ 27,214,500		\$ 27,214,500	\$ 19,500	\$ 27,234,000
6	Dearborn	4.284%	\$ 21,988,000	\$ 415,200	\$ 22,403,200		\$ 22,403,200	\$ 27,100	\$ 22,430,300
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	0.555%	\$ 2,848,600	\$ 53,800	\$ 2,902,400		\$ 2,902,400	\$ 8,400	\$ 2,910,800
8	Grosse Pointe Park	0.397%	\$ 2,037,800	\$ 38,500	\$ 2,076,300		\$ 2,076,300	\$ 1,000	\$ 2,077,300
9	Melvindale	0.328%	\$ 1,683,100	\$ 31,800	\$ 1,714,900		\$ 1,714,900	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,716,100
10	Farmington	0.250%	\$ 1,283,300	\$ 24,200	\$ 1,307,500		\$ 1,307,500	\$ 900	\$ 1,308,400
11	Center Line	0.219%	\$ 1,122,900	\$ 21,200	\$ 1,144,100		\$ 1,144,100	\$ 900	\$ 1,145,000
12	Allen Park	0.176%	\$ 904,800	\$ 17,100	\$ 921,900		\$ 921,900	\$ 500	\$ 922,400
13	Grosse Pointe	0.244%	\$ 1,252,000	\$ 23,600	\$ 1,275,600		\$ 1,275,600	\$ 3,800	\$ 1,279,400
14	Highland Park	0.987%	\$ 5,065,600	\$ 95,600	\$ 5,161,200		\$ 5,161,200	\$ 34,300	\$ 5,195,500
15	Hamtramck	0.892%	\$ 4,578,100	\$ 86,400	\$ 4,664,500		\$ 4,664,500	\$ 26,500	\$ 4,691,000
16	Harper Woods	0.034%	\$ 174,200	\$ 3,300	\$ 177,500		\$ 177,500	\$ 200	\$ 177,700
17	Redford Township	0.070%	\$ 358,400	\$ 6,800	\$ 365,200		\$ 365,200	\$ 2,200	\$ 367,400
18	Wayne County #3	0.010%	\$ 50,100	\$ 900	\$ 51,000		\$ 51,000	\$ 600	\$ 51,600
19	Subtotal Suburban Wholesale	56.919%	\$ 292,142,900	\$ 5,516,000	\$ 297,658,900	\$ 2,374,600	\$ 300,033,500	\$ 282,200	\$ 300,315,700

GLWA FY 2026 Cost of Service Model Page 53 of 59

Table S - 5
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System
Allocation of FY 2026 Revenue Requirements and Adjustments to Member Partners

Line No.	Description	(1) FY 2026 SHARE	(2) Allocated Wholesale Rev Reqt	(3) Detroit Capito Ownership Adjustment	Allocated	(5) OMID Specific	(6) Total Wholesale Rev Reqts	(7) Additional Elements	(8) Adjusted Total Rev Reqts
		\$	513,268,900	\$ 5,516,00				\$ 282,200	
		Table S - 3			(2) + (3)		(4) + (5)	(a)	(6) + (7)
20	Detroit	43.081% \$	221,122,100	\$ (5,516,00	0) \$ 215,606,100		\$ 215,606,100	\$ (282,200)	\$ 215,323,900
21	Total	100.000% \$	513,265,000	\$	- \$ 513,265,000	\$ 2,374,600	\$ 515,639,600	\$ -	\$ 515,639,600

(a) Reallocation of the \$340,000 budgeted Green Infrastructure O&M expense payment to DWSD, which is originally assigned to the CSO 83/17 Cost Pool.

The adjustment reverses the 83% of that amount assigned to Detroit Customers and reallocates it to all other customers based on their 17% share.



Table S - 6
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System
Proposed FY 2026 Wholesale Sewer Service Charge Schedule - Fixed Monthly Charges

