



**Procurement Form (FOR)**

**Effective Date:**  
5/1/2019

**Document #:**  
FSA\_PRO\_FOR\_0039

**Revision Date:**  
N/A

**Revision#:**  
0

**Document Title:**  
Procurement Board Report-RFP

**Document Owner/Department:**  
Procurement Team

**Date:** December 22, 2021  
**To:** Suzanne R. Coffey, P.E., Interim Chief Executive Officer  
**From:** Daniel Edwards, Procurement Manager  
**Re:** Procurement Report

**General Information**

Contract Number:	2004825	Project Owner:	Grant Gartrell/Peter Fromm
Contract Title:	Construction Management at Risk for 96-Inch Water Transmission Main		
Vendor:	Kiewit Infrastructure Company		
Budget:	Capital Project		

**Procurement Method**

Competitively bid – Request for Proposal (RFP) Qualification Based Selection (QBS) – Evaluation Committee			
Advertised:	6-10-21	Addendums released:	6
Buyer:	Gerald Moore	Downloaded by:	146
Response due date:	8-18-21	Responses received:	2

**Cost Summary – Kiewit Infrastructure Company**

Description	Proposed Cost	Negotiated Cost
Phase 1 – Pre-Construction Phase - Labor	\$1,202,592.00	\$1,325,000.00
Phase 1 – Pre-Construction Phase - Expenses	17,500.00	17,500.00
Provisionary Allowance	35,000,000.00	35,000,000.00
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$36,220,092.00</b>	<b>\$36,342,500.00</b>

*Provisionary Allowance is an amount included in the Contract Price to reimburse the Contractor for the cost to furnish and perform Work that is uncertain. Any remaining balance upon Final Completion shall be retained by the GLWA and not paid to the Contractor.*

Benchmarking was completed by comparing the proposals for this project. This analysis confirmed that rates are in competitive range. The rate comparisons and allowances (if any) are illustrated in the attached cost tabulation.

During negotiations, the GLWA project team provided updated documents showing a change in work that includes 17 miles of 16-inch water transmission main to the project. This work was added after proposals were received. Therefore, this increased labor costs to the Pre-Construction Phase.



**Procurement Form (FOR)**

**Effective Date:**  
5/1/2019

**Document #:**  
FSA\_PRO\_FOR\_0039

**Revision Date:**  
N/A

**Revision#:**  
0

**Document Title:**  
Procurement Board Report-RFP

**Document Owner/Department:**  
Procurement Team

However, negotiations resulted in reducing the CMAR Contractor's fee from 18% to 15%.

**Evaluation Committee: (Designation - Organization)**

A - Director of Engineering, Water Operations - GLWA

B - Professional Engineer - Jacobs Engineering

C - Director of Public Works - Shelby Township

D - General Manager - Southeastern Oakland County Water Authority

**Evaluation Score(s) - Maximum Score Possible: 100**

*The Evaluation Committee independently reviewed and scored the proposals in accordance with GLWA's policy. The rankings are below.*

Vendor (Highest to lowest score)	Score without B.I.D.	Score with B.I.D.
<b>Kiewit Infrastructure Company</b>	<b>74.50</b>	<b>75.50</b>
<b>Clark/L. D'Agostini Joint Venture</b>	<b>72.89</b>	<b>74.89</b>

Vendor Name	B.I.D. Plan (Pass/ Fail)	Score w/o B.I.D.	Score with B.I.D.	Business Presence			Certifications
				State of Michigan (1 pt.)	GLWA Territory Area (1pt.)	Economically Disadvantaged Territory (1 pt.)	
Kiewit Infrastructure Company	Pass	74.50	75.50	Yes	No	No	None
Clark/L. D'Agostini Joint Venture	Pass	72.89	74.89	Yes	Yes	No	None



**Procurement Form (FOR)**

**Effective Date:**  
5/1/2019

**Document #:**  
FSA\_PRO\_FOR\_0039

**Revision Date:**  
N/A

**Revision#:**  
0

**Document Title:**  
Procurement Board Report-RFP

**Document Owner/Department:**  
Procurement Team

**Business Inclusion and Diversity (B.I.D.)**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B.I.D. program required	<input type="checkbox"/> B.I.D. program not required
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The recommended vendor for award submitted a B.I.D. plan per the requirements under this solicitation.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The recommended vendor for award did not submit a B.I.D. plan per the requirements under this solicitation.	
The vendor received points for the following scored criteria:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business presence in State of Michigan	
<input type="checkbox"/> Business presence in GLWA service territory area (list the territory)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Business presence in economically disadvantaged GLWA service territory area (list the territory)	

**Other Data Requested by GLWA Board Members**

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE): No  
Small Business Enterprise (SBE): No  
Woman Business Enterprise (WBE): No  
Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE): No  
Detroit Based Business (DBB): No  
Other:

Sub-Contractor(s) List: None

Vendor Response Survey:  
Vendor Response Follow-up form attached

**Litigation**

This vendor is not currently nor has been previously involved in any litigation with the GLWA.

**Financials**

A financial risk assessment was performed by the GLWA via Dun & Bradstreet and was determined that the selected vendor has the financial capacity to perform the tasks under this contract. This information is available for the Board of Directors to review upon request.



**Procurement Form (FOR)**

**Effective Date:**  
5/1/2019

**Document #:**  
FSA\_PRO\_FOR\_0039

**Revision Date:**  
N/A

**Revision#:**  
0

**Document Title:**  
Procurement Board Report-RFP

**Document Owner/Department:**  
Procurement Team

**Previous Contract**

Previous contract holder: Not applicable