



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0041

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Vendor Response Follow-up

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

Contract No. 2200061
Date: 8/3/2022

Vendor	Contact Name	Email Address	Explanation of No Bid Submittal
Milhouse Engineering	Ryan Angelotti	rangelotti@milhouseinc.com	“Scope of services required given firm’s committed project schedule.”
Kennedy Industries	Keith Sikaitis	ksikaitis@kennedyind.com	“We were looking at the Project from an “Equipment Supplier’s” perspective to see if there was anything we could assist the Design Teams with”
PCI Vetrix	Hassan Ajami	hajami@pci-vetrix.com	“We were a subcontractor on one of the bidding teams.”
Ballard Marine Construction LLC	Liam O’Brien	Liam.obrien@ballardmc.com	“Ballard Marine Construction is not an engineering firm, however we do take a look at engineering contracts for potential scope and monitor them for their Construction Bid/RFP release.”
Pullman	Zach Carroll	zcarroll@pullman-services.com	“Not Our Scope of Work”
Wade Trim	Jason Kenyon, PE	jkenyon@wadetrim.com	“Wade Trim is a subconsultant to Hazen and Sawyer for this opportunity.”
Hach Company	Greg Humitz	Gregory.humitz@hach.com	“We were not comfortable that the instruments were not able to perform to an acceptable level in the given application. We have suggestions to improve the performance, but was currently outside the scope of the bid documents.”
OHM Advisors	Erin Valmont	Erin.valmont@ohm-advisors.com	“We downloaded the documents to review, in order to see if the pursuit would be a good fit for our firm to lead, or if we are approached by another vendor to partner/sub consult. Not everything is a match.”
Alfred Benesch & Company	Brooke Beckner	bbeckner@benesch.com	“Our firm was not in a position to prime due to the disciplines required and we were unable to find an opportunity to team with another partner as prime that made sense.”



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0041

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Vendor Response Follow-up

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

Contract No. 2200061
Date: 8/3/2022

Outbound Technologies	Shawn Taslim	shawn.taslim@actemium.com	“There was no content for us to bid on this project.”
Hubbell, Roth & Clark, Inc.	Jesse VanDeCreek, PE	jvandecreek@hrcengr.com	“Disadvantaged by national firm’s market position as experienced with prior GLWA CSO selection process. HRC continues to track GLWA CSO opportunities and is prepared to submit a proposal when the opportunity aligns with the prospect of a success.”
CDM Smith	Ali Khraizat, PE	khraizatai@cdmsmith.com	“We recently won similar work with GLWA, our staff that is working on that project will not have the necessary availability to work on both projects.”
NTH Consultants, Ltd.	Lisa Dilg	ldilg@nthconsultants.com	“The scope of work did not align with our core service offerings and our work as owner's representative precluded our bidding on this project.”
Farwest Corrosion Control Company	Linda Yafanaro	lyafanaro@farwestcorrosion.com	“Scope of work was not within our capabilities.”
Infrastructure Engineering of Michigan, LLC	Julius Cousin III	jcousin@infra-eng.com	“IEI doesn’t perform wastewater treatment or build pumps for pump stations. We were unable to find a teaming partner for these services on this proposal.”
Metro Engineering Solutions, LLC	Nate King	nking@metroes.net	“The RFP wasn’t seen in Bonfire until the bid had been open for a month. We didn’t feel we could show our best in a proposal given the remaining timeframe to respond.”
Fishbeck	Maria Sedki	mesedki@fishbeck.com	“We considered becoming a subconsultant on proposal to a larger firm, but passed on it due to our current workload”
Hesco	Heather Walkers	Heather.walker@hesco-mi.com	“Hesco does not provide requirements of the specifications”
Emerson	Rafael Fernandez	rafael.fernandez@emerson.com	“The scope required by this solicitation does not fall under Emerson’s main skillset and we wouldn’t be cost competitive on this bid. However, we might work with the successful bidder, as a subcontractor, to support any Ovation



Procurement Form (FOR)

Effective Date:
5/1/2019

Document #:
FSA_PRO_FOR_0041

Revision Date:
N/A

Revision#:
0

Document Title:
Vendor Response Follow-up

Document Owner/Department:
Procurement Team

Contract No. 2200061
Date: 8/3/2022

DCS related design activities.”