Line No.	Description	V	(1) Allocated Vholesale Rev Reqt	0	(2) roit Capital wnership djustment	I	(3) Adjusted Allocated Rev Reqt	(4) OMID Specific	(5) Total Vholesale Rev Reqts	_	(6) Iditional ements	(7) Total Imount for Charges
			\$		\$		\$	\$	\$		\$	\$
	.			ROU	unding Adj							
	<u>Suburban Wholesale</u>											
1	OMID	\$	6,220,900	\$	117,400	\$	6,338,300	\$ 197,900	\$ 6,536,200	\$	3,700	\$ 6,539,900
2	Rouge Valley	\$	4,932,900	\$	93,200	\$	5,026,100		\$ 5,026,100	\$	4,100	\$ 5,030,200
3	Oakland GWK	\$	4,119,800	\$	77,800	\$	4,197,600		\$ 4,197,600	\$	3,100	\$ 4,200,700
4	Evergreen Farmington	\$	3,233,600	\$	61,000	\$	3,294,600		\$ 3,294,600	\$	2,100	\$ 3,296,700
5	SE Macomb San Dist	\$	2,225,900	\$	42,000	\$	2,267,900		\$ 2,267,900	\$	1,600	\$ 2,269,500
6	Dearborn	\$	1,832,300	\$	34,600	\$	1,866,900		\$ 1,866,900	\$	2,300	\$ 1,869,200
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	\$	237,400	\$	4,500	\$	241,900		\$ 241,900	\$	700	\$ 242,600
8	Grosse Pointe Park	\$	169,800	\$	3,200	\$	173,000		\$ 173,000	\$	100	\$ 173,100
9	Melvindale	\$	140,300	\$	2,600	\$	142,900		\$ 142,900	\$	100	\$ 143,000
10	Farmington	\$	106,900	\$	2,000	\$	108,900		\$ 108,900	\$	100	\$ 109,000
11	Center Line	\$	93,600	\$	1,700	\$	95,300		\$ 95,300	\$	100	\$ 95,400
12	Allen Park	\$	75,400	\$	1,500	\$	76,900		\$ 76,900	\$	-	\$ 76,900
13	Grosse Pointe	\$	104,300	\$	2,000	\$	106,300		\$ 106,300	\$	300	\$ 106,600
14	Highland Park	\$	422,100	\$	8,000	\$	430,100		\$ 430,100	\$	2,900	\$ 433,000
15	Hamtramck	\$	381,500	\$	7,200	\$	388,700		\$ 388,700	\$	2,200	\$ 390,900



Table S - 6
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System
Proposed FY 2026 Wholesale Sewer Service Charge Schedule - Fixed Monthly Charges

			(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)
					roit Capital	Adjusted			Total				Total
Line		'	Wholesale	C	wnership	Allocated	OMID	'	Wholesale	1	Additional	I	Amount for
No.	Description		Rev Reqt	Α	djustment	Rev Reqt	Specific		Rev Reqts		Elements		Charges
			\$		\$	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$
				Ro	unding Adj								
16	Harper Woods	\$	14,500	\$	300	\$ 14,800		\$	14,800	\$	-	\$	14,800
17	Redford Township	\$	29,900	\$	500	\$ 30,400		\$	30,400	\$	200	\$	30,600
18	Wayne County #3	\$	4,200	\$	-	\$ 4,200		\$	4,200	\$	100	\$	4,300
19	Subtotal Suburban Wholesale	\$	24,345,300	\$	459,500	\$ 24,804,800	\$ 197,900	\$	25,002,700	\$	23,700	\$	25,026,400
20	Detroit	\$	18,426,800	\$	(459,600)	\$ 17,967,200		\$	17,967,200	\$	(23,500)	\$	17,943,700
21	Total	\$	42,772,100	\$	(100)	\$ 42,772,000	\$ 197,900	\$	42,969,900	\$	200	\$	42,970,100



Table S - 7
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System
Comparison of Existing and Proposed Fixed Monthly Service Charges

		(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)
Line	Description	Existing FY 2025	FY 2026	,	Maria	97 Vaniana a
No.	Description	\$/mo	\$/mo		Variance \$/mo	% Variance
		Table S - 2	Table S - 6		,	
	<u>Suburban Wholesale</u>					
1	OMID	\$ 6,242,500	\$ 6,539,900	\$	297,400	4.8%
2	Rouge Valley	\$ 4,820,700	\$ 5,030,200	\$	209,500	4.3%
3	Oakland GWK	\$ 4,025,800	\$ 4,200,700	\$	174,900	4.3%
4	Evergreen Farmington	\$ 3,159,400	\$ 3,296,700	\$	137,300	4.3%
5	SE Macomb San Dist	\$ 2,175,000	\$ 2,269,500	\$	94,500	4.3%
6	Dearborn	\$ 1,791,400	\$ 1,869,200	\$	77,800	4.3%
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	\$ 232,500	\$ 242,600	\$	10,100	4.3%
8	Grosse Pointe Park	\$ 165,900	\$ 173,100	\$	7,200	4.3%
9	Melvindale	\$ 137,100	\$ 143,000	\$	5,900	4.3%
10	Farmington	\$ 104,500	\$ 109,000	\$	4,500	4.3%
11	Center Line	\$ 91,500	\$ 95,400	\$	3,900	4.3%
12	Allen Park	\$ 73,600	\$ 76,900	\$	3,300	4.5%
13	Grosse Pointe	\$ 102,200	\$ 106,600	\$	4,400	4.3%
14	Highland Park	\$ 415,100	\$ 433,000	\$	17,900	4.3%
15	Hamtramck	\$ 374,800	\$ 390,900	\$	16,100	4.3%
16	Harper Woods	\$ 14,200	\$ 14,800	\$	600	4.2%
17	Redford Township	\$ 29,400	\$ 30,600	\$	1,200	4.1%
18	Wayne County #3	\$ 4,200	\$ 4,300	\$	100	2.4%
19	Subtotal Suburban Wholesale	\$ 23,959,800	\$ 25,026,400	\$	1,066,600	4.45%
20	Detroit Customers	\$ 17,160,400	\$ 17,943,700	\$	783,300	4.56%
21	Total Member Partner Wholesale	\$ 41,120,200	\$ 42,970,100	\$	1,849,900	4.50%
22	* Detroit - Gross	\$ 17,160,400	\$ 17,943,700	\$	783,300	4.56%
23	less: Fixed Ownership Benefit	\$ (459,600)	\$ (459,600)	\$	-	0.00%
24	Detroit Net of Ownership Benefit	\$ 17,160,400	\$ 17,943,700	\$	783,300	4.56%



Table S - 8 GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY Sewage Disposal System

Comparison of Annual Revenues from Existing and Proposed Charges

			(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)
Line No.	Description	Ba	Pro forma sed on Existing FY 2025 Charges		Proposed FY 2026 Charges		Variance	% Variance
			\$		\$		\$	
	Suburban Wholesale							
1	OMID	\$	74,910,000	\$	78,478,800	\$	3,568,800	4.8%
2	Rouge Valley	\$	57,848,400	\$	60,362,400	\$	2,514,000	4.3%
3	Oakland GWK	\$	48,309,600	\$	50,408,400	\$	2,098,800	4.3%
4	Evergreen Farmington	\$	37,912,800	\$	39,560,400	\$	1,647,600	4.3%
5	SE Macomb San Dist	\$	26,100,000	\$	27,234,000	\$	1,134,000	4.3%
6	Dearborn	\$	21,496,800	\$	22,430,400	\$	933,600	4.3%
7	Grosse Pointe Farms	\$	2,790,000	\$	2,911,200	\$	121,200	4.3%
8	Grosse Pointe Park	\$	1,990,800	\$	2,077,200	\$	86,400	4.3%
9	Melvindale	\$	1,645,200	\$	1,716,000	\$	70,800	4.3%
10	Farmington	\$	1,254,000	\$	1,308,000	\$	54,000	4.3%
11	Center Line	\$	1,098,000	\$	1,144,800	\$	46,800	4.3%
12	Allen Park	\$	883,200	\$	922,800	\$	39,600	4.5%
13	Grosse Pointe	\$	1,226,400	\$	1,279,200	\$	52,800	4.3%
14	Highland Park	\$	4,981,200	\$	5,196,000	\$	214,800	4.3%
15	Hamtramck	\$	4,497,600	\$	4,690,800	\$	193,200	4.3%
16	Harper Woods	\$	170,400	\$	177,600	\$	7,200	4.2%
17	Redford Township	\$	352,800	\$	367,200	\$	14,400	4.1%
18	Wayne County #3	\$	50,400	\$	51,600	\$	1,200	2.4%
19	Subtotal Suburban Wholesale	\$	287,517,600	\$	300,316,800	\$	12,799,200	4.5%
	5.1.11		005.004.000	•	015 004 400	•	0.000 /00	
20	Detroit	\$	205,924,800	\$	215,324,400	\$	9,399,600	4.6%
21	Total Member Partner Wholesale	\$	493,442,400	\$	515,641,200	\$	22,198,800	4.5%
	Industrial Specific Charges							
22	Industrial Waste Control	\$	8,749,000	\$	9,150,300	\$	401,300	4.6%
23	Industrial Surcharges	\$	4,898,900		5,112,700	\$	213,800	4.4%
24	Subtotal	\$	13,647,900	\$	14,263,000	\$	615,100	4.5%
25	Total	\$	507,090,300	\$	529,904,200	\$	22,813,900	4.5%
26	* Detroit - Gross	\$	211,440,800	\$	220,840,400	\$	9,399,600	4.4%
27	less: Fixed Ownership Benefit	\$	(5,516,000)	\$	(5,516,000)	\$	-	0.0%
28	Detroit Net of Ownership Benefit	\$	205,924,800	\$	215,324,400	\$	9,399,600	4.6%



Table S - 9
GREAT LAKES WATER AUTHORITY
Sewage Disposal System

Proposed FY 2026 Industrial Waste Control Charges

				Unit	Rat	re
Line No.	Meter Size	Equivalency Ratio		Industrial Waste Control		Administrative Only Ind. Waste Control
	F (O	1.0	¢	2.00	Φ.	0.07
1	5/8	1.0	\$	3.89	\$	0.97
2	3/4	1.5	\$	5.84	\$	1.46
3	1	2.5	\$	9.73	\$	2.43
4	1-1/2	5.5	\$	21.40	\$	5.34
5	2	8.0	\$	31.12	\$	7.76
6	3	14.5	\$	56.41	\$	14.07
7	4	20.0	\$	77.80	\$	19.40
8	6	30.0	\$	116.70	\$	29.10
9	8	50.0	\$	194.50	\$	48.50
10	10	70.0	\$	272.30	\$	67.90
11	12	80.0	\$	311.20	\$	77.60
12	14	100.0	\$	389.00	\$	97.00
13	16	120.0	\$	466.80	\$	116.40
14	18	140.0	\$	544.60	\$	135.80
15	20	160.0	\$	622.40	\$	155.20
16	24	180.0	\$	700.20	\$	174.60
17	30	200.0	\$	778.00	\$	194.00
18	36	220.0	\$	855.80	\$	213.40
19	48	240.0	\$	933.60	\$	232.80



